

Predictors of health-related quality of life among warfarin patients

Running title: Predictors of HRQoL in warfarin patients

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: Warfarin is amongst the most frequently used oral anticoagulant, that is often prescribed to control and prevent various thromboembolic diseases like venous thromboembolism, stroke, atrial fibrillation, and valvular heart disease. This study aimed to determine various predictors and their impact on overall HRQoL among warfarin patients.

METHODS: A cross-sectional study using WHOQOL-BREF research tool was conducted among warfarin patients. Data was collected by convenience sampling method. Descriptive, comparative, and inferential statistics were used by Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) ver. 24 to determine the predictors of HRQoL among warfarin patients.

RESULTS: The majority of the studied warfarin patients were females than males (n=221, 69.3%, and n=98, 30.7% respectively). In univariate analysis, statistically non-significant differences ($p > 0.05$) were observed in gender, age, marital status and work. In multivariate analysis, significant differences ($p < 0.05$) were observed in education, warfarin usage, and warfarin therapy duration.

CONCLUSION: These results indicated that education, warfarin usage, and warfarin therapy duration were the pure predictors of HRQoL among the studied cohort of the warfarin patients.

Keywords: HRQoL, predictors, WHOQOL-BREF, warfarin, multivariate

INTRODUCTION

Due to high inter and inpatient variability, warfarin is only effective if its therapeutic range is maintained and if its blood levels are above or below its therapeutic window, it exhibits greater risks of bleeding and thrombosis respectively ^{1,2}. It is a most frequently used oral anticoagulant, that is often prescribed to control and prevent various thromboembolic diseases like venous thromboembolism, stroke, atrial fibrillation, and valvular heart disease ³⁻⁵. Due to its narrow therapeutic window, warfarin always requires frequent and careful laboratory monitoring to minimize or avoid bleeding complications and to obtain optimum therapy outcomes ^{5,6}. As a matter of fact, warfarin usually causes adverse drug reactions (ADRs) that sometimes require hospital admission and if the length of hospitalization is increased it may lead to morbidity and mortality among patients on warfarin ⁷⁻⁹.

Health Related Quality of Life (HRQoL) is not only measured in healthy individuals but also in patients to estimate the overall health status of the society which ultimately helps in designing and implementing healthcare policies to improve the overall health status of the society ¹⁰⁻¹². The HRQoL is a multi-dimensional model generally used to observe the impact of health status on individuals' quality of life ^{13,14}. The WHOQOL-BREF research tool has been

Original Research Article

used by plenteous studies conducted in different parts of the world to determine HRQoL of chronic disease patients as well as healthy individuals¹⁰⁻¹⁴. Though fewer studies across the globe are evident in the literature regarding the impact of warfarin therapy on disease outcomes, warfarin therapy duration and its anticoagulation control, the association of warfarin therapy duration and INR, treatment satisfaction and association of knowledge and beliefs with anticoagulation control¹³⁻²⁰ but in the studied population, nothing is reported regarding the effect of sociodemographic predictors on HRQoL using the WHOQOL-BREF among warfarin patients.

The WHOQOL-BREF tool is comprised of four different domains named physical, psychological, social and environmental domains¹⁰. This study aimed to determine various predictors like gender, age, marital status, education, work, comorbidities, warfarin therapy usage, and duration and their impact on overall HRQoL among warfarin patients.

Materials and methods

This was a cross-sectional study done and a self-administered questionnaire with WHOQOL-BREF tool were employed. The study subjects were screened for inclusion and exclusion criteria. For inclusion criteria, participants above 18 years, non-pregnant women and those who gave consent were included in the study. For exclusion criteria, those who did not meet the inclusion criteria were excluded from the study. At first, information sheet was handed to patients and informed consent was taken. The questionnaire was delivered personally to the patients by the researcher who also collected them back after they completed the study. The sampling method employed was convenient sampling. Content validity of the questionnaire was

Original Research Article

checked before start of the study. Reliability of the questionnaire was assessed using Cronbach's alpha which is the most common tool to be used to measure internal consistency.

Statistical analyses

Percentages and frequencies were used for the categorical variables, while means and standard deviations were calculated for the continuous variables. Chi square, Spearman's correlation coefficient and multiple logistic regression were used to evaluate correlations and impact of various demographic variables on overall HRQoL of the studied warfarin patients. Data from the research questionnaire were analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 24.0.

