

Original Research Article

Phytochemical and Antimicrobial Screening of Leaf and Tuber

Extracts of *Tephrosia calophylla* Bedd.

ABSTRACT:

Tephrosia calophylla, (Fabaceae) a perennial woody under shrub endemic to south India. It is one of 13 rare or threatened *Tephrosia* species. Commonly it is known as Adavivempali. The various species of *Tephrosia* ascribed to have many medicinal and therapeutic uses. The importance of this study was to preliminary screening of different phytochemical constituents for the detection of various secondary metabolites and evaluation of antibacterial, antifungal activity and Minimum Inhibitory Concentration of the different crude extracts of tuber and leaf. Tuber and leaf both yielded more number of secondary metabolites like alkaloids, phenols, flavonoids tannins, saponins and glycosides with high quantity when compared with the leaf, consisting low quantities of phyto-constituents as steroids and in tuber consisting only tannins. Antibacterial activity of *T. calophylla* tuber and leaf aqueous and alcohol extracts at 10 mg/well are showing more effective activity on *Bacillus subtilis* (MTCC-441), *Escherichia coli* (MTCC-443), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (MTCC-741), *Klebsella pneumoniae*, *Proteus vulgaris* strains than the control drug *Ampicillin* 10 mg/well with 30.25-15.00 mm zone of inhibition. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) with leaf and tuber extracts was 0.312 to 2.50 mg/ml compared to that of the 10 mg of *Ampicillin*. Antifungal screening of aqueous leaf extract was more effective on *Candida albicans* with 19.25 mm inhibition zone than *Aspergillus niger* at 10 mg/well compared to *Nystatin* the control drug at 10 mg/well with 10.2 to 12.1 mm of zone of inhibition. Fungal MIC on both organisms with leaf and tuber extracts ranges from 0.612 mg to 3 mg compared to 10 mg of *Nystatin*.

13 **KEY WORDS:** *Tephrosia calophylla*, Phytochemical, Antimicrobial screening, Minimum
14 Inhibitory Concentration.

15 **1. INTRODUCTION**

16

17 The different crude extracts of medicinal plants parts are used with their
18 phytochemical compounds of known antimicrobial activities, can be of great importance in
19 the different therapeutic treatments. In the present years, a number of works have been
20 conducted in various countries to prove such efficiency. Number of species has been used
21 for their antimicrobial traits, which are due to the secondary metabolites synthesized from
22 the plants. These products are known by their active compounds like, phenolic compounds,
23 alkaloids, flavonoids etc. The screening of plant parts for their antimicrobial activity has
24 shown that the most of the plants represents a potential source of novel antibiotic effect. The
25 **Fabaceae or Leguminosae** commonly known as the pea, legume, or bean family, is a large
26 and economically important family of flowering plants. *Tephrosia* is a genus of plant which is
27 of Indian origin. The number species of *Tephrosia* are medicinally proved for their various
28 pharmacological activities [1, 2]. As *T. calophylla* belongs to same genus and also an
29 important in traditional system of medicine like ayurveda and used as antimicrobial [3],
30 hepatoprotective [4], antihyperlipidemic [5], cytotoxic [6], antiprotozoal [7], anticancer and
31 anti-HIV [8], anthelmintic [9] and antiulcer drug [10]. It is also used as alternative cure for
32 diseases of the liver, spleen, heart and blood. In this attempt, study had been conducted to
33 determine phytochemical and anti microbial potentials of tuber and leaf extracts of *T.*
34 *calophylla*.

35

36 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

37

38 **Collection and identification of plant material:**

39

40 The tuber and leaf material of *T. calophylla* were collected during September -
41 December 2017 from Talakona forest in Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India. The taxonomic
42 identification of the plant is confirmed by Prof. N. Yasodamma. The voucher specimen B.K:3
43 were deposited in the herbarium, (RUK) Department of Botany, Rayalaseema University,
44 Kurnool for future reference as per standard methods [11]. The present work was also
45 carried out in the same Department. Plant material was thoroughly washed and then shade

46 dried for one week. The dried parts were ground in a mixer grinder and sieved. The powder
47 was stored in air tight containers at room temperature for further use.

48

49 **Phytochemical Study: .**

50

51 **Preliminary Photochemical Screening:**

52 To detect the different classes of secondary metabolites in the crude extracts of
53 tuber and leaf of *T. callophylaa* preliminary phytochemical analysis was undertaken by
54 adopting standard qualitative methods [12, 13, 14 &15].

