

Original Research Article

Effect of chlorhexidine, ozonated olive oil and olive oil mouthwash on oral health status of patients with gingivitis : A randomised controlled trial

Running title: Effect of olive oil, ozonated olive oil and chlorhexidine mouthwash

ABSTRACT:

BACKGROUND: Effective plaque control is important to prevent gingival and periodontal diseases. In recent years, Olive oil and Ozonated olive oil are gaining paramount importance in dentistry because of its minimal side effects as compared to chemical agents.

AIM: To assess the effect of olive oil, ozonated olive oil, and chlorhexidine mouthwash on oral health status of patients with gingivitis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: The present double blinded, parallel designed, randomized clinical trial was carried out among 66 gingivitis patients in the Department of Periodontics, Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Chennai, India. Participants were assigned to three groups: 22 participants in each group [Group A (CHX mouthwash), Group B (OOO - Ozonated olive oil) and Group C (OO - olive oil)]. Complete ultrasonic scaling was done and subjects were asked not to use any oral hygiene aids and recalled after three days and the gingival index and OHI(S) were noted (baseline). Then subjects were provided with respective mouthwash and instructed to use them for 15 days. Again, gingival index and OHI(S) were noted after 15 days. The data was analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS Software, Version 23.0). One-way ANOVA was used to compare the mean values of gingival index between the groups. Tukey's HSD post hoc test was done to find means that are significantly different from each other. Also, student's paired t-test was used to compare the mean values of gingival index within the groups.

RESULTS: A statistically significant difference was obtained between group A and C ($p=0.000$) and between Group B and C ($p=0.000$). However, there was a non-significant difference between mouthwash A and B ($p>0.05$) showing that OOO and chlorhexidine mouthwashes were equally effective in reducing gingivitis.

CONCLUSION: The present study suggests that efficacy of OOO was equally effective in reducing plaque and gingivitis as compared to chlorhexidine mouthwash. Therefore, oil pulling using OOO can be a better alternative to chlorhexidine mouthwash.

KEYWORDS: Chlorhexidine; Oil pulling; Olive oil; Ozonation; Innovative; Mouthwash.

INTRODUCTION:

The most common diseases of the mouth are gingivitis and periodontitis. Gingivitis can be defined as gum disease with inflammation of the gums, where the gums will appear red and puffy, and will usually bleed during tooth-brushing or dental examination [1]. Gingivitis is a mild condition of inflammation which is usually asymptomatic, hence they are unnoticed. The primary etiology of gingivitis is plaque, but there are several aggravating factors including habits like smoking, stress, genetic factors, systemic diseases and hormonal distress [2][3–9][10]. Untreated gingivitis will cause periodontitis, which manifests as increased pocket depth, recession, furcation involvement, mobility, bone loss [11][12–15][14][16].

The development of a preventive regimen that targets the microbial risk factor is the most comprehensive and successful approach toward gingivitis. The gingivitis is curable and easy to revert back to healthy gingiva, but once it worsens to periodontitis, the grade depends on their recovery. Prevention is better than cure, hence the maintenance of oral health is the best way to prevent all these. Oral health is multi-faceted and includes the ability to speak, smile, smell, taste, touch, chew, swallow and convey a range of emotions through facial expressions with confidence and without pain, discomfort and disease of the craniofacial complex. Oral hygiene can be defined as the maintenance of teeth and gums in a healthy condition. The most reliable methods of oral hygiene maintenance are mechanical methods of tooth cleaning using toothbrushes in adjuvant with chemotherapeutic agents [17]. Even though brushing removes the debris out of the oral cavity, there is a high chance of debris over interdental areas [18]. In order to counter this, interdental brushes and mouthwashes have been introduced. These mouthwashes have the ability to flush out all the debris in the mouth [19]. Among them, chlorhexidine (CHX) is considered as the “gold standard,” as it has a broad spectrum of activity [20]. However, overuse or increase in quantity of usage causes unpleasant taste and undesirable side effects such as tooth staining and altered taste sensation. In some cases, the dysfunctioning or death of papilla over the tongue is also reported. Also, there are some conventional drugs to prevent them which include vitamins and antibiotics [21,22]. Nowadays, herbal treatments as an adjunct to routine scaling and root planing are gaining importance because of its minimal side effects. [23] [24][25]

