

Prevalence of MRSA and Antimicrobial Susceptibility
Staphylococcus aureus in Clinical Samples in National
Capital Region, India

Abstract

Background: Infections caused by Staphylococci are frequently linked to indwelling medical equipment. These are extremely difficult to treat with antibiotics. In India, the prevalence of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) varies from 30 to 70%, resulting in high mortality, increased economic burden, and high treatment failure in tertiary care hospitals. Rapid and reliable identification of MRSA is critical for infection management and avoiding the needless use of antibiotics.

Material and Method: This prospective study was carried out in the Department of Microbiology, Santosh Medical College, Ghaziabad, from the 1st of August 2020 to the 31st of January 2021. MRSA isolates were screened and confirmed using standard methods recommended by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Methicillin resistance, in *Staphylococcus aureus* strains, was evaluated using oxacillin/cefoxitin. The Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion technique was used to assess the antibiotic susceptibility pattern of all MRSA strains.

Result: In this investigation, MRSA was identified in 29.4% of the 384 *Staphylococcus aureus* strains. When compared to females, men outnumbered females. Cefoxitin detects a greater amount of MRSA than oxacillin. In this investigation, the majority of MRSA was found in pus samples.

Conclusion: MRSA prevalence is known to vary depending on geographical region, hospital type, investigated population, and technique of detection used. Given the clinical implications of MRSA infection and its fast transmission capability, MRSA strains must be monitored on a regular basis.

Keywords

MRSA, MSSA Prevalance, Cefoxitin disc, Oxacillin disc; phenotypic method

33 **Introduction**

34 *Staphylococcus aureus* is a multilateral bacterial pathogen capable of causing a wide range of
35 infections in humans and animals, ranging from mild skin infections to severe systemic
36 diseases such as pneumonia, and has been recognised as a significant cause of human disease
37 for more than 100 years [1]. ~~The classifications~~ *Staphylococcus aeruginosa* is commonly
38 found in human skin or nasal colonisation. [2]. It is one of the top three major pathogens
39 responsible for community and hospital acquired infections, causing diseases ranging from
40 minor skin and soft tissue infections to life-threatening systemic infections that can be toxin
41 or non-toxin mediated, resulting in high morbidity and mortality worldwide [3,4].
42 Staphylococci Infections are frequently linked to indwelling medical equipment. These are
43 extremely difficult to treat with antibiotics. Penicillin and its derivatives, particularly
44 methicillin, have been used to treat *S. aureus* infections [5]. Certain strains of *S. aureus*,
45 however, acquired resistance and were known as methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*
46 (MRSA).
47 Most medicines used to treat infections are resistant to some hospital-acquired strains.
48 Glycopeptides are the only antibiotics left to treat drug-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*
49 infections [2, 6, 7]. With the exception of Vancomycin, MRSA isolates are typically resistant
50 to other anti-staphylococcal drugs (Clindamycin, Erythromycin, Tetracycline, and
51 occasionally Gentamicin and Trimethoprim/Sulphomethoxazole) [8]. The resistance to
52 methicillin is caused by the *mecA* gene, which codes for the penicillin-binding protein (PBP
53 2A). Recently, a novel methicillin resistance mechanism gene, *mecC*, was discovered in *S.*
54 *aureus* and reported MRSA isolates containing *mecC* gene from humans and animals
55 highlighted the public health risk of *mecC*-positive MRSA isolates as it has been found in
56 human cases and livestock [9, 10, 11, 12].

57 Methicillin-resistant other β -lactam agents, such as Cephalosporins, appear to be
58 sensitive to *Staphylococcus aureus* in vitro; nevertheless, they are clinically ineffective [3].
59 Because MRSAs are resistant to all β -lactam antibiotics, treatment choices are severely
60 restricted. In India, the prevalence of MRSA varies from 30 to 70% [13, 14]. MRSA
61 infections must be treated and prevented through laboratory diagnostics and susceptibility
62 testing. As a result, techniques used to identify MRSA in clinical samples must be very
63 sensitive and specific, and the results must be accessible in a timely manner. Various
64 techniques for fast detection of methicillin-resistant staphylococci have emerged, however
65 the best method remains debatable [15].

