

Influence of different crop establishment and irrigation methods on growth and growth indices of rice

Abstract

The increasing global demand for water in many sectors has become a universal concern. Challenge is to develop advanced production systems that allow rice production to be sustained in the face of waning water availability. With the intention to find the efficient water saving technology and establishment method, a field experiment was conducted at Zonal Agricultural Research Station, V. C. Farm, Mandya, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore during *Kharif* 2018. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with three main plot irrigation treatments and five sub plot rice establishment treatments. The paddy variety used was 'MTU 1001'. Objective was to study the irrigation and establishment methods on growth of rice. The results revealed that, continuous flooding recorded significantly higher plant height (80.67 cm) at harvest. Whereas, alternate wetting and drying (AWD) recorded higher leaf area index (5.06), CGR at 30 to 60 DAS, 60 to 90 DAS and 90 DAS to harvest (1.49, 3.50 and 2.47 g m⁻² day⁻¹, respectively), NAR at 30 to 60 DAS and 60 to 90 DAS (7.19 and 4.37 mg cm⁻² day⁻¹, respectively). Among establishment methods, manual transplanting recorded higher plant height (81.82 cm), LAI (5.70), DME (55.77), AGR at 30 DAS (0.36 g day⁻¹), CGR at 30 to 60 DAS and 60 to 90 DAS (1.73 and 3.94 g m⁻² day⁻¹, respectively) and LAD at 30 to 60 DAS, 60 to 90 DAS and 90DAS to harvest (49.50, 128.70 and 272.80, respectively). However, mechanical transplanting recorded significantly more number of tillers m⁻² (765.67), NAR at 30 to 60 DAS and 60 to 90 DAS (9.96 and 4.41 mg cm⁻² day⁻¹, respectively). AWD method of irrigation in mechanical transplanting and manual transplanting are found to be better among all other methods due to the higher growth and development.

Key words: *Irrigation methods, Leaf area index, Establishment methods, Crop growth rate, Net assimilation rate, Leaf area duration*

1. INTRODUCTION

Food security of world in coming days relies on the sustained accomplishment of rice production in Asia. Since 2002, production of rice has shown a steady increase (FAO STAT., 2019), the road to food security faces major hurdles viz., with increasing demand versus declining yield and area harvested; soil fertility and decline in productivity of intensive rice-based cropping systems (Bell et al., 2019); exhaustion and or limitations of natural resources for production; stabilization of yield potential of recently released varieties/hybrids; biotic stresses, abiotic stresses (low temperature, drought and salinity); low income from rice production and changing socio-economic situations. It is estimated that, by 2025 the rice demand will be 140 million tonnes in India. In order to attain this