One of the traditional Indian remedies is oil pulling which was familiarized by Dr. F. Karach [26]. Oil pulling is an ancient ayurvedic therapy where a tablespoon of oil is used to gargle or rinse all over the mouth every morning [27]. This process should be done for 5 mins, but the ideal usage is 2 to 3 mins. The swishing of oil activates enzymes and draws toxins out of the blood. Their antioxidant effects damage the cell wall of microorganisms and destroy them [28]. The emulsification process which occurs due to agitation of oil in the mouth leads to the formation of a soapy layer, which can alter the adhesion of the bacteria on the tooth surface, remove superficial worn out squamous cells, and improve oral hygiene. Since it inhibits bacterial adhesion, it also prevents plaque coaggregation over the mouth [29].

At present, there are a number of indigenous natural medicinal products which deserve due recognition for their contribution to improving oral health. Olive oil (OO) has the following advantages over the standard and commercially available mouthwashes [30]. It causes no staining and no allergic reactions. It is available at the same cost of chlorhexidine mouthwash [31]. One of the real considerations is, olive oil is a substance we use over our kitchen daily, so there is no need of getting a separate one. Considering these benefits, oil pulling therapy with OO could be promoted as a measure for the prevention of oral disease[32].

Currently, ozone therapy is gaining popularity as a modern noninvasive method of treatment. It is a powerful oxidizing agent with a high antimicrobial power against oral pathogens [33]. Ozonation is a process of addition of superoxide O_2^- ion to the normal oxygen molecule. This can be done only in the superoxide state and they are highly unstable. Their stability is purely because of the resonance of the molecule [34]. The olive is superheated and oxygen gas is superheated to achieve a renaissance phase and combined together to form an ozonated olive oil (OOO) [35]. Ozone (O_3), when in contact with organic fluids, causes the formation of reactive oxygen molecules (O_2) which influence the cellular metabolism, tissue repair, and antimicrobial effect [36]. In addition, ozone therapy can be systemically or locally applied, cost-effective and has restricted intolerance or contraindication with minimal side effects.

Our team has extensive knowledge and research experience that has translated into high quality publications.[37–49],[50–54] [55] [56]. Through extensive literature search, it was revealed that there is a lack of adequate studies testing the efficacy of freshly prepared olive oil and ozonated olive oil. Hence, the rationale of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of OO and OOO over chlorhexidine mouthwash on oral health status of patients with gingivitis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Study population

The present double-blinded, parallel designed randomized clinical trial was carried out in the Department of Periodontics, Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Chennai, India. A total of 66 patients with gingivitis within the age group of 35-45 years were enrolled. The ethical clearance was obtained from the Institutional Ethical Committee (IHEC/SDC/UG- 1860/20/320) and a written informed consent was obtained from all the study participants.

Inclusion criteria

Participants within the age group of 35-45 years who were systemically healthy, presence of at least 20 teeth, probing depth of 1-3 mm, presence of bleeding on probing (BOP) in at least 30% of the sites were included in the study.

Exclusion criteria

Participants allergic to herbal extracts, smokers, pregnant or lactating mothers, participants under long term medications, systemically compromised patients were excluded from the study.

Test solutions

Group 1: Chlorhexidine Mouthwash (Clohex Plus® mouthwash, Dr Reddy's Lab Ltd., Hyderabad, India).

Group 2: OOO (PurO3 olive oil, PurO3 Ltd, Arkansas, USA)

Group 3: OO (Cardia life extra virgin olive oil, kaleesuvari refinery Pvt Ltd., chennai, India).