66 The purpose of this study was to investigate the antibiotic susceptibility of *S. aureus*
67 in a Tertiary Care Hospital in Ghaziabad, as well as the current status of methicillin resistance
68 *S. aureus* in our hospital setting.

70 **Material and Methods**

71 **Place of Study**

72 This study was carried out in the Department of Microbiology at Santosh Medical
73 College, Ghaziabad over the time span of six months, from August 1st, 2020 to January 31st,
74 2021.

75 **Sample Collection**

76 A total of 384 clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* were isolated from diverse
77 clinical specimens such as pus, wound or vaginal swabs, blood, pleural fluid, urine, Throat
78 Swab, and so on from different wards including surgery, obstetrics and Gynaecology,
79 medicine, orthopaedics and ICU of Santosh Hospital. This study was ethically approved
80 (SU/2021/2131[6]) by the institutional ethical committee of Santosh Medical College,
81 Ghaziabad. Patient consent was obtained from each participant.

82 **Statistical Analysis**

83 All the collected data was prepared on MS-Excel. By means of chi-square test all the
84 statistical data was calculated. A p value <0.5 was considered statistically significant.
85 Statistical software SPSS (Statistical Package of social sciences) version 23.0 for windows
86 was used for statistical analysis.

87 **Inclusion criteria**

88 All *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from various clinical specimens, were included in
89 the study.

90 **Exclusion criteria**

91 Clinical specimen's yielding growth of Gram positive cocci other than *Staphylococcus*
92 *aureus* and all gram negative bacteria were excluded.

93 **Methodology**

94 ***Bacterial identification and antimicrobial susceptibility testing***

95 Clinical specimens were inoculated on 5 percent sheep blood agar, MacConkey's agar,
96 and CLED agar (Only for Urine), incubated at 37°C for 24 hours, and bacterial growth was
97 observed. Standard techniques for identifying *Staphylococcus aureus* were used, including
98 colony morphology, Gram's stain, catalase test, and coagulate test. *S. aureus* was identified in
99 100 different isolates. They were evaluated for methicillin resistance using the Kirby- Bauer
100 disc diffusion technique, which included oxacillin and cefoxitin. The isolates were considered
101 methicillin-resistant if the zone of inhibition was 10 mm or less. Isolates obtained using
102 cefoxitin disc diffusion that had an inhibition zone diameter of 19 mm were categorised as
103 methicillin resistant, whereas isolates that had an inhibition zone diameter of >20mm were
104 classed as methicillin susceptible.

105 The other antibiotics were also put to the test. Linezoline, Teicoplanin, Gentamycin,
106 Tetracycline, Erythromycin, Clindamycin, Ciprofloxacin, Vancomycin, Cotrimoxazole,

107 Amoxyclave, and Rifampicin are some of them. The collected data was then recorded and
 108 evaluated using proper statistical procedures.

109 **Results:**

110 A total no. of 384 *staphylococcus aureus* strains were found, in which both
 111 Methicillin resistant *staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) as well as Methicillin-sensitive
 112 *staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA) were identified. The characteristics of *S. aureus* include
 113 golden yellow colour colonies on Nutrient agar, lactose fermentation on MacConkey agar,
 114 gram positive cocci arranged in clusters seen in gram staining and positive catalase test, tube
 115 coagulase and mannitol fermentation test (Table-1 & 2).

116 **Table 1: Detection and identification of colony of *S. aureus***

Identification media	Testing feature
Nutrient Agar	Colonies are 2-4mm in diameter, circular, smooth, convex, opaque and easily Emulsifiable and most of the strains produce golden yellow pigment.
Blood Agar	Colonies are 2-4mm in diameter, circular, smooth, convex, opaque and easily Emulsifiable and a beta type of hemolysis is seen.
MacConkey's Agar	Colonies are very small and pink due to lactose fermentation.
In liquid media	Uniform turbidity is produce.