target, the productivity of rice has to be ushered to the level of 3.3 tonnes ha⁻¹ (Anjani et al., 2014). In the next two decades, the share of water devoted to irrigation is expected to decline by 10 to 15% (Dhawan, 2017). Rice is one of the highest water demanding crops which consumes about 27% of the world total fresh water resource (Bouman et al., 2007). Water has been taken for granted in irrigated rice production for centuries. The faulty irrigation methods has led to huge loss of water and applied nutrients to the field. Continuous flooding is the commonly used practice in traditional irrigation for rice production, but is now regarded as water consuming. Quite often water is very uneven in distribution, either in excess or not sufficient. The puddling operation in wetland rice production, alone consumes 30 % of the total water consumption by the crop (Chauhan and Opena, 2012). Predictions indicate that by 2025 Asia will face 17–22 M ha of irrigated rice area by water scarcity, demanding water-saving techniques to be practiced widely (FAO, 1999). After many research studies, different irrigation methods in paddy have been invented to consume less water and produce higher yield. One of the most commonly practiced water saving irrigation (WSI) techniques that has been accepted to trim down water usage in rice cultivating systems is Alternate Wetting and Drying (AWD) (Lampayan et al., 2015), which has been reported to minimize water inputs by 50 to 80 % compared to continuously flooded rice systems (Yadav et al., 2011). In AWD, water is applied to irrigate the field depending on the weather condition or until some fine cracks appear on the soil surface. AWD is an irrigation technique where water is applied to the field a number of days after disappearance of ponded water. Among many cultural practices followed in paddy method of establishment has immense effect on growth and development in paddy (Sanjay et al., 2018). Mechanical transplanting or direct seeded rice enables timely planting/seeding and better crop stand (Malik et al., 2019). Direct seeded rice can lessen the labour requirement by as much as 50 % (Marasini et al., 2016) and even the per day water use is 24 % lower in direct seeded rice than other methods (Saharawat et al., 2010). Physiological growth parameters are indicators of increment of crop growth at various crop phenological stages. The growth components have a great importance and its stability governs the dry matter production which is a criterion of yield components and in this regard leaf area index (LAI), crop growth rate (CGR), relative growth rate (RGR), net assimilation rate (NAR), leaf area duration (LAD), are indices which often use for evaluation of plant productivity capability and environmental efficiency (Anzoua et al., 2010). The yield is mainly dependent on the growth parameters, with increase in leaf area, photosynthesis will be augmented which in order leads to higher production and accumulation of photosynthates into the economic parts of the crop.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at Zonal Agricultural Research Station, V. C. Farm, Mandya, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore to study the influence of different establishment methods and irrigation methods on growth and growth indices of rice during *Kharif* 2018. The experimental area was geographically positioned at 76° 82' East Longitude and 12° 57' North Latitude with an altitude of 756.80 metre above mean sea level. The soil from experimental site falls under Sandy clay loam in texture with 72.63, 14.20 and 12.69% sand, silt and clay, respectively and bulk density of 1.41 Mg m⁻³. Soil was neutral in reaction with pH of 7.25 and low in electrical conductivity (0.11 dSm⁻¹). The fertility status of the soil at experimental site was medium in organic carbon

(0.67%), available nitrogen ($270.04 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$) and available potassium ($287.14 \text{ kg K}_2\text{O ha}^{-1}$), however it was high in available phosphorus ($87.03 \text{ kg P}_2\text{O}_5 \text{ ha}^{-1}$). The experiment was laid out in a split plot design with three replications which comprised of three main plot irrigation treatments, viz. Continuous flooding, Maintenance of saturation up to panicle initiation (PI) and flooding after PI and Alternate wetting and drying (AWD) up to panicle initiation (PI) and flooding after PI and five sub plot rice establishment treatments, viz. Drum seeded rice (Sprouted seeds were sown using drum seeder), Broadcasting of sprouted rice, Semi-dry rice (Line sowing of sprouted seeds), Mechanical transplanting (transplanted 12 days old seedlings using machine transplanter) and Manual transplanting (transplanted 18 days seedlings manually). Physiological growth parameters used to know the growth and yield of the crop in the experiment are given below with their formulae. The leaf area data was collected from the field at defined crop intervals and the following parameters were calculated.

Spacing	Drum seeded rice	$20 \times 10 \text{ cm}$
	Broadcasting of sprouted rice	-
	Semi dry rice	$25 \times 10 \text{ cm}$
	Mechanical transplanting	$25 \times 20 \text{ cm}$
	Manual transplanting	$20 \times 10 \text{ cm}$

Plant height (cm): Plant height was documented from five randomly selected and labelled plants at 30, 60, 90 DAS and at harvest with the help of metre scale. The height was recorded in centimetre (cm) from ground surface to tip of fully opened longest leaf until panicle emergence. However, after the panicle emergence the height was measured from ground level to the tip of the panicle. The mean plant height per plot was worked out by taking the average of the observations recorded.

Number of tillers (m^{-2}): The number of tillers m^{-2} was recorded at 30, 60, 90 DAS and at harvest from one m^{-2} area in each treatment and then average number of tillers m^{-2} was computed.