55

56 **Crude drug preparation of aqueous and organic solvent extracts:**

57 Dried tuber and leaf powder (50 g in 250 ml) were extracted with aqueous, acetone,
58 alcohol, benzene, chloroform, ethyl acetate, methanol and petroleum ether. The drug was
59 soaked for 72 hrs. and the filtered extract was dried on water bath than stored at 4⁰C in
60 refrigerator.

61

62 **Preparation of test solutions:**

63

64 The preliminary tests for the detection of secondary metabolites was carried out for
65 all the extracts (Methanol, ethanol, ethyl acetate, chloroform, benzene, acetone, petroleum
66 ether and aqueous) of tubers and leaves. 500 mg of each extract was dissolved in 100 ml of
67 the respective solvent and filtered through Whatman filter paper No.1. Thus the filtrate
68 obtained was used as test solution for the following preliminary phytochemical screening
69 tests.

70 Tests for Alkaloids: The test sample (crude extract) was dissolved in chloroform and the
71 solution was extracted with dil. H₂SO₄ or dil. HCl and acid layer was taken and tested for
72 presence of alkaloids.

73 **1) Mayer's test:** To the acidic solution, Mayer's reagent (Potassium mercuric iodide
74 solution) was added. Cream colored precipitate indicates the presence of alkaloids.

75 **2)** Wagner's test: To the acidic solution, Wagner's reagent (Iodine in potassium iodide)
76 was added. The formation of reddish brown precipitate indicated the presence of
77 alkaloids.

78 Tests for Flavonoids: The test solution of the extract was dissolved in one ml of alcohol and
79 then subjected to the following tests:

80 **1)** Ferric Chloride test: A few drops of neutral ferric chloride solution were added to one
81 ml each of above alcoholic solution. Formation of blackish red colour indicates the
82 presence of flavonoids.

83 **2)** Shinoda's test: To one ml of alcoholic extract, a small piece of magnesium ribbon or
84 magnesium foil was added and few drops of conc. HCl were added. Change in
85 colour (from red to pink) shows the presence of flavonoids.

86 **3)** Zinc-HCl reduction test: A pinch of zinc dust and a few drops of conc. HCl were
87 added to alcoholic extract. Magenta colour indicates the presence of flavonoids.

88 **4)** Lead acetate test: To one ml of alcoholic extract, a few drops of aqueous basic lead
89 acetate solution was added. Reddish brown bulky precipitate indicates the presence
90 of flavonoids.

91 Test for Phenols:

92 **1)** Phenol test: A positive reaction is the development of intense colour by the addition
93 of ferric chloride solution to the test solution.

94 **2)** Ellagic acid test: Test solution of the crude extract was treated with a few drops of
95 5% acetic acid and few drops of 5% sodium nitrate solution. Formation of muddy or
96 niger brown precipitate indicates the presence of phenols.

97 Test for Glycosides:

98 **1)** KellarKilani test: The test solution of the extract was dissolved in glacial acetic acid
99 and after cooling, 2 drops of ferric chloride solution is added to it. These contents
100 are transferred to a test tube containing 2 ml of concentrated sulphuric acid. A
101 reddish brown colour ring was observed at the junction of two layers.

102 Test for Tannins: The test solution of the extract was dissolved in minimum amount of water,
103 filtered and the filtrates were thus subjected to the following test:

104 **1)** Ferric chloride test: To the filtrate, a few drops of ferric chloride solution were added.
105 A blackish precipitate indicates the presence of tannins.

106 **2)** Gelatin test: To the filtrate, gelatin (Gelatin dissolves in warm water immediately)
107 solution was added. Formation of white precipitate indicates the presence of tannins.

108 **3)** Lead acetate test: To the filtrate, a few drops of aqueous basic lead acetate solution
109 were added. Formation of reddish brown bulky precipitate indicates the presence of
110 tannins.

111 Test for steroids: The test solution of the extract was dissolved in 5ml of chloroform
112 separately and was subjected to the following tests:

113 **1)** Salkowski test: One ml of conc. sulphuric acid was added to the above solution and
114 allowed to stand for 5 minutes after shaking. Lower layer turning into golden yellow
115 colour indicates the presence of steroids.