Study design

A pilot study was conducted using similar oils and mouthwashes to check the feasibility of the study. The prevalence of gingivitis was 80% in the pilot study. Considering the dropouts, the sample size was inflated by 20%, hence the sample size was 66 with 22 participants in each group [Group A (CHX mouthwash), Group B (OOO - Ozonated olive oil) and Group C (OO - olive oil)]. Participants were assigned to the groups by a person not involved in the study. All the subjects were provided with their assigned mouthrinses and were divided into Group 1, Group 2 and Group 3 randomly using a simple lottery method with 22 participants in each group. All the mouthrinses were dispensed in identical bottles thereby ensuring subject masking. The examiner and the participants were also blinded with regard to the mouthrinse allocated to them thereby ensuring a double-blinded study. Subjects were instructed to use 10 ml of mouthwash for 1 min twice daily after tooth brushing for a period of 1 month. Complete ultrasonic scaling was done for all the participants and the subjects were provided with a standard tooth brush, standard tooth paste and they were advised to brush their teeth by following modified bass technique. and recalled after three days and gingival index and OHIS was recorded (baseline). Then subjects were provided with respective mouthwashes for a period of 15 days. The gingival index was noted again after 1 month.

Clinical parameters

- Oral Health Index(Simplified) (OHI-S)
- Loe and Silness gingival index (GI)

All the parameters were recorded at baseline(T1) and after 15 days(T2).

Statistical analysis

The data was analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS Software, Version 23.0). Descriptive and inferential statistics were done for data summarization and presentation. One-way ANOVA was used to compare the mean values of OHI(S) and gingival index between the groups. Tukey's HSD post hoc test was done to find means that are significantly different from each other. Also, student's paired t-test was used to compare the mean values of OHI(S) and gingival index within the groups.

Preparation of ozonated olive oil

Ozonated OO was prepared by passing ozone gas through commercially available OO (PurO3) using an ozone generator (Ozone Engineers). The output was titrated to 2 g/h for about 2 min to adjust the concentration of ozone to 0.01 ppm. Since half-life of ozone is only 20 min and it was freshly prepared every day just before the usage.

RESULTS:

A total of 66 study participants were enrolled in this study and were divided into three groups each of 22 participants. Group A - CHX, Group B - OOO and Group C - OO.

One-way ANOVA showed there was no statistically significant difference between the baseline GI and OHI(S) values as compared to the three mouthwash groups ($p=0.865$), but there was a statistically significant difference ($p=0.000$) observed between the three mouthwash when compared after 15 days. The baseline TI values between the three groups were statistically not significant ($p=0.865$), whereas after 15 days, there was a statistically significant difference ($p=0.000$). (Table 1)

Tukey's HSD post hoc test was done to find means that are significantly different from each other. A statistically significant difference between Group 1 and Group 3 was observed in terms of post GI ($p=0.000$) and post OHI(S) ($p=0.000$) but statistically no significant difference was observed between Group 1 and Group 2 in terms of post GI ($p=0.171$) and post OHI(S) ($p=0.338$). (Table 2)

Student's paired t-test was done to compare the mean values of gingival index and OHI(S) within the groups. The mean difference between the baseline and post gingival index and baseline and the post OHI(S) was statistically significant in both Group 1 and Group 2 with the p value of 0.000. Whereas, no statistically significant difference was observed between the baseline and post GI (0.24) and baseline and post OHI(S) (0.27) in Group 3. (Table 3)

Variable	Group 1 (Chlorhexidine mouthwash)	Group 2 (OOO)	Group 3 (OO)	p value
Pre GI	2.681±1.037	3.409±1.543	3.5±1.144	0.865
Post GI	1.409±1.225	1.476±1.081	2.343±1.1154	0.000
Pre OHI(S)	4.54±1.33	4.8±1.012	4.36±1.000	0.47
Post OHI(S)	0.76±0.36	1.05±1.65	2.30±1.479	0.000

Table 1: One-way ANOVA comparison of mean gingival index and OHI(S) between the study groups.