Leaf area index (LAI): Leaf area index (LAI) is defined as an assimilatory surface per unit area of land (Sestak et al., 1971).

$$\text{LAI} = \frac{\text{Total leaf area of a plant}}{\text{Ground area occupied by the plant}}$$

Absolute growth rate (AGR): It refers to the total growth of a plant per unit time. For various growth periods it was worked out from the below mentioned formula of Watson (1952) and expressed in g per day (g day^{-1}).

$$\text{AGR} = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{t_2 - t_1}$$

Where, AGR = Absolute growth rate expressed in gram day^{-1} , W_1 = Dry weight of hill at time t_1 and W_2 = Dry weight of hill at time t_2

Relative growth rate (RGR): Relative Growth Rate (RGR) expresses the total plant dry weight increase in a time interval in relation to the initial weight or Dry matter increment per unit biomass per unit time or grams of dry weight increase per gram of dry weight and expressed as unit dry weight / unit dry weight / unit time ($\text{g g}^{-1} \text{day}^{-1}$).

$$\text{RGR} = \frac{(\log_e W_2 - \log_e W_1)}{(t_2 - t_1)}$$

Where,

W_1 and W_2 are the plant dry weigh at time t_1 and t_2 , respectively.

Crop growth rate (CGR): Crop growth rate (CGR) for various growth periods was worked out from the below given formula of Watson (1952) and expressed in g per m^2 per day.

$$\text{CGR} = \left(\frac{1}{P}\right) \times \frac{W_2 - W_1}{t_2 - t_1}$$

Where, W_1 = Dry weight of hill at time t_1 , W_2 = Dry weight of hill at time t_2 & P = Land area in cm^2

Net Assimilation Rate (NAR): It is defined as the rate of increase of dry weight per unit of leaf area. NAR for various growth periods was worked out from the below given formula of Gregory (1926) and expressed in g per dm^2 per day.

$$\text{NAR} = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{t_2 - t_1} \times \frac{\log_e L_2 - \log_e L_1}{L_2 - L_1}$$

Where,

W_1 and W_2 = dry weight of whole plant at time t_1 and t_2 , respectively

L_1 and L_2 = leaf weights or leaf area at t_1 and t_2 respectively

Dry matter efficiency (DME): It is defined as the percent of dry matter accumulated in the grain from the total dry matter produced over the crop growth period.

$$\text{DME} = \frac{\text{Harvest index}}{\text{Duration of genotype}}$$

Leaf area duration (LAD): LAD takes into account, both the duration and extent of photosynthetic tissue of the crop canopy. The LAD is expressed in days.

$$LAD = \frac{L_1 + L_2}{2} \times t_2 - t_1$$

Where,

L_1 = LAI at the first stage, L_2 = LAI at the second stage, t_2 & t_1 = Time interval in days

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Plant height (cm)

Plant height varied significantly among different rice establishment methods, but it was shown on par results with different irrigation methods (Table 1). Among irrigation methods, irrigating the crop with continuous flooding recorded higher plant height (80.67 cm) whereas it was on par with maintenance of saturation up to panicle initiation (PI) followed by flooding after PI method (76.89 cm) and alternate wetting and drying (AWD) up to PI followed by flooding after PI method of irrigation (79.49 cm). Among establishment methods (Table 1), manual transplanting recorded higher plant height (81.82 cm) which was closely followed by mechanical transplanting (79.97 cm) and semi dry rice (79.20 cm) which were at par with each other and significantly superior over other methods (76.47 to 77.61 cm). Manual transplanting, semi dry rice and drum seeded rice recorded higher plant height due to the closer plant spacing (20 x 10 cm) which increased the competition among nearby plants and forced the plants to grow vertically. AWD strengthens the air exchange between soil and the atmosphere, thus sufficient oxygen is supplied to the root system to accelerate soil organic matter mineralization and inhibit soil N mobilization, all of which should increase soil fertility and produce more essential plant-available nutrients to favour rice growth (Tan et al., 2013).