116 **2)** Liebermann Burchard test: To one ml of the extract treated with chloroform, a few
117 drops of acetic anhydride, one ml of concentration. H_2SO_4 were added from the
118 sides of the test tube and allowed to stand for 5 minutes. Formation of brown ring at
119 the junction of the two layers and the upper layer turning green indicates the
120 presence of steroids.

121 Test for Quinones: The test solution of the extract was treated separately with alcoholic
122 potassium hydroxide solution. Quinones give coloration from red to blue.

123 Test for Lignins:

124 **1)** Labat test: The test solution is mixed with gallic acid, it develops olive green colour
125 indicating the positive reaction for lignins.

126 **2)** Lignin test: Formation of red colour, when 2% (W/V) furfuraldehyde is added to the
127 test solution indicates the presence of lignin.

128 Test for Saponins: The test solution was separately mixed with 20 ml of distilled water and
129 then agitated in a graduated cylinder for 15 minutes. Foam formation indicates the presence
130 of saponins.

131 Test for Fixed oils:

132 **1)** The test solution of the extract was pressed separately between two filter papers.
133 Formation of transparent spot indicates the presence of fixed oils.

134 **2)** A few drops of 0.5N alcoholic potassium hydroxide were added to the solution of the
135 extract with a few drops of phenolphthalein as indicator and mixture was heated for
136 1 - 2 hrs. Soap formation shows the presence of fixed oils [12, 13, 14 &15].

137

138 **Antimicrobial activity**

139

140 **Antimicrobial Test organisms:**

141

142 Pure bacterial cultures of *B. subtilis* (Microbial Type Culture Collection(MTCC)-441),
143 *E. coli* (MTCC-443), *P. aeruginosa* (MTCC-741), *K. pneumoniae*, *P. vulgaris* (Clinical
144 isolates) and fungal cultures of *C. albicans* (American Type Culture Collection (ATCC)-
145 10231) and *A. niger* (ATCC-16404) were procured from department of microbiology, S.V.
146 University and Sri Venkateswara institute of medical sciences, Tirupati. These were further
147 maintained on nutrient agar slants at 4⁰C until further use.

148

149 **Agar well diffusion method:**

150

151 Antibacterial and antifungal activities of the leaf and tuber extracts were determined
152 by using agar well diffusion method with slight modifications. Nutrient agar was inoculated
153 with the selected microorganisms by spreading the bacterial and fungal inoculums on the
154 media. Four agar wells (9 mm, diameter) were made in each plate equidistantly by cutting
155 out the media using sterile broad end (8.5 mm) of micropipette tip, in order to load test
156 solutions and are filled with 10 mg/well of the extracts in quadruplicates. Control wells
157 containing pure solvents (negative control) or standard antibiotic (positive control) viz.,
158 *Ampicillin* 10 mg/well, *Nistatin* 10 mg/well. The plates were incubated at 37⁰C for 24 hrs for
159 bacterial and 25⁰C for 48 hours for fungal activity. The antimicrobial activity was assessed by
160 measuring the diameter of the zone of inhibition for the respective drug. The relative
161 antimicrobial activity was calculated by comparing its zone of inhibition with that of the
162 standard drug. The data of crud drug activity is given the mean of quadruplicates along with
163 the standard error [16].

164

165 **Statistical analysis:**

166

167 The results were analyzed for statistical significance using One way ANOVA
168 followed by Dunnet^bs test. The $p < 0.01$ and $p < 0.05$ was considered significant.

169

170 **Evaluation of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC):**

171

172 Minimum Inhibitory Concentration was determined by broth dilution method. Extracts
173 to be tested were taken ranging from 10 mg/ml. It involves a series of nine tubes for each
174 test extract against each strain. To the first assay tube 4 ml of broth was transferred and

175 then 4 ml of test extracts of 10 mg/4 ml was added and mixed thoroughly. To the remaining
 176 nine assay tubes, from the first tube 4 ml of the test solution was transferred into second test
 177 tube and this was mixed thoroughly. This twofold serial dilution was repeated up to ninth
 178 tube. 0.2 ml of the inoculums was added to all test tubes and also to the control tubes were
 179 taken aseptically and incubated for 24 hrs. Next day the absorbance was measured by
 180 calorimeter at 620 nm for bacterial and at 530 nm for fungal broth cultures. Bacterial MIC
 181 was compared with the control *Ampicillin* (10 mg/ml) and for fungal MIC was compared with
 182 the control *Nystatin* (10 mg/ml) and minimum inhibitory concentration mg/ml was determined
 183 [17, 18.

