Pharmacokinetic considerations in geriatric medication

Priyanka Joshi[1] Naveen Sharma*[2], Megha Jain[1] Harsha Matoli[1], Vinay Jain[1],

1. Amity Institute of Pharmacy, Amity University Madhya Pradesh, Gwalior
2. ShriRam College of Pharmacy, Banmore

Corresponding author

Dr. Naveen Sharma

Type of article:-Review article

Conflict of interests: There is no conflict of interest

ABSTRACT

Aging have an impact on the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic characteristics of drugs, resulting in clinically relevant safety and efficacy consequences. There appear to be a rise in gastrointestinal (GI) problems with age, and certain slight variations in the GI tract have been noted. Nevertheless, insufficient studies have been done on the impact of aging on the expression and activity of these GI transporters.

Aging is associated with some reduction in first-pass metabolism that might be due to a decrease in liver mass and perfusion. Some medications with considerable first-pass metabolism, can have markedly enhanced bioavailability and, as a consequence bioavailability. Other high clearance (CL) medications have identical bioavailability in both young and old individuals. However, at the other hand, the first-pass activation of some prodrugs, may be slowed or decreased, leading to a reduction in bioavailability. Some drugs may have a low bioavailability when taken orally, benefitted from transdermal administration. There are still no specific age-related liver ailments, routine clinical tests of liver function do not vary substantially with age, the course, and outcome of some liver diseases can be affected by age. The characteristic of high or low extraction of a drug by the liver has been attributed to whether the metabolic clearance (CL) of a drug falls or remains unchanged with age. Reduction in renal function in elderly subjects, particularly glomerular filtration rate, affects the clearance of many drugs such as water-soluble antibiotics and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. The therapeutic significance of these declines in renal excretion is governed by the drug's expected toxicity. Many drugs show their effects specially in old age patients in different manner and depend on age related factors. It must take appropriate precautions for administering of different drugs to the old age patients.

Key words: Aging, Pharmacokinetics, Pharmcodynamic,

1. INTRODUCTION

In this century, the aging of a population has become a major demographic issue all around the world. Aging is a collective term used to refer to the combination of cumulative local impacts at the molecular, cellular, and tissue levels. Although it is hard to define aging, certain traits are established. The most reliable is the loss of functional units over time. These are the tiniest structures still involved in executing the particular physiological functions of the organ to which they belong (e.g., nephrons, alveoli, or neurons). Distortion of several regulatory systems that promote coordination and integration between cells and organs is also another feature. This drop-in functional reserve is linked to a reduction in survivability and an increase in susceptibility. Aging is much more than a process of functional degeneration; it also generates anatomical and physiological variations, which, if they proceed beyond a certain point, might result in decompensation of the relevant system. [1].

Increased prevalence of sickness, as well as age-independent factors like lifestyle, can all affect drug pharmacology. Polypharmacy also raises the risk of pharmacokinetic (PK) and pharmacodynamic (PD) drug-drug interactions being altered. This renders treatment for the elderly more difficult [2].

Patients between the ages of 18 and 64 are typically included in clinical trials undertaken in the adult population. Drugs, on the other hand, should be investigated in individuals of all ages, and test subjects should be representative of the population of patients who would get the therapy in daily medical practice. Clinical trials have a low representation of elderly patients. Regulatory authorities in industrialized nations have urged researchers and industry to stop setting unjustified upper age limitations and to not exclude old persons from clinical trials only if there is a worthy cause. Since a few years, the Indian regulatory authority has imposed a maximum age limit for research undertaken in the country [3].

2. Physiological And Pharmacokinetic Changes associated with age

2.1. Absorption

There appears to be a rise in gastrointestinal (GI) problems with age, and certain slight variations in the GI tract have been noted [4,5]. Although the elderly have a greater rate of many GI problems (e.g., dyspepsia, diarrhoea, and constipation), aging seems to have only a minor significant influence on most GI activities, due to the GI tract's functional reserve capacity [6]. The question of whether stomach emptying varies with age is still debated [7]. Madsen and Graff found that older age had no influence on gastric emptying [8], while Shimamoto et al. observed that postprandial peristalsis and stomach contractile force were diminished in the elderly [9].