117

118 **Table 2: Biochemical characteristics of *S. aureus***

S. No.	Biochemical Test	Reaction (+/-)
1	Catalase	+
2	Oxidase	+
3	Slide coagulase	+
4	Tube coagulase	+
5	Mannitol fermentation	+
6	NADase	+

119

120 In total 384 samples there were total 223 male sample and 161 samples from females. Out
 121 223 samples from there 65 samples were of MRSA and rest 158 were of MSSA. Similarly in
 122 161 female's samples there were 48 samples of MRSA and remaining 113 of MSSA. Most
 123 number (61.71%) of *staphylococcus aureus* were found in 20 to 50 years of age group. Out of

124 which highest number of cases were found from 31 to 40 years (85) of age followed by 21 to
 125 30 years (81) and 41 to 50 years (71). (Table-3)

Table – 3. Sex wise distribution of MRSA.

Age	MRSA (N=113)		MSSA (N=271)		Total (n=384)
	Male (65)	Female (48)	Male (158)	Female (113)	
≤10	6	4	13	7	30 (7.8)
11- 20 years	2	2	18	17	39 (10.2)
21- 30 years	17	13	27	24	81 (21.1)
31- 40 years	12	10	32	31	85 (22.1)
41- 50 years	14	11	29	17	71 (18.5)
51- 60 years	8	6	21	9	44 (11.5)
>61 years	6	2	18	8	34 (8.9)

126

Table – 4. Distribution of MRSA in various clinical samples Total n. 384

S. No	Samples	MRSA	MSSA	Chi-square	p-value
1.	Blood	18(29.5%)	43(71.4%)	0.489	0.974
2.	Urine	30(28.8%)	74(71.1%)		
3.	Sputum	4(21%)	15(78.9%)		
4.	Pus	39(31.45%)	85(68%)		
5.	Pleural fluid	3(23.07%)	10(76.92%)		
6.	Wound swab	10(26.3%)	28(73.68%)		
7.	Vaginal swab	4(28.5%)	10(71.4%)		
8.	CSF	1(100%)	0		
9.	Throat swab	4(40%)	6(60%)		

127

128 In this study incidence of MRSA from clinical sample *S. aureus* were more in pus
 129 39(31.45%) followed blood 18(29.5%), urine 30 (28.8%), Sputum 4 (21%), pleural fluid 3
 130 (33.3%), wound swab 10 (26.3%), Vaginal swab 4 (28.5%), CSF 1 (100%), Throat swab 4
 131 (40%). (Table-4)

Table-5. Antibiotics resistance pattern from clinical specimens (Total n. 384)

Antibiotics	n (%) (MRSA)	n (%) (MSSA)
Linezolid	30 (7.8)	354 (92.2)
Tiecoplan	59 (15.4)	325 (84.6)
Gentamycin	112 (29.2)	272 (70.8)
Tetracyclin	144 (37.5)	240 (62.5)
Erythromycin	265 (69.0)	119 (31.0)
Clindamycin	135 (35.2)	249 (64.8)
Ciprofloxacin	141 (36.7)	243 (63.3)
Cefoxitin	113 (29.4)	271 (70.6)
Oxacillin	99 (25.8)	285 (74.2)
Clotrimazole	228 (59.4)	156 (40.6)
Amoxyclave	103 (26.8)	281 (73.2)

Vancomycin	102 (26.6)	282 (73.4)
Refampicin	102 (26.6)	282 (73.4)

132 The antibiotic sensitivity pattern of *S. aureus*. The majority of isolates MRSA from cefoxitin
133 113 (29.4%) and disc diffusion oxacillin 99 (25.8%). However, we observed a high incidence
134 of resistance to other antibiotics such as Erythromycin 265 (69.0%), followed by
135 Clotrimazole 228 (59.4%), Tetracyclin 144 (37.5), Vancomycin 102 (26.6%) and Refampicin
136 102 (26.6%). We also observed highly sensitivity to the Linezolid 354 (92.2%) followed by
137 Ticoplanin 325 (84.6%), Gentamycin 272 (70.8%), Clindamycin 249 (64.8%) and
138 Amoxyclave 281 (73.2%). (Table-5)