184
 185
 186

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

187 Phytochemical studies

188

189 Preliminary Phytochemical screening (Table-1)

190

191 Tuber and leaf both yielded highest quantity and more number of secondary
 192 metabolites like alkaloids, phenols, flavonoids tannins, saponins and glycosides, followed by
 193 leaf consisting low quantities of phyto-constituents as steroids, whereas tuber consisting low
 194 quantities of tannins. Quinones and lignins are totally absence in benzene extract of tuber.
 195

196

Table-01: Preliminary phytochemical screening of leaf and tuber extracts of *T.*

197 *calophylla*

198

TEST	Leaves								Tubers							
	AC	AQ	AL	BE	CH	EA	ME	PE	AC	AQ	AL	BE	CH	EA	ME	PE
Alkaloids																
Mayers	+	++	-	+	++	-	-	+	++	+	+	++	++	+	+	-
Wagner's	++	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	++	-	-	++	++	+	++	+
Flavonoids																
Shin dons	++	++	-	-	-	-	++	-	-	++	-	-	-	-	++	-
FeCl ₃	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	++	++	-	+	+	++	++	-

Phenols																
FeCl ₃	++	++	++	++	++	-	++	++	++	+	++	-	++	+	-	-
Ellagic acid	-	-	-	-	++	-	-	-	-	-	++	++	-	-	-	-
Glycosides																
Keller – Kilani	++	++	+	+	-	-	+	+	++	++	++	++	-	++	++	++
Tannins																
FeCl ₃	+	+	-	-	++	-	-	-	++	++	-	-	-	-	-	-
Steroids																
Salkowski	+	+	-	++	++	-	++	-	-	+	++	-	++	++	++	++
Quinones	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
Lignins																
Labat test	++	-	++	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Saponins	++	-	++	++	-	-	++	++	-	+	-	-	++	-	-	++

199

200 “++” - Abundant presence; “+” - (Slightly presence); “-” - Absent

201 **AC:** Acetone, **AL:** Alcohol, **AQ:** Aqueous, **BE:** Benzene, **CH:** Chloroform, **EA:** Ethyl acetate,

202 **ME:** Methanol, **PE:** Petroleum ether

203

204 **Antibacterial Activity (Plate-1Table-2, Figure-1)**

205

206 Antibacterial activities of leaf and tuber aqueous extracts were showing more
 207 effective activity with 30.25 mm zone of Inhibition on *E. coli* than other extracts. It is also
 208 observed that there is no activity of leaves and tubers with petroleum ether extracts on all
 209 organisms. Antibacterial activity of *T. calophylla* leaf and tuber aqueous and alcohol extracts
 210 at 10 mg/well are showing more effective activity on all selected gram + ve and gram - ve
 211 bacterial strains than the control drug *Ampicillin* 10 mg/well with 30.25-15.00 mm zone of
 212 inhibition.

213

214 **Table-2: Antibacterial Activity of leaf and tuber extracts of *T. calophylla***

215

Micro organisms	<i>B. subtilis</i>	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	<i>P.aeruginosa</i>	<i>P. vulgaris</i>
Leaves					
Ac	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	8.25±0.43**	16.75±0.82*	0.0±0.0
Al	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	15.00±0.00	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0
Aq	0.0±0.0	30.25±0.43**	20.25±0.43	19.75±0.82**	15.00±0.00
Be	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	15.25±0.43	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0
Ch	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	12.00±0.00
Ea	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0
Me	17.50±0.50**	25.00±0.70**±	20.25±0.43**	20.50±0.50	19.50±0.50**
Pe	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0
Tubers					
Ac	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0
Al	25.50±0.50**	17.75±0.43**	24.50±0.50**	19.75±0.43**	15.5±0.50
Aq	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	12.25±0.50*
Be	0.0±0.0	9.50±0.50**	0.0±0.0	8.50±0.50**	12.50±0.50*
Ch	11.75±0.43**	12.25±0.23**	10.50±0.50**	13.50±0.50	11.5±0.50**
Ea	0.0±0.0	10.25±0.43**	0.0±0.0	8.25±0.43**	0.0±0.0
Me	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	10.25±0.43**
Pe	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0
Amp (CON)	14.83±0.11	20.33±0.23	19.2±0.43	13.66±0.06	16.23±0.23

216

217 **AC:** Acetone, **AL:** Alcohol, **AQ:** Aqueous, **BE:** Benzene, **CH:** Chloroform, **EA:** Ethyl acetate,

218 **ME:** Methanol, **PE:** Petroleum ether


219

220 All the data are expressed as mean \pm SEM, n=6 * p< 0.05 and ** p< 0.01 which compared

221 with control group one way ANNOVA followed by Dunnett's test.