Many retrospective studies made between 1920 and 1980 suggested that gastric acid secretion declines with increasing age. The number of patients who were chronically hypo secretors had serum indicators of atrophic gastritis [10]. Advancement of age had no impact on stomach acid secretion in Helicobacter pylori-negative subjects, but it had in Helicobacter pylori-positive patients because of the high likelihood of fundic atrophic gastritis with age [11, 12]. Moreover, aging is linked to lower in splanchnic blood flow and a decrease in intestinal surface area [13]. Absorption of several substrates, including the majority of oral antiarrhythmic medications, are generally unaffected in the elderly if it is accomplished by passive diffusion [14]. However, increasing data suggests that drug uptake and extrusion in GI epithelial cells are mediated by transporters, and that active transfer processes are the standard rather than the exception [15-17]. Vitamin B12, iron, calcium, magnesium, and leucine absorption, which is done via active transport pathways, appears to be hampered in the aged [18]. Nevertheless, insufficient studies have been done on the impact of aging on the expression and activity of these GI transporters.

2.2. Presystemic metabolism and biotransformation

When considering oral bioavailability, presystemic elimination by the intestinal mucosa and first pass through the liver has to be taken into account. Aging is associated with some reduction in first-pass metabolism that might be due to a decrease in liver mass and perfusion [19]. Some medications with considerable first-pass metabolism, such as propranolol and labetalol, can have markedly enhanced bioavailability and, as a consequence bioavailability [20,21]. Other high clearance (CL) medications, like verapamil [22] or propafenone [23] have identical bioavailability in both young and old individuals. But at the other hand, the first-pass activation of some prodrugs, like the ACE inhibitors

enalapril and perindopril, may be slowed or decreased, leading to a reduction in bioavailability [24]. Drugs like buprenorphine, which may have a low bioavailability when taken orally, benefitted from transdermal administration in the elderly [25]. Transdermal fentanyl absorption is thought to be lowered in the elderly, possibly requiring dose adjustments, but transdermal buprenorphine absorption is unaffected by age [26]. Even so, most transdermal devices in the older people even now require long-term evaluation to understand better how age-related skin changes will affect drug absorption.

2.3. Distribution

It has recently been proposed that decreases in the mass of individual organs/tissues can contribute to a decrease in resting metabolic rate, which in turn promotes changes in body composition favoring increased fat mass and decreased fat-free mass with age [27, 28, 29]. When body fat increases and total body water and also lean body mass reduce, polar drugs that are predominantly water-soluble, such as digoxin, ethanol, theophylline, and aminoglycosides, have a relatively small apparent volume of distribution (V), and hence plasma concentrations rise [30]. Nonpolar compounds, on the other hand, are lipid-soluble (e.g. diazepam), so in the elderly volume of distribution (V) increases and the half-life ($t_{1/2}$) is prolonged [31]. There is a correlation (P = 0.053) between drug lipophilicity and the effect of aging on volume of distribution (V). The loading dose necessary to begin therapy is calculated by the term volume of distribution (V), and loading doses are computed depending on the desired steady-state blood level and volume of distribution (V): Loading dose (mg/kg) = target blood concentration (mg/L)/ Distribution volume (L/kg.) As a consequence, hydrophilic drugs such as digoxin and aminoglycosides will have a lower initial dose requirement [32]. Nevertheless, for the majority of drugs, these age-related changes in body composition will have little effect on volume of distribution (V), and adjusting loading doses is unlikely to be essential.

This apart from changes in body composition, there are slight variations in plasma protein binding with age. Serum albumin concentrations in the older people can be reduced slightly or remain constant; 1-acid glycoprotein levels tend to increase with age [33]. These changes are generally not attributed to age, but rather to pathophysiological changes or disease states that are more prevalent in the older people. Only very strongly bound medicines with a small volume of distribution (V) and a narrow therapeutic index might be clinically important if alterations in plasma protein binding occur. In such cases, only a slight increase in free drug concentration can have pharmacodynamic effects. Although plasma protein binding may play a major role in medication interactions, it is believed to be of small therapeutic importance because the initial and temporary effect of protein binding on free plasma concentration is quickly offset by enhanced elimination [34].

2.4. Metabolism

Although nearly every tissue/organ, like the intestinal wall, lung, skin, and kidney, can metabolise medicines to some degree, the liver is a major organ of drug metabolism. Many cytochrome P450 (CYP)-