139 **Discussion:**

140 MRSA has been linked to considerable morbidity and death, and it is a serious public health
141 concern across the world. Data on MRSA transmission patterns remain poor in
142 underdeveloped countries like as India. Antibiotics produced against *S. aureus* have three
143 targets: cell envelope, ribosomes, and nucleic acids. Methicillin belongs to the beta lactamase
144 class, which attacks the cell envelope. Methicillin resistance develops through the acquisition
145 of genes that are less sensitive to antibiotic action [16]. In this investigation, 113 of the 384 *S.*
146 *aureus* isolates were MRSA. MRSA was determined to be prevalent at our hospital at 29.4%,
147 according to our research. Other investigations have found a significant incidence of MRSA
148 in various regions of the nation, such as 32% in a study by Bilal Ahmad et al [17] similar to
149 this study. Another research conducted by Karem H. Alzoubi in Jordan found that the total
150 prevalence of MRSA was 34% [18]. In support to the above findings, Rajaduraipandi et al.
151 also found 31.1% MRSA strains in their investigation, [19]. Various studies from different
152 regions of India including Mumbai, Haryana and Greater Noida, presented the prevalence of
153 MRSA similar to the present study. [20-22]. More than 50% prevalence of MRSA was
154 observed in MRSA from different states of India.[23-25] Around 5 year's back from low
155 prevalence to high prevalence was observed from different study from different places of

156 **India.[26-29]** In the inpatient setting, a compromised immune system is one of the major risk
157 factors for MRSA. Those most at risk for infection were infants, the elderly, the chronically
158 ill, burn survivors, steroid users, diabetic patients.[30] In this investigation, the pus sample
159 had the greatest number of MRSA cases (31.45%) followed blood (29.5%), urine (28.8%),
160 Sputum (21%), pleural fluid (33.3%), wound swab (26.3%), Vaginal swab (28.5%), CSF
161 (100%), Throat swab (40%). **Although the result was not statistically significant but the**
162 **highest prevalence of MRSA was observed in pus samples compared to other samples. In**
163 **Support to this, Goel A et al stated in their study that the highest prevalence was detected in**
164 **pus samples (66.03%), followed by urine (11.45%), and blood and tips (9.16%) in Agra**
165 **region.[31]** MRSA isolates resistant to three or more types of antibiotics were discovered in
166 this investigation. As showed in the table-5, MRSA presented with highly resistant
167 (Erythromycin-69.0) to lowest resistant (Linozolid-7.8). Another research also found that
168 44.4 percent of MRSA isolates were resistant to cefotaxime, 40.7% to gentamicin, 86.4% to
169 ciprofloxacin, 40.7 percent to clindamycin, 66.7% to erythromycin, and 49.4% to ofloxacin
170 [32].

171 **Conclusion:**

172 Finally, it may be stated that the routine monitoring of MRSA's antimicrobial susceptibility
173 pattern and the establishment of a clear antimicrobial policy may be beneficial in reducing the
174 incidence of these infections in hospitals. MRSA prevalence is known to vary depending on
175 geographical region, hospital type, investigated population, and technique of detection used.
176 Due to the prevalence of MRSA, people infected with MRSA must visit the clinic; therefore,
177 it is important for health care providers to identify potential MRSA skin infections. Because
178 MRSA infection can mimic other lesions, appropriate precautions and clinical suspicion are
179 warranted. Furthermore, given the clinical implications of MRSA infection and its fast
180 transmission capability, MRSA strains must be monitored on a regular basis.

181 **Conflict of Interest**

182 There is no conflict of Interest in this study by any author.

183 **Ethical Consideration:**

184 This study was approved by the institutional ethical committee from Santosh Medical
185 College, Ghaziabad.

186 **Source of Funding:**

187 None

188 **Acknowledgement:**

189 Authors are sincerely thankful to administration and supportive staff of Santosh Medical
190 College and Hospital to conduct this hassle free research.

191

192 **References**

- 193 1. Lowy FD. *Staphylococcus aureus* infection. New Engl Jour Med. 1998;339:520-32.
- 194 2. Dilnessa T, Bitew A. Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of methicillin
195 resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from clinical samples at Yekatit 12 Hospital
196 Medical College, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. BMC Infect Dis. 2016;16:398
- 197 3. Harkins CP, Pichon B, Doumith M, Parkhill J, Westh H, Tomasz A, et al. Methicillin-
198 resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* emerged long before the introduction of methicillin
199 into clinical practice. Genome Biol. 2017 Jul 20;18(1):130. doi: 10.1186/s13059-017-
200 1252-9. PMID: 28724393; PMCID: PMC5517843.
- 201 4. Elmer K, Washington W, Staphen A, Gary P, editors. Color Atlas & Textbook of
202 Diagnostic Microbiology, 6th edition. Wolters Kluwer; 2006: 643–648.
- 203 5. Rayner C, Munckhof WJ. Antibiotics currently used in the treatment of infections
204 caused by *Staphylococcus aureus*. Intern. Med. J. 2005;35(2):3-16.