RESULTS and DISCUSSION

The demographic data of the patients are presented in Figure 1. There was a total of 319 participants with more females than males (n=221, 69.3%, and n=98, 30.7% respectively). Around 106 (33.2 %) of the studied patients were less than 30-years of age whereas 213 (66.8%) were equal to or above than 30-years of age. A total of 4 (1.3%) warfarin patients had a primary level of education and 315 (98.7 %) had a higher level of education. A total of 85 (26.6 %) warfarin patients had comorbidities and 234 (73.4%) didn't suffered from any comorbidity.




Figure 1: Demographic characteristics of the warfarin patients

Figure 2 presents the mean HRQoL scores for all four domains of WHOQOL-BREF research tool among the studied warfarin patients. In the physical domain, the mean score was 67.01 ± 13.67 while in the psychological domain of the WHOQOL-BREF, the score obtained was 71.27 ± 14.15 . In the social domain of the WHOQOL-BREF, the score obtained was 71.92 ± 17.06 and in environment domain, the score was 68.00 ± 14.73 .




Figure 2: WHOQOL-BREF domains' scores

Table 1 denotes predictors of HRQoL among warfarin patients. The current study determined the socio-demographic predictors of HRQoL among warfarin patients. Several factors were explored and their relationships towards overall HRQoL was determined using the WHOQOL-BREF among warfarin patients. Our study results showed statistically significant association ($p < 0.05$) in various socio-demographic variables of the studied warfarin patients with the different domains of WHOQOL-BREF. Hence, our study confirmed that sociodemographic predictors could affect warfarin patients' HRQoL. In univariate analysis, our study did not observe any statistically significant associations ($p > 0.05$) in gender, age, marital status and work with overall HRQoL among warfarin patients.

Table 1. Predictors of HRQoL among warfarin patients

Predictors	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
	COR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	AOR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
Gender				
Male	R			
Female	1.472 (2.68–1.01)	0.324		
Age (Years)				

Original Research Article

< 30	R			
≥ 30	0.559 (0.87-0.11)	0.565		
Marital Status				
Single/Separated	R			
Married	2.333 (2.98–1.11)	0.358		
Education				
Primary	R			
Secondary or higher	2.889 (3.55–1.89)	0.002*	0.135 (1.32–0.32)	0.038*
Work				
Job/Business	R			
Not working	2.222 (2.98–0.21)	0.981		
Comorbidities				
Yes	R			
No	1.357 (2.05–1.01)	0.042*	0.221 (1.38–0.11)	0.261
Warfarin Usage				
AF/Valve replacements	R			
DVTs/PEs	1.999 (2.43–1.24)	0.008*	1.039 (1.76-0.66)	0.048*
Duration				
< 1 Year	R			
≥ 1 Year	2.457 (3.21–2.01)	0.019*	1.565 (2.35–1.11)	0.049*

R=Referent; SD=Standard Deviation; UOD=Unadjusted Odds Ratio; AOD=Adjusted Odds Ratio; CI=Confidence Interval; * Statistically Significance (< 0.05)

Education often advances self-learning and self-improvement in general health states among patients. These could often enhance self-satisfaction and result in improved HRQoL especially among chronic diseases patients like patients on warfarin. As a matter of fact, highly educated patients have better understanding of their disease states, drug doses, treatment regimens, and their disease understandings in general²¹⁻²⁴. Moreover, to have optimum pharmacotherapy, highly educated patients are more likely to acclimatize their routine lifestyle and adopt preventive measures, resulting in improved therapy outcomes²⁴⁻²⁷.

Original Research Article

In our study, in univariate analysis, the higher educated patients had improved HRQoL ($p < 0.05$) than the rest with less education. The apparent reason could be that an increase in drug or disease-related awareness may make patients more aware of their drug usage pattern, medication adherence, dietary controls, and life-style modifications which in return might increase their overall HRQoL. On the other hand, in multiple logistic regression analysis, statistically a non-significance ($p > 0.05$) was observed when the confounders were adjusted. Conversely, in univariate analysis, according to the findings of our study, comorbidities, warfarin usage, and warfarin therapy duration had statistically significant associations ($p < 0.05$) with overall HRQoL among warfarin patients. And multiple logistic regression analysis revealed that warfarin usage, and warfarin therapy duration were statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) predictors of HRQoL among warfarin patients in the studied cohort of the patients.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our study highlights that education, warfarin usage, and warfarin therapy duration were the pure predictors of HRQoL among the studied cohort of the warfarin patients.

REFERENCES

1. Mayet, A.Y. (2016) Saudi Pharm. J. 24: 29-34.
2. Sølvi, U. Ø., E. Løkkebø, A. H. Kristoffersen, E. Brodin, M. Averina & S. Sandberg (2019) Thromb Haemost. 19:1632-1641.
3. Fang, M. C., E. L. Machtiger, F. Wang & D. Schillinger (2006) Gen Intern Med 21: 841-46.
4. Rose, A. J., A. Ozonoff, R. W. Grant, L. E. Henault & E. M. Hylek (2009) Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes 2: 591-7.