3.2 Number of tillers m⁻²

Effect of irrigation methods on number of tillers m⁻² was non-significant (Table 1). However, more number of tillers m⁻² was recorded in maintenance of saturation up to panicle initiation (PI) followed by flooding after PI method (577.48) over rest of the irrigation methods (555.87 to 563.72). Effective utilization of resources was favored by the aeration of soil in maintaining saturated condition which resulted in higher number of tillers m⁻². Among establishment methods, mechanical transplanting recorded more number of tillers m⁻² (765.67) followed by broadcasting of sprouted rice (656.67) and were significantly superior over rest of the methods (439.28 to 484.36). In semi dry rice, there was no submergence of panicle initiation buds up to 40 DAS, hence the number of tillers produced per m⁻² were more up to 60 DAS. However, in mechanical transplanting, seedlings were transplanted at uniform depth in puddled field at wider spacing (25 cm x 15 cm). This helped in optimal utilization of available resources like water and nutrients which led to early growth and prolonged tiller initiation due to the formation of more tillering buds (Subhash et al., 2013). Among interaction between irrigation and rice establishment methods, continuous flooding with mechanical transplanting recorded significantly a greater number of tillers m⁻² (790.67) followed by alternate wetting and drying with mechanical transplanting (782.67) over rest of the interactions (398.17 to 723.67).

Table 1: Growth parameters as influenced by irrigation management practices and establishment methods in rice

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	Number of tillers m ⁻²	LAI	DME
Main plot: Irrigation methods				
I ₁ : Continuous flooding	80.67	563.72	4.28	52.68
I ₂ : Maintenance of saturation up to PI and flooding after PI	76.89	577.48	4.67	52.07
I ₃ : Alternate wetting and drying	79.49	555.87	5.06	47.22
S.Em _±	3.09	6.00	0.09	1.23
P= 0.05	NS	NS	0.34	4.83
Sub plot: rice establishment methods				
E ₁ : Drum seeding	77.61	482.47	4.93	51.76
E ₂ : Broadcasting of sprouted rice	76.47	656.67	4.05	50.47
E ₃ : Semi-dry rice	79.20	484.36	4.59	50.40
E ₄ : Mechanical transplanting	79.97	765.67	4.08	45.43
E ₅ : Manual transplanting	81.82	439.28	5.70	55.77
S.Em _±	1.30	10.79	0.08	1.21
P= 0.05	3.80	31.48	0.24	3.54
Interaction				
T ₁ : I ₁ E ₁	81.20	496.33	5.26	49.39
T ₂ : I ₁ E ₂	77.50	658.33	4.50	45.78
T ₃ : I ₁ E ₃	80.77	469.33	3.91	57.40
T ₄ : I ₁ E ₄	81.33	790.67	4.15	46.62
T ₅ : I ₁ E ₅	82.53	398.17	3.56	71.17
T ₆ : I ₂ E ₁	76.57	501.67	4.55	65.64
T ₇ : I ₂ E ₂	74.63	658.33	3.64	54.97
T ₈ : I ₂ E ₃	76.93	519.73	5.31	48.32
T ₉ : I ₂ E ₄	76.60	723.67	3.71	46.03
T ₁₀ : I ₂ E ₅	79.70	450.67	6.17	49.69
T ₁₁ : I ₃ E ₁	75.07	443.67	4.98	43.00
T ₁₂ : I ₃ E ₂	77.27	653.33	4.02	52.25
T ₁₃ : I ₃ E ₃	79.90	464.00	4.55	46.24
T ₁₄ : I ₃ E ₄	81.97	782.67	4.37	43.93
T ₁₅ : I ₃ E ₅	83.23	435.67	7.39	52.10
S.Em _±	3.69	17.75	0.15	2.25
P= 0.05	NS	54.53	0.41	6.14

3.3 Leaf area index (LAI)

Effect of irrigation methods was significant (Table 1). Alternate wetting and drying up to PI followed by flooding after PI recorded significantly higher LAI (5.06) than other methods (4.28 to 4.67). It might be due to more plant dry matter which resulted in greater LAI at tillering and heading stages as recorded in this study. The increased LAI would be due to the effect of water on activation of the cell division and elongation (Abul-EI-Ezz, 2014). Reduction in LAI might be due to reduced turgor pressure under moisture stress conditions which affected the leaf cell expansion. Among establishment methods, manual transplanting recorded higher LAI (5.70) and was statistically superior over rest of the methods (4.05 to 4.93). Among interactions, alternate wetting and drying up to PI followed by flooding after PI with manual transplanting (7.39 and 4.94) recorded significantly higher LAI than rest of the interactions. This was owing to the fact that, younger seedlings planted at proper spacing enhanced the root growth which facilitated improved cell division and cell enlargement and more number of tillers with more leaves and subsequently, higher photosynthetic rate for increased LAI (Kukul et al., 2014).