- 205 6. Rahima T, Nafissa B Abdelghani D. Prevalence of methicillin resistant
206 *Staphylococcus aureus* and/or intermediate susceptibility to vancomycin isolated from
207 private laboratories in Annaba "Algeria". J Chem Pharm Res. 2015;7(5):780–6.
- 208 7. Jayshree VK, Kumar A YS. Prevalence of Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus*
209 *aureus* (MRSA) at tertiary care hospital of Mathura, India. Progress Res.
210 2016;11((Spl VIII)):5495–8.
- 211 8. Susan LK. Concepts in Antimicrobial therapy; TB of Diagnostic Microbiology: 3rd
212 edition: WB Saunders. Editors; Mahon C.R, Manuselis G:2007 pg 82.
- 213 9. Wielders CL, Fluit AC, Brisse S, Verhoef J, Schmitz FJ. *mecA* gene is widely
214 disseminated in *Staphylococcus aureus* population. J Clin Microbiol. 2002
215 Nov;40(11):3970-5. doi: 10.1128/JCM.40.11.3970-3975.2002. PMID: 12409360;
216 PMCID: PMC139644.
- 217 10. Paterson GK, Larsen AR, Robb A, Edwards GE, Pennycott TW, Foster G. The newly
218 described *mecA* homologue, *mecALGA251*, is present in methicillin-resistant
219 *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from a diverse range of host species. J. Antimicrob.
220 Chemother. 2012;67(12):2809-13.
- 221 11. Porrero MC, Mentaberre G, Sánchez S, Fernández-Llario P, Casas-Díaz E, Mateos A,
222 et al. Carriage of *Staphylococcus aureus* by free-living wild animals in Spain. Appl
223 Environ Microbiol. 2014 Aug;80(16):4865-70. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00647-14. Epub
224 2014 Jun 6. PMID: 24907325; PMCID: PMC4135777.
- 225 12. Harrison EM, Paterson GK, Holden MT, Morgan FJ, Larsen AR, Petersen A, et al. A
226 *Staphylococcus xylosus* isolate with a new *mecC* allotype. Antimicrob Agents
227 Chemother. 2013 Mar;57(3):1524-8. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01882-12. Epub 2012 Dec
228 28. PMID: 23274660; PMCID: PMC3591899.

- 229 13. Verma S, Joshi S, Chitnis V, Hemavani N, Chitnis D. Growing problems of
230 Methicillin Resistant Staphylococci-Indian Scenario. *Indian J Med Sci* 2000; 54:535-
231 40.
- 232 14. Bratzler DW, Hunt DR. The surgical infection prevention and surgical care
233 improvement projects: national initiatives to improve outcomes for patients having
234 surgery. *Clin Infect Dis* 2006; 43: 322-330.
- 235 15. Leung ECM, Lee MKP, Lai RWM. Admission Screening of Methicillin- Resistant
236 *Staphylococcus aureus* with Rapid Molecular Detection in Intensive Care Unit: A
237 Three-Year Single-Centre Experience in Hong Kong. *ISRN Microbiol* [Internet].
238 2013;1–5. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/140294>
- 239 16. Lim D, Strynadka NCJ. Structural basis for the β lactam resistance of PBP2a from
240 methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Nat Struct Biol* . 2002;9(11):870
- 241 17. Mir BA, Srikanth. Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of Methicillin Resistant
242 *Staphylococcus aureus* and Coagulase-negative staphylococci in a tertiary care
243 hospital. *Asian J Pharm Clin Res*. 2013; 6(3):231-4.
- 244 18. Alzoubi KH, Hayajneh WA, Ayoub AM, Al-Safi SA, A-Azzam SI, Mhaidat NM.
245 Prevalence of Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) at a Tertiary
246 Hospital in North Jordan. *Jordan Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 2010; 3(1):37-
247 43.
- 248 19. K. Rajadurai pandi, KR Mani, K. Panneerselvam, M. Mani, M. Bhaskar, P.
249 Manikandan. Prevalence and Antimicrobial Susceptibility pattern of Methicillin
250 Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: A Multicentre Study. *Indian J Medi Microbiol*.
251 2016; 24(1):34-8.
- 252 20. Shah S, Rampal R, Thakkar P, Poojary S, Ladi S. The Prevalence and Antimicrobial
253 Susceptibility Pattern of Gram-Positive Pathogens: Three-Year Study at a Private