222

223



224

225

226 **Figure-1: Antibacterial Activity of leaf and tuber extracts of *T. calophylla***

227

228 MIC for Antibacterial Activity

229

230 Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations with leaf and tuber extracts at 0.312 to 2.50

231 mg/ml compared to that of the 10 mg of *Ampicillin*.

232

233 Antifungal Activity (Plate-2, Table-3, Figure-2)

234

235 Antifungal activity of leaves aqueous extracts was more effective on *C. albicans* with

236 19.25 mm zone of inhibition than *A. niger* at 10 mg/well when compared to *Nystatin* the

237 control drug at 10 mg/well with 10.2 to 12.1 mm of zone of inhibition. Benzene extracts has

238 not shown any antifungal activity on both organisms.

239

240 **Table-3: Antifungal Activity**

241

<i>Organism</i>	<i>A. niger</i>	<i>C. albicans</i>
Leaf		
Ac	12.5±0.50**	9.5±0.50**
Al	8.5±0.50	10.5±0.50*
Aq	0.0±0.0	19.25±0.43**
Be	00.0±0.0	10.0±0.00**
Ch	10.5±0.50	00.0±0.0
Ea	00.0±0.0	00.0±0.0
Me	9.25±0.43	5.25±0.43**
Pe		
Tuber		
Ac	10.5±0.50**	9.5±0.50
Al	11.75±0.43	10±0.0**
Aq	00.0±0.0	00.0±0.0
Be	00.0±0.0	10.25±0.43**
Ch	11.50±0.50**	12.0±0.00*
Ea	9.5±0.50**	8.5±0.50**
Me	8.25±0.43*	00.0±0.0
Pe	5.50±0.50**	00.0±0.0
Nys(CON)	10.20±	12.10

242


243 **AC:** Acetone, **AL:** Alcohol, **AQ:** Aqueous, **BE:** Benzene, **CH:** Chloroform, **EA:** Ethyl acetate,244 **ME:** Methanol, **PE:** Petroleum ether

245

246 All the data are expressed as mean \pm SEM, $n=6$ * $p < 0.05$ and ** $p < 0.01$ which compared
247 with control group one way ANNOVA followed by Dunnett's test.

248

249



250

251

252 **Figure-2: Antifungal Activity of leaf and tuber extracts of *T. calophylla***

253

254 MIC for Antifungal Activity

255

256 Fungal Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations on both **organisms** with leaf and tuber extracts
257 ranges from 0.612 to 3 mg compared to 10 mg of Nystatin.

258

259 DISCUSSION

260

261 Recently, plant based drug development is very essential in primary health care to reduce
262 side effects of synthetic drugs. *T. calophylla* leaf and root different extracts were capable of
263 suppressing the test organisms such as *B. subtilis*, *E. coli*, *S. aures*, *K. pneumonia*, *P.*
264 *auriginosa*, *P. vulgaris*, *C. albicans* and *A. niger*. This work was also agrees with the work
265 of (06). By conducting qualitative **screening** proved that alkaloids, phenols, flavonoids,
266 tannins, saponins, steroids and glycosides are present in the different extracts of root and
267 leaf of *T. calophylla*. This work also supports the traditional use of this plant in therapeutic

268 use against microbial infections. The antibiotic principles of plants may be the presence of
269 phytoconstituents like alkaloids, flavanoids and glycosides (19).

270

271 4. CONCLUSION

272

273 The results revealed that the methanol, aqueous and ethyl acetate extracts were showing
274 more effective zone of inhibition than the standard drug *Ampicillin*. Acetone and methanol
275 extracts which were showing 0.312 mg of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (Bacterial,
276 Fungal) are advised as the drug dosages for the preparation of standard drugs against
277 bacterial pathogens, especially *P. vulgaris* (causing urinary track infections) and fungal
278 pathogens like *C. albicans* (causing mucous membrane infections).

279

280

281 NOTE:

282

283 The study highlights the efficacy of "Ayurved" which is an ancient tradition, used in
284 some parts of India. This ancient concept should be carefully evaluated in the light
285 of modern medical science and can be utilized partially if found suitable.