3.4 Dry Matter Efficiency (DME)

The relationship of total biological yield to economic yield helps to visualize the performance or efficiency of genotypes (Table 1). The ratio of biological yield and economic yield in conjunction with duration is considered as DME. Among irrigation methods, continuous flooding recorded significantly higher DME (52.68) followed by maintenance of saturation up to PI followed by flooding after PI (52.07) than alternate wetting and drying up to PI followed by flooding after PI (47.22). Establishment methods showed significant difference with respect to DME. Manual transplanting recorded significantly higher DME (55.77) than rest of the methods (45.43 to 51.76). Among interactions, continuous flooding with manual transplanting recorded significantly higher DME (65.64) than rest of the methods (43.00 to 65.64). DME was higher under conventional method. The increase in total dry matter with increasing plant population indicates the favourable response of biomass produced to plant population. It is possibly related to hastening the photosynthesis activity that increased dry matter accumulation (Sridevi and Chellamuthu, 2015). The increased cell division and elongation of plant parts will enhance leaf area which will synthesize higher photo-assimilates and yield higher dry matter due to available nutrients coupled with available moisture (Raouf et al, 2014).

3.5 Absolute growth rate (AGR):

Rate of increase of biomass between two intervals varied significantly among different irrigation and crop establishment methods at different growth stages (Fig.1) and Table 2.

Among irrigation methods, irrigating the crop with continuous flooding recorded significantly higher AGR (0.36 g day^{-1}) at 30-60DAS followed by maintenance of saturation up to panicle initiation (PI) followed by flooding after PI method (0.36 g day^{-1}) than alternate wetting and drying (0.33 g day^{-1})

¹). At 60 to 90 DAS and 90 DAS to harvest, alternate wetting and drying recorded significantly higher AGR (0.90 and 0.59 g day⁻¹, respectively) than rest of the methods.

Mechanical transplanting recorded significantly higher AGR (0.49 and 1.09 g day⁻¹, respectively) at 30 to 60 DAS and 60 to 90 DAS than rest of the treatments. However, at 90 DAS to harvest, Drum seeded rice recorded significantly higher AGR (0.59 g day⁻¹) which was closely followed by Semi dry rice (0.58 g day⁻¹). Among interactions, alternate wetting and drying with mechanical transplanting recorded higher AGR at 30 to 60 DAS (0.47 g day⁻¹). At 60 to 90DAS, continuous flooding with mechanical transplanting recorded significantly higher AGR (1.15 g day⁻¹) followed by alternate wetting and drying with mechanical transplanting (1.12 g day⁻¹). However, at 90 DAS to harvest, alternate wetting and drying with mechanical transplanting recorded higher AGR (0.67 g day⁻¹) than rest of the methods. Mechanical transplanting recorded higher AGR due to wider spacing and large root volume which reduced the Intra species competition and helped in absorption of available resources compared to conventional method and other methods of rice cultivation (Negalur and Halepyati, 2017). The dry matter production and LAI both influence the growth indices like absolute growth rate, crop growth rate, relative growth rate and net assimilation rate.




Fig. 1: Absolute Growth Rate of paddy as influenced by different establishment methods and irrigation methods

Table 2: Growth indices at different growth stages and at harvest as influenced by irrigation management practices and establishment methods in rice