- 254 Tertiary Care Hospital in Mumbai, India. J Lab Physicians. 2021 Jul 2;1:1-5. DOI:
255 10.1055/s-0041-1731136
- 256 21. Lohan K, Sangwan J, Mane P, Lathwal S. Prevalence pattern of MRSA from a rural
257 medical college of North India: A cause of concern. J Family Med Prim Care. 2021
258 Feb;10(2):752-7
- 259 22. Nazar A, Imran Y, Rastogi V, Singhal P. Prevalence and Antibiotic Susceptibility
260 Pattern of Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) at a Tertiary Care
261 Hospital from Northern India. Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci.2019;8(09):2-8
- 262 23. Kaur K, Gill AK, Kaur M. Methicillin Resistance, Vancomycin Intermediate and
263 Vancomycin Resistance *Staphylococcus aureus* Prevalence in a Tertiary Care
264 Hospital of Punjab, India.NJLM. 2019;8(3):MO01-MO03
- 265 24. Chatterjee A, Rai S, Guddattu V, Mukhopadhyay C, Saravu K. Is methicillin-resistant
266 *Staphylococcus Aureus* infection associated with higher mortality and morbidity in
267 hospitalized patients? A cohort study of 551 patients from South Western India. Risk
268 management and healthcare policy. 2018;11:243.
- 269 25. Kulshrestha A, Anamika V, Mrithunjay K, Himanshu V, Manish K, Dalal AS. A
270 prospective study on the prevalence and antibiotic sensitivity pattern of methicillin
271 resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from various clinical specimen at a tertiary
272 care post graduate teaching institute. Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci. 2017;6(3):1859-
273 69.
- 274 26. Choudhury D, Chakravarty P. Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of
275 methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Silchar Medical College and Hospital,
276 Assam, India. Int J Basic Clin Pharmacol 2016;5:2174-7.
- 277 27. Jana H, Roy T, Dey R, Dey JB, Ghosh A, Mondal KC. Prevalence and antimicrobial
278 susceptibility patterns of different clinical isolates of HA MRSA and CA MRSA in in

- 279 a tertiary care rural hospital, Bankura, West Bengal, India. *Sch J Appl Med Sci.*
280 2015;3(2):944-8.
- 281 28. Poddar N, Pattnaik D, Panigrahi K, Pathi B, Lenka PR, Mohanty S, et al. Prevalence
282 and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern of Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus*
283 *aureus* (MRSA) Isolates and Inducible Clindamycin resistance in *Staphylococcus*
284 *aureus*: study at a Tertiary Care Hospital in KIMS, Bhubaneswar, India. *Int J Adv Res*
285 *Biol Sci.* 2015;2(1):9-15.
- 286 29. Kulkarni S, Khare A, Charan Kaur D. Prevalence of methicillin resistant
287 *staphylococcus aureus*-A study in a tertiary care rural hospital. *Indian J Basic Appl*
288 *Med Res.* 2014;3:414–21
- 289 30. Green BN, Johnson CD, Egan JT, Rosenthal M, Griffith EA, Evans MW. Methicillin-
290 resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: an overview for manual therapists. *J Chiropr Med.*
291 2012 Mar;11(1):64-76.
- 292 31. Goyal A, Diwakar MK, Bhooshan S, Goyal S, Agrawal A. Prevalence and
293 Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern of Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*
294 [MRSA] isolates at a Tertiary Care Hospital in Agra, North India – A systemic annual
295 review. *IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences.* 2013;11:80-84. 10.9790/0853-
296 1168084.
- 297 32. Preeja PP, Kumar SH, Shetty V. Prevalence and Characterization of Methicillin-
298 Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* from Community- and Hospital-Associated
299 Infections: A Tertiary Care Center Study. *Antibiotics* 2021, 10, 197.

300

301

302

303