dependent phase I processes (e.g. oxidation, reduction) and/or phase II pathways are needed for the biotransformation of the great majority of drugs (e.g. glucuronidation, acetylation, and sulfatation). Some drugs are metabolised in phase I and then phase II reactions, while many are metabolised in only one of these modes [35]. The interdependence of drug metabolism and transport on drug disposition has now received a lot of attention, and it has been named "transport metabolism interaction" [36, 37]. They can influence the pharmacokinetics of a drug and also drug interactions for inhibitors of CYP3A and P-glycoprotein by acting independently, in conjunction with each other, or via compensatory mechanisms (P-gp). The ultimate outcomes of drug elimination from the body are hard to forecast since they are dependent on the inhibitory capability of a drug for both systems. These transport pathways, and even the oxygen supply to the hepatocytes (which is essential for phase I reactions), may exhibit some age-related variations (caused by age-related changes of membrane structures). During the ageing process, the liver has tremendous regenerative capacity and retain its functioning. On a cellular and physiological level, however, there are slight variations that can affect the liver's overall function. Increased age is correlated to a fall in hepatic volume of 20 to 30% [38] as well as a reduction in hepatic blood flow of 20 to 50%[39]. These modifications may have an influence on the elimination of high-clearance medicines in general. The volume of hepatocytes in the older, on the other side, stays unchanged. Additionally, there are still no specific age-related liver ailments and routine clinical tests of liver function do not vary substantially with age, the course and outcome of some liver diseases can be affected by age [40,41]. The characteristic of high or low extraction of a drug by the liver has been attributed to whether the metabolic CL of a drug falls or remains unchanged with age. Some drugs with a high extraction ratio and a high intrinsic CL (“blood flow-limited metabolism”) are rapidly metabolised in the hepatocytes, with hepatic blood flow restricting the rate of drug loss. They may indicate a reduction in metabolic CL as they get older. The metabolic CL of drugs with low hepatic extraction, on the other hand, seldom diminished since it is dependent largely on the enzyme activity in the liver (“capacity-limited metabolism”), instead of on hepatic blood flow. Changes in hepatic blood flow and innate CL, on the other hand, do not explain why certain drugs (like antipyrine and theophylline) exhibit a minor (about 20%) age-dependent decline in hepatic metabolism [42].

2.5. Protein binding

Basic drugs (lignocaine, propranolol) bind primarily to alpha1-acid glycoprotein, while acidic drugs (diazepam, phenytoin, warfarin, salicylic acid) bind to albumin. Regardless of the fact that there are no notable age-related variations in the concentrations of both of these proteins [43,44], albumin is generally reduced in malnutrition or acute illness, while alpha1-acid glycoprotein is commonly raised during acute illness. The significance of such variations, although, needs to be determined, given the key factor affecting drug effect is the drug's free concentration. Although plasma protein binding could theoretically play a role in pharmacological interactions or physiological effects for highly protein bound medicines, its

clinical utility is minimal. This is attributed to the reason that protein binding's initial and temporary effect on free plasma concentration is soon counter balanced by its effects on clearance [45].

2.6. Kidney clearance of drugs

Reduction in renal function in elderly subjects, particularly glomerular filtration rate, affects the clearance of many drugs such as water-soluble antibiotics [46,47], diuretics [48], digoxin [49], water-soluble badrenoceptor blockers [50], lithium [51], and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs [52,53]. The therapeutic significance of these declines in renal excretion is governed by the drug's expected toxicity. Aminoglycoside antibiotics, digoxin, and lithium, which all have a narrow therapeutic index, are likely to trigger considerable side effects if they accumulate even little more than anticipated. A recent research, although, raised questions on the importance of age-related loss of kidney function in pharmacokinetics. Creatinine clearance is rather less in healthy elderly people. Atenolol, hydrochlorothiazide, and triamterene excretion was equivalent to those of young adults [54].

2.7. Liver clearance of drugs

The capability of the liver to remove the drug from the blood traveling through the organ and the amount of hepatic blood flow govern drug clearance, as shown by the formula below:

$$Cl_{liver} = Q\frac{[Ca-Cv]}{[Ca]} = QE$$

where E = steady-state extraction ratio

Q = liver blood flow (sum of hepatic portal and hepatic arterial blood flow

[Ca] = concentration of drug in portal vein and hepatic artery

[Cv] = concentration of drug leaving the liver in the hepatic vein, and

CL_{liver} = clearance by the liver.

As a consequence, the liver's clearance is affected by both blood flow and extraction ratio. The latter is based on the liver's ability to metabolise. Drugs are categorized depending on their extraction ratio:

i. Chlormethiazole, dextropropoxyphene, glyceryl nitrate, lignocaine, pethidine, and propranolol are examples of drugs with a high extraction ratio (E > 0.7).

ii. Aspirin, codeine, morphine, and triazolam are examples of intermediate extraction ratios (E 0.3–0.7).

iii. Carbamazepine, diazepam, phenytoin, theophylline, and warfarin have a low extraction ratio (E 0.3).