Treatment	AGR (g day ⁻¹)			CGR (g m ⁻² day ⁻¹)			RGR (mg g ⁻¹ day ⁻¹)		
	DAS			DAS			DAS		
	30-60	60-90	90-harvest	30-60	60-90	90-harvest	30-60	60-90	90-harvest
Main plot									
I ₁	0.36	0.68	0.57	1.42	2.83	2.43	326.47	148.01	53.99
I ₂	0.36	0.77	0.52	1.46	3.24	2.20	368.47	158.63	47.38
I ₃	0.33	0.90	0.59	1.49	3.50	2.47	273.58	157.61	49.46
S.Em±	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.10	0.07	6.74	4.09	1.26
P= 0.05	0.04	0.09	0.06	0.17	0.39	0.27	26.40	16.01	4.93
Sub plot									
E ₁	0.31	0.68	0.59	1.69	3.26	2.95	365.58	146.54	56.55
E ₂	0.37	0.50	0.53	1.40	2.69	2.39	381.71	148.15	55.83
E ₃	0.23	0.86	0.58	1.38	3.18	2.32	286.05	157.72	50.75
E ₄	0.49	1.09	0.56	1.08	2.90	1.49	238.08	166.80	39.12
E ₅	0.35	0.79	0.53	1.73	3.94	2.66	342.76	154.54	49.14
S.Em±	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.08	0.07	8.41	3.55	1.34
P= 0.05	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.11	0.22	0.20	24.55	10.37	3.92
Interaction									
T ₁ : I ₁ E ₁	0.37	0.67	0.65	1.85	3.36	3.27	300.69	137.69	58.45
T ₂ : I ₁ E ₂	0.37	0.62	0.68	1.67	2.81	3.05	313.20	132.30	61.91
T ₃ : I ₁ E ₃	0.31	0.66	0.58	1.22	2.65	2.31	284.02	152.28	56.32
T ₄ : I ₁ E ₄	0.37	1.15	0.49	0.99	3.05	1.30	219.06	177.57	34.76
T ₅ : I ₁ E ₅	0.27	0.46	0.44	1.37	2.30	2.22	515.38	140.20	58.48
T ₆ : I ₂ E ₁	0.32	0.62	0.46	1.58	3.11	2.32	500.10	154.09	50.59
T ₇ : I ₂ E ₂	0.30	0.54	0.44	1.33	2.42	1.99	515.48	146.89	53.33
T ₈ : I ₂ E ₃	0.39	0.94	0.56	1.54	3.75	2.23	290.98	163.91	43.69
T ₉ : I ₂ E ₄	0.38	1.00	0.52	1.01	2.66	1.38	267.46	169.66	39.79
T ₁₀ : I ₂ E ₅	0.36	0.86	0.61	1.81	4.28	3.06	268.30	158.63	49.53
T ₁₁ : I ₃ E ₁	0.33	0.66	0.65	1.63	3.32	3.26	295.95	147.85	60.60
T ₁₂ : I ₃ E ₂	0.26	0.63	0.48	1.19	2.85	2.14	316.46	165.25	52.26
T ₁₃ : I ₃ E ₃	0.34	0.78	0.61	1.38	3.14	2.42	283.15	156.98	52.24
T ₁₄ : I ₃ E ₄	0.47	1.12	0.67	1.25	2.97	1.79	227.72	153.17	42.80
T ₁₅ : I ₃ E ₅	0.40	1.05	0.54	2.01	5.23	2.72	244.60	164.80	39.41
S.Em±	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.07	0.15	0.12	14.67	6.86	2.43
P= 0.05	0.04	0.08	0.07	0.19	0.38	0.34	42.51	17.97	6.79

3.6 Relative growth rate (RGR):

Rate of increase in dry matter per unit per unit dry matter already present in the plant over period of time varied significantly with different irrigation and establishment methods (Fig.2) and Table 2.

Among irrigation methods, maintenance of saturation up to panicle initiation (PI) followed by flooding after PI method recorded significantly higher RGR (368.47 and 158.63 mg g⁻¹ day⁻¹, respectively) at 30 to 60 DAS and at 60 to 90 DAS. However, at 90 DAS to harvest, continuous flooding recorded significantly higher RGR (53.99 mg g⁻¹ day⁻¹). Among establishment methods, broadcasting of sprouted rice (381.71 mg g⁻¹ day⁻¹) at 30 to 60 DAS, mechanical transplanting (166.80 mg g⁻¹ day⁻¹) at 60 to 90 DAS and drum seeded rice (56.55 mg g⁻¹ day⁻¹) closely followed by broadcasting of sprouted rice (55.83 mg g⁻¹ day⁻¹) recorded significantly higher RGR. RGR was high in the early stages and it started declining progressively with the aging of the crop. The reason of declining in RGR at the final stage can be associated to increasing of the dead and woody tissues than the alive and active tissues and decrease of leaf area index (Sridevi and Chellamuthu, 2015) in rice.