Groups		Post GI		Post OHI(S)	
		Mean difference	p value	Mean difference	p value
Group 1 (CHX mouthwash)	Group 2 (OOO)	-0.067	0.171	-0.29	0.338
	Group 3 (OO)	-0.934	0.000	-1.54	0.000
Group 2 (OOO)	Group 1 (CHX mouthwash)	0.067	0.171	0.29	0.338
	Group 3 (OO)	-0.867	0.000	-1.25	0.000

Table 2: Tukey's HSD post Hoc pairwise comparison of mean gingival index and OHI(S)

Groups	Mean GI		p value	Mean OHI(S)		p value
	pre	post		pre	post	
Group 1 (CHX mouthwash)	2.681±1.037	1.409±1.225	0.000	4.54±1.33	0.76±0.36	0.000
Group 2 (OOO)	3.409±1.543	1.476±1.081	0.000	4.8±1.012	1.05±1.65	0.000
Group 3 (OO)	3.5±1.144	2.343±1.1154	0.24	4.36±1.000	2.30±1.479	0.27

Table 3: Mean comparison of gingival and OHI(S) within the study groups using student's paired t test.

DISCUSSION:

The present study assesses the effect of olive oil, ozonated olive oil and chlorhexidine mouthwash on oral health status of patients with gingivitis.

The present study showed that the mean OHI(S) at baseline was statistically not significant between the three groups ($p=0.47$). However, the mean OHI(S) after 15 days was highly significant between CHX mouthwash and OO ($p=0.000$) and OOO and OO ($p=0.000$); showing that both chlorhexidine mouthwashes and OOO were equally effective in preventing plaque formation ($p=0.338$). Kamnath et al studied the clinical efficacy of aloe vera and tea tree oil and observed that both herbal and chlorhexidine mouthwashes showed significant reduction in OHI(S) scores after 1 month of usage [57]. Botelho et al studied the clinical efficacy of essential oil mouth rinse and observed that both essential oil mouth rinse and chlorhexidine mouthwashes showed significant reduction in OHI(S) after 1 month of usage [58].

Also, the present study revealed that the mean GI after 15 days was highly significant between CHX mouthwash and OO ($p=0.000$) and OOO and OO ($p=0.000$); showing that both chlorhexidine mouthwashes and OOO were equally effective in reducing inflammation ($p=0.171$). This might be due to the substantivity of CHX and OOO, which adhere to the tissues such as oral mucosa and teeth [59]. This helps to maintain a potent sustained release, which, in turn, reduces the bacterial count and prevents the accumulation of dental plaque and so the gingivitis [60]. Haas AN et al studied the clinical efficacy of essential oils containing mouthwashes and observed that they showed significant reduction in gingival index scores after 1 month of usage [61]. Richards et al studied the clinical efficacy of essential oil mouthwash and

observed that both herbal and chlorhexidine mouthwashes showed significant reduction in gingival index scores after 1 month of usage [62]. Nardi GM et al studied the clinical efficacy of ozonated olive oil chlorhexidine mouthwashes, they showed significant reduction in gingival index and scores after 15 days of usage [33]. Similar results were obtained in the studies of Claffey N et al [63] and Eid Alroudhan I et al [20]. Our findings are in accordance with the previous studies.

From the study results, it can be stated that the OOO had a promising antiplaque and antigingivitis property as similar as the chlorhexidine mouthwash. However, further long term follow-up studies are needed to substantiate the present finding and hence can be used as an adjunct to scaling and root planning in the management of gingival diseases.

CONCLUSION:

The present study suggests that efficacy of OOO was equally effective in reducing plaque and gingivitis as compared to chlorhexidine mouthwash. Therefore, oil pulling using OOO can be a better alternative to chlorhexidine mouthwash.

COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

REFERENCES:

1. S TA, Thanish AS, Rajasekar A, Mathew MG. Assessment of tooth loss in chronic periodontitis patients with and without diabetes mellitus: A cross-sectional study [Internet]. Vol. 11, International Journal of Research in Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2020. p. 1927–31. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.26452/ijrps.v11ispl3.3649>
2. Preber H. Cigarette Smoking and Periodontal Disease: Clinical and Therapeutic Aspects. 1986. 125 p.
3. B G, Geethika B, Rajasekar A, Chaudary M. Comparison of periodontal status among pregnant and non-pregnant women [Internet]. Vol. 11, International Journal of Research in Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2020. p. 1923–6. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.26452/ijrps.v11ispl3.3648>
4. Rajasekar A, Lecturer S, Department of Periodontics, Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, et al. Assessment Of Periodontal Status among Post Menopausal Women: A Retrospective Study [Internet]. International Journal of Dentistry and Oral Science. 2020. p. 1063–6. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.19070/2377-8075-20000210>
5. Kandhan T, Rajasekar A. Prevalence of Periodontal Diseases Among Patients with And Without Systemic Diseases - A Retrospective Study [Internet]. Vol. 11, Journal of Complementary Medicine Research. 2020. p. 155. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5455/jcmr.2020.11.04.20>
6. Assessment of periodontal health among patients with diabetes mellitus: a retrospective study [Internet]. Vol. 26, Journal of Contemporary Issues in Business and Government. 2021. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.47750/cibg.2020.26.02.004>
7. Assessment of Gender Based Difference in Occurrence of Periodontal Diseases: A Retrospective Study [Internet]. Vol. 27, Journal of Contemporary Issues in Business and Government. 2021. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.47750/cibg.2021.27.02.064>
8. Evaluation of Periodontal Health Adjacent to Class V Restoration [Internet]. Vol. 27, Journal of Contemporary Issues in Business and Government. 2021. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.47750/cibg.2021.27.02.040>
9. Prevalence of Periodontal Diseases Among Individuals Above 45 Years: A Retrospective Study [Internet]. Vol. 27, Journal of Contemporary Issues in Business and Government. 2021. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.47750/cibg.2021.27.02.065>
10. Rajasekar A, Mathew MG, Others. Prevalence of Periodontal Disease among Individuals between 18-30 Years of Age: A Retrospective Study. Ann Med Health Sci Res [Internet]. 2021; Available from: <https://www.amhsr.org/abstract/prevalence-of-periodontal-disease-among-individuals-between-1830-years-of-age-a-retrospective-study-8496.html>

11. Robo I, Heta S, Papa P, Sadiku E, Alliu N. THE IMPACT OF SMOKING ON THE HEALTH OF PERIODONTAL TISSUE [Internet]. RAD Conference Proceedings. 2017. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21175/radproc.2017.47>
12. Rajeshwaran N, Rajasekar A, Kaarthikeyan G. Prevalence of Pathologic Migration in Patients with Periodontitis: A Retrospective Analysis [Internet]. Vol. 11, Journal of Complementary Medicine Research. 2020. p. 172. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5455/jcmr.2020.11.04.22>
13. Prevalence of Tooth Loss Among Chronic Periodontitis Patients - A Retrospective Study [Internet]. Vol. 12, International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research. 2020. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.31838/ijpr/2020.sp2.239>
14. Murthykumar K, Rajasekar A, Kaarthikeyan G. Assessment of various treatment modalities for isolated gingival recession defect- A retrospective study [Internet]. Vol. 11, International Journal of Research in Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2020. p. 3–7. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.26452/ijrps.v11ispl3.2824>
15. Sabarathinam J, Rajasekar A, Madhulaxmi M. Prevalence of Furcation Involvement Among Patients with Periodontitis: A Cross Sectional Study [Internet]. Vol. 11, International Journal of Research in Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2020. p. 1483–7. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.26452/ijrps.v11ispl3.3457>
16. Prevalence of Angular Bone Defects in Chronic Periodontitis Patients with and without Systemic Diseases [Internet]. Indian Journal of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology. 2020. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.37506/ijfmt.v14i4.12487>
17. J K, Kirsten J, Alison M. Gingivitis Control [Internet]. Gingival Diseases - Their Aetiology, Prevention and Treatment. 2011. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/24813>
18. Ibrahim REHM, Helaly MO, Ahmed EMA. Assessment of Brushing Techniques in School Children and Its Association with Dental Caries, Omdurman, 2019. Int J Dent. 2021 Jan 22;2021:4383418.
19. Sharma R, Nayak UA, Nayak PA, Wadhwa S. Efficacy of Tongue Brushing and Mouth Rinsing as Adjuvants to Tooth Brushing in Children [Internet]. JOURNAL OF CLINICAL AND DIAGNOSTIC RESEARCH. 2019. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.7860/jcdr/2019/39990.12672>
20. Eid Alroudhan I, Gamal M, Ganji KK, Khan AM, Alsharari KN, Alruwaili MK, et al. The Effectiveness of Mouthwashes With Various Ingredients in Plaque Control: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Altern Ther Health Med [Internet]. 2021 Apr 30; Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33929973>
21. Garmasheva I, Kovalenko N, Voychuk S, Ostapchuk A, Livins'ka O, Oleschenko L. Lactobacillus species mediated synthesis of silver nanoparticles and their antibacterial activity against opportunistic pathogens in vitro [Internet]. Vol. 6, BioImpacts. 2016. p. 219–23. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15171/bi.2016.29>