CL is rate-limited by perfusion when E is high. Cv is similar to Ca when E is low, and fluctuations in blood flow produce slight alterations in CL. As a consequence, the decline in liver blood flow that occurs with ageing has a significant impact on the clearance of drugs with a high extraction ratio. Many medications metabolised by phase-1 routes in the liver have exhibited substantial reduction in clearance in many studies [55, 56]. Since this activity of drug metabolising enzymes is retained, the key factor is quite likely age-related variations in liver size and hepatic blood flow [57]. Mono oxygenase activities are sustained in even advanced old age, as per research on human liver tissue [58]. *In vivo* investigations employing radiolabelled erythromycin breath tests as CYP3A activity probes [59] supported these conclusions. It's

uncertain whether enzyme response in humans varies when they get aged. According to several pharmacokinetic studies, factors such as cigarette smoking do not induce drug metabolism that much in older people as they do in young adults [60]. Analogous theophylline clearances were demonstrated experimentally in both old and young people who smoke [61]. Also there are contradictory findings on the provoking effects of different drugs [62,63]. The evidence for enzyme inhibition in old age is much more consistent, for most human research reporting enzyme inhibition comparable to those seen in young adults [64,65]. The influence of aging process on conjugative metabolism have rarely been examined. In general, studies found no significant impacts of ageing on conjugation routes [66–70]. It has recently been shown that a decrease in renal function can have a substantial impact on medicines that are not only eliminated by the kidneys but also undergo considerable metabolism in the liver Kidney damage has been related to a drop-in liver cytochrome P450 activity as a result of lower gene expression. As a consequence, the age-related loss in kidney function may have an impact on drug metabolism in the liver [71–73].

Table 1 Summary of changes is pharmacokinetic parameters with age [74]

Parameters	Changes	Effects
Absorption	Increased pH in the stomach Gastric emptying is delayed Splanchnic blood circulation is Reduced Reduced absorption surface	Absorption is somewhat reduced (Occasionally clinically significant)
Distribution	Rise in body fat	Enhanced volume of distribution and half life of lipophilic drugs
	Lean body mass is reduced	
	Total body water is reduced	Plasma concentration of water soluble drugs is enhanced
	Serum albumin is reduced	Free proportion of highly protein-bound acidic drugs in plasma is enhanced
	Alpha 1-acid glycoprotein is enhanced	Free fraction of basic drugs is lessened
Metabolism	Reduction in hepatic blood flow	First-pass metabolism can be less effective
	Reduction in hepatic mass	Some medicines' phase I metabolism may be slightly hampered; phase II metabolism is recovered.
Excretion	Reduction in renal blood flow and glomerular filtration rate	Drug clearance by the kidneys might be hampered to varying degrees

Table 2 Geriatric pharmacokinetics of some specific drugs

Name of drug	Absorption	Distribution	Drug clearance through kidney	First-pass metabolism and bioavailability	References
Cyanocobalamin, iron and calcium	Reduced				75, 76
Levodopa	Enhanced				77
Gentamicin, digoxin, ethanol, theophylline, and cimetidine		Enhanced			78, 79,80
Diazepam, thiopentone, lignocaine, and chlormethiazole		Enhanced			81, 82 83, 84,85
Hydrophilic antibiotics, diuretics, digoxin, hydrophilic beta-adrenoceptor blockers, lithium and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory			Reduced		86,87,88 89,90,91, 92, 93
Atenolol, hydrochlorothiazide and triamterene			No change		94

Chlormethiazole, dextropropoxyphene, glyceryl nitrate, lignocaine, pethidine, and propranolol					95,96
Ropranolol and labetalol				First pass metabolism decreased Increased	97, 98, 99
ACE inhibitors such as enalapril and perindopril are prodrugs				First pass metabolism is slowed decreased bioavailability	100

CONCLUSION:

The aging is associated with a number of structural and functional changes. The pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic parameters of drugs changes in aging process at the different level like molecular, cellular and tissue level. However, effect of aging on the ADME of some drugs is not same. For instance, aging causes decrease in first pass metabolism resulting in increased bioavailability while bioavailability of prodrugs are found to be decreased because of same reason. Activity of GI transporters is changed in elder patients. Therefore some drugs must be used very carefully specially in old age group patients. The complexity of the interaction and contraindications altered sensitivity of drugs and even modest changes in pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics in elderly necessitate the drug and medical approach for aged subjects.

COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:

There is no competing interest exist.