286

287

288 REFERENCES

289

290 1. Baranwal A, Mazumder A, Chakraborty GS, Gupta S. Phytopharmacological uses
291 of *Tephrosia purpurea* a review. *Pharmacophore*. 2014;5(4):658-65.

292 2. Saad T, Muhammad AS, Muhammad A. A review on the phytochemistry and
293 pharmacology of genus *Tephrosia*. *Phytopharmacol*, 2013;4(3):598 – 637.

294 3. Adinarayana K, Jayaveera KN, Rao PM, Chetty CM, Sandeep DK, Swetha C,
295 Saleem TM. Acute toxicity and hepatoprotective effect of methanolic extract of
296 *Tephrosia calophylla*. *Res J Med Plant*. 2011;5(3):266-73.

297 doi: 10.3923/rjmp.2011.266.273.

298 4. Sinha B, Natu AA, Nanavati DD. Prenylated flavonoids from *Tephrosia purpurea*
299 seeds. *Phytochemistry*, 1982;21(6):1468 -1470.

300 5. Divya S, Haritha V, Prasad KVSRG. Evaluation of *Tephrosia calophylla* for antiulcer
301 activity in experimental rats. *Pharmacologyonline*, 2011;3:573 – 585.

302 6. Ramadevi D. Antimicrobial activity of *Tephrosia callophylla* roots. *World Journal of*
303 *Pharmacy and pharmaceutical sciences*, 2014;3(7): 633 – 637.

- 304 7. Subhadra S, Kanacharalapalli VR, Ravindran VK, Parre SK, Chintala S, Thatipally
305 R. Comparative toxicity assessment of three *Tephrosia* species on *Artemia salina*
306 and animal cell lines. *Journal of Natural Pharmaceuticals*, 2011;2(3):143 – 148.
307 doi: 10.4103/2229-5119.86262.
- 308 8. Ganapaty S, Srilakshmi GV, Thomas PS, Rajarajeshwari N, Ramakrishna S.
309 Cytotoxicity and antiprotozoal activity of flavonoids from three *Tephrosia* species.
310 *Journal of Natural Remedies*, 2009;9(2):202-8.
- 311 9. Adinarayana K, Jayaveera, KN, MadhuKatayani B, MallikarjunaRao P. Growth
312 inhibition and induction of apoptosis in estrogen receptor positive and negative
313 human breast carcinoma cells by *Tephrosia calophylla* roots. *Pharmaceutical*
314 *chemistry journal*, 2009;3, 35 – 41.
- 315 10. Nirmala Devi B, Swarnalatha D, Gopinath C, Adhinarayana K. Anthelmintic activity
316 of *Tephrosia calophylla*. *Journal of Pharmacy Research*, 2017;1(11): 35 – 38.
- 317 11. Jain SK, Rao RR.A hand book of field herbarium methods. New Delhi: Today and
318 Tomorrows' Printers and Publishers. 1977.
- 319 12. Gibbs RD. Chemotaxonomy of flowering plants. Vol. I, MC Gill Queens University
320 press, Montreal and London.1974.
- 321 13. Harbone JB, Turner BL. Plant chemosystematics. pp. 61-62, Academicpress,
322 London.1984.
- 323 14. Evans WC. Pharmacognosy, 13thEdn, p. 830, BailliereTindall, London.1989.
- 324 15. Kokate CK, Purohit AP. and Gokhale SB. pp. 1 – 624, Pharmacognosy-
325 NiraliPrakashan, Pune, India. 2003.
- 326 16. Perez. An antibiotic assay by agar well diffusion method. Vol. 15, pp. 113 - 115, Acta
327 Biologicaeet Medicine Experimentalism. 1990.
- 328 17. Vollekova A, Kostalova D, and Sochorova R.Isoquinoline Alkaloids from *Mahonia*
329 *aquifolium* stem bark is active against *Malassezia sp.* 2001;46(2):107-11. doi:
330 10.1007/BF02873586.
- 331 18. Usman H, Abdulrahman FL and Ladam AH. Phytochemical and Antimicrobial
332 Valuation of *Tribulus terrestris*L. (Zygophyllaceae) growing in Nigeria, Res. J.Bio.
333 Sci. Med well Journals, 2007;2(3):244 – 247.
- 334 19. Hafiza, RE. Peptides antibiotics Lancet, 2000. 349:418-422.

335
336
337
338

UNDER PEER REVIEW