22. Ghafar SAA, Fudzi NBA, Sulaiman WNF, Hanafiah RM, Lim V. Antibacterial activity of silver nanoparticles *Clinacanthus nutans* (Agnp-CN) against *Streptococcus mutans* [Internet]. Vol. 9, *Frontiers in Pharmacology*. 2018. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/conf.fphar.2018.63.00062>
23. Dabholkar CS. Comparative Evaluation of Antimicrobial Activity of Pomegranate-Containing Mouthwash Against Oral-Biofilm Forming Organisms: An Invitro Microbial Study [Internet]. *JOURNAL OF CLINICAL AND DIAGNOSTIC RESEARCH*. 2016. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.7860/jcdr/2016/16478.7475>
24. Thakur BK, Kumar A, Kumar D. Green synthesis of titanium dioxide nanoparticles using *Azadirachta indica* leaf extract and evaluation of their antibacterial activity [Internet]. Vol. 124, *South African Journal of Botany*. 2019. p. 223–7. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2019.05.024>
25. Evaluation of Antiplaque and Antigingivitis Effects of A Herbal Mouthwash [Internet]. Vol. 13, *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*. 2021. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.31838/ijpr/2021.13.01.521>
26. Siripaiboonpong N. 04 / Effect of Virgin Coconut Oil Pulling in Comparison with Palm Oil Pulling on Gingival Health and Plaque Microorganisms [Internet]. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.26226/morressier.5ac3832a2afeeb00097a3f65>
27. PD037: Effect of virgin coconut oil pulling in comparison with palm oil pulling on gingival health and plaque microorganisms [Internet]. Vol. 45, *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*. 2018. p. 53–53. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jcpe.36_12914
28. King A. Bad science: Oil pulling [Internet]. Vol. 5, *BDJ Team*. 2018. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/bdjteam.2018.49>
29. Woolley J, Gibbons T, Patel K, Sacco R. Does oil pulling with coconut oil improve oral health and dental hygiene? A protocol of a systematic review [Internet]. 2020. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.37766/inplasy2020.6.0084>
30. Stilo F, Liberto E, Reichenbach SE, Tao Q, Bicchi C, Cordero C. Exploring the Extra-Virgin Olive Oil Volatilome by Adding Extra Dimensions to Comprehensive Two-Dimensional Gas Chromatography and Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry Featuring Tandem Ionization: Validation of Ripening Markers in Headspace Linearity Conditions. *J AOAC Int*. 2021 May 21;104(2):274–87.
31. Ancora D, Milavec J, Gradišek A, Cifelli M, Sepe A, Apih T, et al. Sensitivity of Proton NMR Relaxation and Proton NMR Diffusion Measurements to Olive Oil Adulterations with Vegetable Oils. *J Agric Food Chem* [Internet]. 2021 May 20; Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.1c00914>
32. Wang L, Ahmad S, Wang X, Li H, Luo Y. Comparison of Antioxidant and Antibacterial Activities of Camellia Oil From Hainan With Camellia Oil From Guangxi, Olive Oil, and Peanut Oil. *Front Nutr*. 2021 May 3;8:667744.