Original Research Article

5. Wysowski D. K., P. Nourjah & L. Swartz (2007) *Arch Intern Med* 167: 1414–19.
6. Sølvik, U. Ø., E. Løkkebø, A. H. Kristoffersen, E. Brodin, M. Averina & S. Sandberg (2019) *Thromb Haemost.* 19:1632-1641.
7. Tang, E. O., C. S. Lai, K. K. Lee, R. S. Wong, G. Cheng & T. Y. Chan. (2003) *Ann Pharmacother* 37: 34-9.
8. Lancaster, T. R., D. E. Singer, M. A. Sheehan, L. B. Oertel, S. W. Maraventano, R. A. Hughes et al. (2004) *Arch Intern Med* 151: 1944-9.
9. Almeida, G. Q., A. NoblatLde, L.C. Passos & H.F. Nascimento (2011) *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 9: 91-96.
10. World Health Organization. WHOQOL-BREF: Introduction, Administration, Scoring and Generic Version of the Assessment: Field Trial Version, December 1996.
11. Shields M, Wilkins K. Factors related to on-the-job abuse of nurses by patients. *Health Reports* 2009; 20(2): 7-19.
12. Shi L, Starfield B. The Effect of Primary Care Physician Supply and Income Inequality on Mortality among Blacks and Whites in U.S. Metropolitan Areas. *American Journal of Public Health.* 2001;91:1246–50.
13. Shi L, Starfield B, Kennedy BP, Kawachi I. Income Inequality, Primary Care, and Health Indicators. *Journal of Family Practice.* 1999;48:275–84.
14. Leary S, Francis C. Healthy staff will enhance outcomes. *Health Serv J* 2007; 117(6056): 26-7.
15. Balkhi, B., M. Al-Rasheedi, A.I. Elbur & A. Alghamadi (2018) *Saudi Pharm. J.* 26: 145-49.
16. Davis, N. J., H. H. Billett, H. W. Cohen & J. H. Arnsten (2005) *Ann Pharmacother* 39: 632-6.
17. Eltayeb, T. Y .M., M.S. Mohamed, A.I. Elbur & A. S. A Elsayed (2017) *J. Saudi Heart Assoc.* 29: 169-75.
18. Al-Omair, S. F., N. A. Musallam, N. Y. Al-Deghaither, N. A. Al-Sadoun & N. M. K. Bayoumy (2016) *J Appl Hematol* 7: 10-16.
19. Shilbayeh, S. A. R., W. A. Almutairi, S. A. Alyahya, N. H. Alshammari, E. Shaheen & A. Adam (2018) *Int J Clin Pharm* 40: 56-66.

Original Research Article

20. Platt, A. B., A. R. Localio, C. M. Brensinger, D. G. Cruess, J. D. Christie & R. Gross *et al.* (2010) *Chest* 137: 883–9.
21. Dellve L, Eriksson A. Health-promoting managerial work: A theoretical framework for a leadership program that supports knowledge and capability to craft sustainable work practices in daily practice and during organizational change. *Societies* 2017; 7(2): 12.
22. Atun R. What Are the Advantages and Disadvantages of Restructuring a Health Care System to Be More Focused on Primary Care Services? London: Health Evidence Network; 2004.
23. Baicker K, Chandra A. Medicare Spending, the Physician Workforce, and Beneficiaries' Quality of Care. *Health Affairs*. 2004;W4:184–97.
24. Muhammad Shahid Iqbal. Predictors of health-related quality of life among healthcare professionals. *Medical Science*, 2020, 24(106), 4445-4452
25. Iqbal MS, Muthanna FMS, Kassab YW, Hassali MA, Al-Saikhan FI, et al. (2020) Determinants of health-related quality of life among warfarin patients in Pakistan. *PLOS ONE* 15(6): e0234734.
26. Smailhodzic E, Hooijsma W, Boonstra A, et al. Social media use in healthcare: a systematic review of effects on patients and on their relationship with healthcare professionals. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2016; 16: 442.
27. Muhammad Shahid Iqbal, Muhammad Zahid Iqbal, Yaman Walid Kassab, Salah-Ud-Din Khan. Evaluation of Socioeconomic Determinants of Quality of Life among Healthcare Providers. *Asian Journal of Pharmaceutics*. 2020,14 (4), 671-676.