3. References

1. Mangoni A, Jackson SHD. Age-related changes in pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics: basic principles and practical applications. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology. 2004;57:16–14.

2. Massoud L, Agha HA, Taleb M. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic changes in elderly people. World journal of pharmaceutical and medical research. 2017,3(11):14-23.

3. Shenoy P, Harugeri A. Elderly patients' participation in clinical trials. Perspectives in Clinical Research. 2015;6(4):184.

4. Goldacre MJ. Demography of aging and the epidemiology of gastrointestinal disorders in the elderly. Best. Pract. Res. Clin. Gastroenterol. 2009; 23(6):793-804.

5. Bhutto A, Morley JE. The clinical significance of gastrointestinal changes with aging. Curr. Opin. Clin. Nutr. Metab. Care. 2008;11(5):651-660.

6. Salles N. Basic mechanisms of the aging gastrointestinal tract. Dig. Dis. 2007;25(2):112-117.

7. Orr WC, Chen CL. Aging and neural control of the GI tract: IV. Clinical and physiological aspects of gastrointestinal motility and aging. Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol. 2002;283(6):1226-1231.

8. Madsen JL, Graff, J. Effects of aging on gastrointestinal motor function. Age Aging. 2004;33(2):154–159.

9. Shimamoto C, Hirata I, Hiraike Y Takeuchi N, Nomura T, Katsu K. Evaluation of gastric motor activity in the elderly by electrogastrography and the (13) C-acetate breath test. Gerontology. 2002;48(6):381-386.

10. Hurwitz A, Brady DA, Schaal SE, Samloff IM, Dedon, J, Ruhl CE. Gastric acidity in older adults. JAMA., 1997;278(8):659-662.

11. Haruma, K.; Kamada, T.; Kawaguchi, H.; Okamoto, S.; Yoshihara, M.; Sumii, K.; Inoue, M.; Kishimoto, S.; Kajiyama, G.; Miyoshi, A. Effect of age and Helicobacter pylori infection on gastric acid secretion.Gastroenterol. Hepatol., 2000, 15(3), 277-283.

12. Iijima, K.; Ohara, S.; Koike, T.; Sekine, H.; Shimosegawa, T. Gastric acid secretion of normal Japanese subjects in relation to Helicobacter pylori infection, aging, and gender. Scand. J. Gastroenterol., 2004, 39(8), 709-716.

13. Ciccocioppo R, Sabatino D, Luinetti A, Rossi OM, Cifone MG, Corazza GR. Small bowel enterocyte apoptosis and proliferation are increased in the elderly. Gerontology. 2002; 48(4):204- 208.

14. Saltzman JR, Kowdley KV, Perrone G, Russell RM. Changes in small-intestine permeability with aging. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.;1995;43(2):160-164.

15. Dobson PD, Lanthaler K, Oliver SG, Kell DB. Implications of the dominant role of transporters in drug uptake by cells. Curr. Top. Med. Chem., 2009;9(2):163-181.

16. Sugano K, Kansy M, Artursson P, Avdeef A, Bendels S, Di L, et al. Coexistence of passive and carrier-mediated processes in drug transport. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 2010;9(8): 597- 614.

17. Dobson PD, Kell DB. Carrier-mediated cellular uptake of pharmaceutical drugs: an exception or the rule? Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 2008;7(3): 205-220.

18. McLean AJ, Le Couteur DG. Aging biology and geriatric clinical pharmacology. Pharmacol. Rev., 2004, 56(2), 163-184.

19. Zeeh J, Platt D. The aging liver: structural and functional changes and their consequences for drug treatment in old age. Gerontology. 2002;48(3):121-127.

20. Tateishi T, Fujimura A, Shiga T, Ohashi K, Ebihara A. Influence of aging on the oxidative and conjugative metabolism of propranolol. Int. J. Clin. Pharmacol. Res. 1995;15(3):95-101.

21. Anantharaju A, Feller A, Chedid A. Aging Liver. A review. Gerontology. 2002;48(6):343-353.

22. Fromm MF, Dilger K, Busse D, Kroemer HK, Eichelbaum M, Klotz U. Gut wall metabolism of verapamil in older people: effects of rifampicin-mediated enzyme induction. Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 1998; 45(3):247-255.

23. Dilger K, Hofmann U, Klotz U. Enzyme induction in the elderly: effect of rifampin on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of propafenone. Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. 2000;67(5):512- 520.

24. Davies RO, Gomez HJ, Irvin JD, Walker JF. An overview of the clinical pharmacology of enalapril. Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 1984;18(2):215S-229S.