33. Nardi GM, Fais S, Casu C, Mazur M, Di Giorgio R, Grassi R, et al. Mouthwash Based on Ozonated Olive Oil in Caries Prevention: A Preliminary In-Vitro Study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* [Internet]. 2020 Dec 6;17(23). Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17239106>
34. Nardi GM, Cesarano F, Papa G, Chiavistelli L, Ardan R, Jedlinski M, et al. Evaluation of Salivary Matrix Metalloproteinase (MMP-8) in Periodontal Patients Undergoing Non-Surgical Periodontal Therapy and Mouthwash Based on Ozonated Olive Oil: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* [Internet]. 2020 Sep 11;17(18). Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17186619>
35. Aghaei M, Aghaei S, Sokhanvari F, Ansari N, Hosseini SM, Mohaghegh M-A, et al. The therapeutic effect of ozonated olive oil plus glucantime on human cutaneous leishmaniasis. *Iran J Basic Med Sci*. 2019 Jan;22(1):25–30.
36. Elshinawy MI, Al-Madboly LA, Ghoneim WM, El-Deeb NM. Synergistic Effect of Newly Introduced Root Canal Medicaments; Ozonated Olive Oil and Chitosan Nanoparticles, Against Persistent Endodontic Pathogens. *Front Microbiol*. 2018 Jul 3;9:1371.
37. Ramesh A, Varghese S, Jayakumar ND, Malaiappan S. Comparative estimation of sulfiredoxin levels between chronic periodontitis and healthy patients - A case-control study. *J Periodontol*. 2018 Oct;89(10):1241–8.
38. Paramasivam A, Priyadharsini JV, Raghunandhakumar S, Elumalai P. A novel COVID-19 and its effects on cardiovascular disease. *Hypertens Res*. 2020 Jul;43(7):729–30.
39. S G, T G, K V, Faleh A A, Sukumaran A, P N S. Development of 3D scaffolds using nanochitosan/silk-fibroin/hyaluronic acid biomaterials for tissue engineering applications. *Int J Biol Macromol*. 2018 Dec;120(Pt A):876–85.
40. Del Fabbro M, Karanxha L, Panda S, Bucchi C, Nadathur Doraiswamy J, Sankari M, et al. Autologous platelet concentrates for treating periodontal infrabony defects. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2018 Nov 26;11:CD011423.
41. Paramasivam A, Vijayashree Priyadharsini J. MitomiRs: new emerging microRNAs in mitochondrial dysfunction and cardiovascular disease. *Hypertens Res*. 2020 Aug;43(8):851–3.
42. Jayaseelan VP, Arumugam P. Dissecting the theranostic potential of exosomes in autoimmune disorders. *Cell Mol Immunol*. 2019 Dec;16(12):935–6.
43. Vellappally S, Al Kheraif AA, Divakar DD, Basavarajappa S, Anil S, Fouad H. Tooth implant prosthesis using ultra low power and low cost crystalline carbon bio-tooth sensor with hybridized data acquisition algorithm. *Comput Commun*. 2019 Dec 15;148:176–84.
44. Vellappally S, Al Kheraif AA, Anil S, Assery MK, Kumar KA, Divakar DD. Analyzing Relationship between Patient and Doctor in Public Dental Health using Particle Memetic Multivariable Logistic Regression Analysis Approach (MLRA2). *J Med Syst*. 2018 Aug

29;42(10):183.