25. Muriel Villoria C, Pérez-Castejón Garrote JM, Sánchez Magro I, Neira Alvarez M. Effectiveness and safety of transdermal buprenorphine for chronic pain treatment in the elderly: a prospective observational study. Med. Clin (Barc). 2007;128(6):204-210.

26. Vadivelu N,; Hines RL. Management of chronic pain in the elderly:focus on transdermal buprenorphine. Clin. Interv. Aging. 2008,(3):421-430.

27. Ramsay SE, Whincup PH, Shaper AG, Wannamethee SG. The relations of body composition and adiposity measures to ill health and physical disability in elderly men. Am. J. Epidemiol. 2006;164(5):459-469.

28. lmadfa I, Meyer AL. Body composition, changing physiological functions and nutrient requirements of the elderly. Ann. Nutr. Metab. 2008;52(1):2-5.

29. St-Onge MP, Gallagher D. Body composition changes with aging: the cause or the result of alterations in metabolic rate and macronutrient oxidation? Nutrition. 2010;26(2):152-155.

30. Hanratty CG, McGlinchey P, Johnston GD, Passmore AP. Differential pharmacokinetics of digoxin in elderly patients. Drugs Aging, 2000;17(5):353-362.

31. Klotz U, Avant GR, Hoyumpa A, Schenker S, Wilkinson GR. The effects of age and liver disease on the disposition and elimination of diazepam in adult man. J. Clin. Invest. 1975;55(2):347-359.

32. Mörike K, Schwab M, Klotz U. Use of aminoglycosides in elderly patients. Pharmacokinetic and clinical considerations. Drugs Aging. 1997;10(4):259-277.

33. Butler JM, Begg EJ. Free drug metabolic clearance in elderly people. Clin. Pharmacokinet. 2008; 47(5):297-321.

34. Benet LZ, Hoener BA. Changes in plasma protein binding have little clinical relevance. Clin, Pharmacol, Ther. 2002;71(3):115-121.

35. Benedetti MS, Whomsley R, Poggesi I, Cawello W, Mathy FX, Delporte, ML et al. Drug metabolism and pharmacokinetics. Drug Metab. Rev. 2009;41(3):344-390.

36. Endres CJ, Endres MG, Unadkat JD. Interplay of drug metabolism and transport:a real phenomenon or an artifact of the site of measurement? Mol. Pharm. 2009; 6(6):1756-1765.

37. Zhang L, Zhang Y, Huang SM. Scientific and regulatory perspectives on metabolizing enzyme-transporter interplay and its role in drug interactions: challenges in predicting drug interactions. Mol. Pharm. 2009;6(6):1766-1774.

38. Wynne H. Drug metabolism and ageing. J. Br. Menopause. Soc., 2005;11(2):51-56.

39. Warren A, Chaberek S, Ostrowski K, Cogger VC, Hilmer SN, McCuskey RS. Effects of old age on vascular complexity and dispersion of the hepatic sinusoidal network. Microcirculation. 2008;15(3):191-202.

40. Frith J, Jones D, Newton JL. Chronic liver disease in an ageing population. Age Ageing, 2009;38(1): 11-18.

41. Herrlinger C, Klotz U. Drug metabolism and drug interactions in the elderly. Best Pract. Res. Clin. Gastroenterol. 2001;15(6):897-918.

42. Le Couteur DG, McLean AJ. The aging liver. Drug clearance and an oxygen diffusion barrier hypothesis. Clin. Pharmacokinet. 1998;34(5):359-373.

43. Fu A, Sreekumaran Nair K. Age effect on fibrinogen and albumin synthesis in humans. Am J Physiol. 1998;275:E1023–E1030.

44. Gunasekera JBL, Lee DR, Jones L, Maskrey VL, Swift CG, Jackson SHD. Does albumin fall with increasing age in the absence of disease? Age Ageing. 1996;25(1):29.

45. Benet LZ, Hoener BA. Changes in plasma protein binding have little clinical relevance. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2002;71:115–121.

46. Lumholtz B, Kampmann JJ, Siersbaeck-Nielsen K, Hansen JM. Dose regimen of kanamycin and gentamycin. Acta Med Scand. 1974;196:521–524.

47. Triggs EJ, Johnson JM, Learoyd B. Absorption and disposition of ampicillin in the elderly. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1980;18:195–198.

48. Somogyi A, Hewson D, Muirhead M, Bochner F. Amiloride disposition in geriatric patients: importance of renal function. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 1990;29:1–8.

49. Portnoi VA. Digitalis delirium in elderly patients. *J Clin Pharmacol.* 1979**;**19:747–750.

50. Rigby JW, Scott AK, Hawksworth GM, Petrie JC. A comparison of the pharmacokinetics of atenolol, metoprolol, oxprenolol and propranolol in elderly hypertensive and young healthy subjects. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 1985;20:327–331.

51. Hewick DS, Newbury P, Hopwood S, Naylor G, Moody J. Age as a factor affecting lithium therapy. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 1977;4:201–205.

52. Oberbauer R, Krivanek P, Turnheim K. Pharmacokinetics of indomethacin in the elderly. Clin Pharmacokinet. 1993;24:428–434.

53. Ritch AE, Perera WN, Jones CJ. Pharmacokinetics of azapropazone in the elderly. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 1982;14:116–119.

54. Fliser D, Bischoff I, Hanses A, et al. Renal handling of drugs in the healthy elderly. Creatinine clearance underestimates renal function and pharmacokinetics remain virtually unchanged. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1999;55:205–211.

55. O'Malley K, Crooks J, Duke E, Stevenson IH. Effect of age and sex on drug metabolism. Br Med J. 1971;3:607–609.

56. Swift CG, Homeida M, Halliwell M, Roberts CJ. Antipyrine disposition and liver size in the elderly. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1978;14:149–152.

57. Schmucker DL. Liver function and phase I drug metabolism in the elderly: a paradox. *Drugs Aging.* 2001;18:837–851.

58. Hunt CM, Westerkam WR, Stave GM. Effect of age and gender on the activity of human hepatic CYP3A. Biochem Pharmacol. 1992;44:275–283.

59. Hunt CM, Westerkam WR, Stave GM, Wilson JA. Hepatic cytochrome P-4503A (CYP3A) activity in the elderly. *Mech Ageing Dev.* 1992;64:189–199.

60. Wood AJ, Vestal RE, Wilkinson GR, Branch RA, Shand DG. Effect of ageing and cigarette smoking on antipyrine and indocyanine green elimination. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 1979;26:16–20

61. Cusack B, Kelly JG, Lavan J, Noel J, O'Malley K. Theophylline kinetics in relation to age: the importance of smoking. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 1980;10:109–114.

62. Salem SA, Rajjayabun P, Shepherd AM, Stevenson IH. Reduced induction of drug metabolism in the elderly. Age Ageing. 1978;7:68–73.

63. Pearson MW, Roberts CJ. Drug induction of hepatic enzymes in the elderly. Age Ageing. 1984;13:313–316.

64. Feely J, Pereira L, Guy E, Hockings N. Factors affecting the response to inhibition of drug metabolism by cimetidine – dose–response and sensitivity of elderly and induced subjects. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 1984;17:77–81.

65. Vestal RE, Cusack BJ, Mercer GD, Dawson GW, Park BK. Aging and drug interactions. I. Effect of cimetidine and smoking on oxidation of theophylline and cortisol in healthy men. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 1987;241:488–500.

66. Divoll M, Greenblatt DJ, Abernethy DR, Shader RI. Cimetidine impairs clearance of antipyrine and desmethyldiazepam in the elderly. J Am Geriatr Soc. 1982;30:684–689.

67. Greenblatt DJ, Divoll M, Harmatz JS, Shader RI. Oxazepam kinetics: effects of age and sex. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 1980;215:86–91.

68. Greenblatt DJ, Harmatz JS, Shader RI. Clinical pharmacokinetics of anxiolytics and hypnotics in the elderly. Therapeutic considerations (Part I) Clin Pharmacokinet. 1991;21:165–177.

69. Miners JO, Penhall R, Robson RA, Birkett DJ. Comparison of paracetamol metabolism in young adult and elderly males. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1988**;35**:157–160.

70. Wynne HA, Cope LH, Herd B, Rawlins MD, James OF, Woodhouse KW. The association of age and frailty with paracetamol conjugation in man. Age Ageing. 1990;19:419–424.

71. Rostami-Hodjegan A, Kroemer HK, Tucker GT. *In vivo* indices of enzyme activity. the effect of renal impairment on the assessment of CYP2D6 activity. Pharmacogenetics. 1999;9:277–286.

72. Yuan R, Venitz J. Effect of chronic renal failure on the disposition of highly hepatically metabolized drugs. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2000;38:245–253.