45. Varghese SS, Ramesh A, Veeraiyan DN. Blended Module-Based Teaching in Biostatistics and Research Methodology: A Retrospective Study with Postgraduate Dental Students. *J Dent Educ.* 2019 Apr;83(4):445–50.
46. Venkatesan J, Singh SK, Anil S, Kim S-K, Shim MS. Preparation, Characterization and Biological Applications of Biosynthesized Silver Nanoparticles with Chitosan-Fucoidan Coating. *Molecules* [Internet]. 2018 Jun 12;23(6). Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/molecules23061429>
47. Alsubait SA, Al Ajlan R, Mitwalli H, Aburaisi N, Mahmood A, Muthurangan M, et al. Cytotoxicity of Different Concentrations of Three Root Canal Sealers on Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells. *Biomolecules* [Internet]. 2018 Aug 1;8(3). Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/biom8030068>
48. Venkatesan J, Rekha PD, Anil S, Bhatnagar I, Sudha PN, Dechsakulwatana C, et al. Hydroxyapatite from Cuttlefish Bone: Isolation, Characterizations, and Applications. *Biotechnol Bioprocess Eng.* 2018 Aug 1;23(4):383–93.
49. Vellappally S, Al Kheraif AA, Anil S, Wahba AA. IoT medical tooth mounted sensor for monitoring teeth and food level using bacterial optimization along with adaptive deep learning neural network. *Measurement.* 2019 Mar 1;135:672–7.
50. PradeepKumar AR, Shemesh H, Nivedhitha MS, Hashir MMJ, Arockiam S, Uma Maheswari TN, et al. Diagnosis of Vertical Root Fractures by Cone-beam Computed Tomography in Root-filled Teeth with Confirmation by Direct Visualization: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Endod.* 2021 Aug;47(8):1198–214.
51. R H, Ramani P, Tilakaratne WM, Sukumaran G, Ramasubramanian A, Krishnan RP. Critical appraisal of different triggering pathways for the pathobiology of pemphigus vulgaris-A review. *Oral Dis* [Internet]. 2021 Jun 21; Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/odi.13937>
52. Ezhilarasan D, Lakshmi T, Subha M, Deepak Nallasamy V, Raghunandhakumar S. The ambiguous role of sirtuins in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Oral Dis* [Internet]. 2021 Feb 11; Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/odi.13798>
53. Sarode SC, Gondivkar S, Sarode GS, Gadbail A, Yuwanati M. Hybrid oral potentially malignant disorder: A neglected fact in oral submucous fibrosis. *Oral Oncol.* 2021 Jun 16;105390.
54. Kavarthapu A, Gurumoorthy K. Linking chronic periodontitis and oral cancer: A review. *Oral Oncol.* 2021 Jun 14;105375.
55. Vellappally S, Abdullah Al-Kheraif A, Anil S, Basavarajappa S, Hassanein AS. Maintaining patient oral health by using a xeno-genetic spiking neural network. *J Ambient Intell Humaniz Comput* [Internet]. 2018 Dec 14; Available from:

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12652-018-1166-8>

56. Aldhuwayhi S, Mallineni SK, Sakhamuri S, Thakare AA, Mallineni S, Sajja R, et al. Covid-19 Knowledge and Perceptions Among Dental Specialists: A Cross-Sectional Online Questionnaire Survey. *Risk Manag Healthc Policy*. 2021 Jul 7;14:2851–61.
57. Kamath NP, Tandon S, Nayak R, Naidu S, Anand PS, Kamath YS. The effect of aloe vera and tea tree oil mouthwashes on the oral health of school children. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent*. 2020 Feb;21(1):61–6.
58. Botelho MA, dos Santos RA, Martins JG, Carvalho CO, Paz MC, Azenha C, et al. Comparative effect of an essential oil mouthrinse on plaque, gingivitis and salivary *Streptococcus mutans* levels: a double blind randomized study [Internet]. Vol. 23, *Phytotherapy Research*. 2009. p. 1214–9. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ptr.2489>
59. Ramírez-Hobak L, Moreno-Coutiño G, Arenas-Guzmán R, Gorzelewski A, Fernández-Martínez R. [Treatment of interdental foot Erythrasma with ozonated olive oil]. *Rev Med Inst Mex Seguro Soc*. 2016 Jul;54(4):458–61.
60. Rajabi O, Sazgarnia A, Abbasi F, Layegh P. The activity of ozonated olive oil against *Leishmania major* promastigotes. *Iran J Basic Med Sci*. 2015 Sep;18(9):915–9.
61. Haas AN, Wagner TP, Muniz FWMG, Fiorini T, Cavagni J, Celeste RK. Essential oils-containing mouthwashes for gingivitis and plaque: Meta-analyses and meta-regression. *J Dent*. 2016 Dec;55:7–15.
62. Richards D. Effect of essential oil mouthwashes on plaque and gingivitis. *Evid Based Dent*. 2017 Jun 23;18(2):39–40.
63. Claffey N. Essential oil mouthwashes: a key component in oral health management [Internet]. Vol. 30, *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*. 2003. p. 22–4. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-051x.30.s5.8.x>