73. Pichette V, Leblond FA. Drug metabolism in chronic renal failure. Curr Drug Metab. 2003;4:91–103.

74. Shaojun Shi,1 and Ulrich Klotz, Age-Related Changes in Pharmacokinetics, Current Drug Metabolism, 2011, 12, 601-610.

75. Blechman MB, Gelb AM. Aging and gastrointestinal physiology. Clin Geriatr Med. 1999;15:429–38.

76. Bhantumnavin K, Schuster MM. Ageing and gastrointestinal function. In Handbook of the Biology of Ageing, eds Finch CE, Hayflick L. New York, Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1977;709–23.

77. Klawans HL. Emerging strategies in Parkinson's disease. Neurology 1990; 40(3):1–76.

78. Vestal RE, McGuire EA, Tobin JD, Andres R, Norris AH, Mezey E.Aging and ethanol metabolism. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1977;21:343–54.

79. Redolfi A, Borgogelli E, Lodola E. Blood level of cimetidine in relation to age. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 1979; 15: 257–61.

80. Cusack B, Kelly J, O'Malley K, Noel J, Lavan J, Horgan J. Digoxinin the elderly: pharmacokinetic consequences of old age. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 1979; 25:772–6.

81. Owen JA, Sitar DS, Berger L, Bronwell L, Duke PC, Mitenko PA.Age-related morphine kinetics. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1983;34:364–8.

82. Christensen JH, Andreasen F, Jansen JA. Influence of age and sex on the pharmacokinetics of thiopentone. Br J Anaesth. 1981;53:1189–95.

83. Greenblatt DJ, Allen MD, Harmatz JS, Shuder RI. Diazepam disposition determinants. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1980; 27:301–12.

84. Kraus JW, Desmond PV, Marshall JP, Johnson RF, Schenker S,Wilkinson GR. Effects of ageing and liver disease on disposition of lorazepam. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 1978; 24: 411–19.

85. Roberts RK, Wilkinson GR, Branch RA, Schenker S. Effect of age and parenchymal liver disease on the disposition and elimination of chlordiazepoxide (Librium). Gastroenterology. 1978;75:479–85.

86. Lumholtz B, Kampmann JJ, Siersbaeck-Nielsen K, Hansen JM. Dose regimen of kanamycin and gentamycin. Acta Med Scand 1974; 196:521–4.

87. Triggs EJ, Johnson JM, Learoyd B. Absorption and disposition of ampicillin in the elderly. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1980; 18:195–8.

88. Somogyi A, Hewson D, Muirhead M, Bochner F. Amiloride disposition in geriatric patients: importance of renal function.Br J Clin Pharmacol 1990;29:1–8.

89. Portnoi VA. Digitalis delirium in elderly patients. J Clin Pharmacol. 1979;19:747–50.

90. Rigby JW, Scott AK, Hawksworth GM, Petrie JC. A comparison ofthe pharmacokinetics of atenolol, metoprolol, oxprenolol andpropranolol in elderly hypertensive and young healthy subjects. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 1985;20:327–31.

91. Hewick DS, Newbury P, Hopwood S, Naylor G, Moody J. Age as a factor affecting lithium therapy. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 1977;4:201–5.

92. Oberbauer R, Krivanek P, Turnheim K. Pharmacokinetics of indomethacin in the elderly. Clin Pharmacokinet. 1993; 24:428–34.

93. Ritch AE, Perera WN, Jones CJ. Pharmacokinetics of azapropazone in the elderly. Br J Clin Pharmacol 1982; 14:116–19.

94. Fliser D, Bischoff I, Hanses A, et al. Renal handling of drugs inthe healthy elderly. Creatinine clearance underestimates renal function and pharmacokinetics remain virtually unchanged. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1999;55:205–11.

95. O'Malley K, Crooks J, Duke E, Stevenson IH. Effect of age and sex on drug metabolism. Br Med J 1971;3:607–9.

96. Swift CG, Homeida M, Halliwell M, Roberts CJ. Antipyrine disposition and liver size in the elderly. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1978;14:149–52.

97. Robertson DR, Waller DG, Renwick AG, George CF. Age-related changes in the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of nifedipine. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 1988; 25:297–305.

98. Castleden CM, George CF. The effect of ageing on the hepatic clearance of propranolol. Br J Clin Pharmacol 1979;7:49–54.

99. Greenblatt DJ, Harmatz JS, Shapiro L, Engelhardt N, Gouthro TA, Shader RI. Sensitivity to triazolam in the elderly. N Engl J Med. 1991;324:1691–8.

100. Davies RO, Gomez HJ, Irvin JD, Walker JF. An overview of the clinical pharmacology of enalapril. Br J Clin Pharmacol 1984; 18(2):215S–229S.