Fig. 3: Relative Growth Rate of paddy as influenced by different establishment methods and irrigation methods

3.7 Crop growth rate (CGR):

Dry matter accumulated per unit land area per unit time showed significant difference among the treatments (Fig.3) and Table 2.

Among irrigation methods, at 30 to 60 DAS, 60 to 90 DAS and 90 DAS to harvest, alternate wetting and drying recorded significantly higher CGR (1.49, 3.50 and 2.47 g m⁻² day⁻¹, respectively) than rest of the treatments. Among establishment methods, manual transplanting (1.73 g m⁻² day⁻¹) followed by drum seeded rice (1.69 g m⁻² day⁻¹) recorded significantly higher CGR at 30 to 60DAS. At 60 to 90 DAS manual transplanting recorded significantly higher CGR (3.94 g m⁻² day⁻¹). However, at

90 DAS to harvest, drum seeded rice recorded significantly higher CGR ($2.95 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$) followed by manual transplanting ($2.66 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$) than any other treatments. Among interactions, at 30 to 60 DAS and 60 to 90 DAS, alternate wetting and drying with manual transplanting recorded significantly higher CGR (2.01 and $5.23 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$, respectively). While at 90 DAS to harvest, continuous flooding with drum seeded rice ($3.27 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$) which was closely followed by alternate wetting and drying with drum seeded rice ($3.26 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$) recorded significantly higher CGR. The CGR increased up to flowering thereafter it started declining, irrespective of treatments. It could be attributed to better soil aeration, less competition which favoured more root growth and photosynthetic activity (Sridevi and Chellamuthu, 2015). The increase in CGR with the increasing rate of plant population in manual transplanting than other methods may be due to hastening the photosynthesis activity and the positive response of crop growth rate to plant population. The reduction in crop growth rate to time of harvesting is due to leaf senescence and decrease of leaf area index. Significantly inferior treatments could not compete with best treatments due to reduction in soil moisture content below the field capacity in the necessary period (Shekara et al. (2010).




Fig. 2: Crop Growth Rate of paddy as influenced by different establishment methods and irrigation methods

3.8 Net Assimilation Rate (NAR):

Dry matter increment per unit leaf area or per unit leaf dry weight per unit of time ($\text{mg cm}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$) varied significantly over the treatments (Fig.4) and Table 3.

At 30 to 60 DAS and 60 to 90 DAS, alternate wetting and drying recorded significantly higher NAR (7.19 and $4.37 \text{ mg cm}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$, respectively). While at 90 DAS to harvest, continuous flooding recorded significantly higher NAR ($2.70 \text{ mg cm}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$). Among establishment methods, at 30 to 60 DAS and 60 to 90 DAS, mechanical transplanting recorded significantly higher NAR (9.96 and $4.41 \text{ mg cm}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$, respectively). However, at 90 DAS to harvest, drum seeded rice recorded significantly higher NAR ($2.83 \text{ mg cm}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$) followed by broadcasting of sprouted rice ($2.79 \text{ mg cm}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$).

Among interactions, at 30 to 60 DAS alternate wetting and drying with mechanical transplanting recorded significantly higher NAR ($11.16 \text{ mg cm}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$), alternate wetting and drying with manual transplanting ($5.16 \text{ mg cm}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$) at 60 to 90 DAS and continuous flooding with broadcasting of sprouted rice ($3.11 \text{ mg cm}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$) followed by alternate wetting and drying with drum seeded rice ($3.04 \text{ mg cm}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$) at 90 DAS to harvest. NAR was high in the early stages between active tillering and panicle initiation and thereafter the rate of increase was slow with advancement in the age of the crop. Reduction in NAR could be attributed to less leaf area and shortage of other growth factors like nutrient, space, water etc. (Sridevi and Chellamuthu, 2015). NAR was found higher in mechanical transplanting and manual transplanting may be due to double tillering and ability of leaves to absorb solar radiation (Nadim et al., 2012). Ko et al., (2017) showed that increase in the NAR causes increase in leaf area and grain yield. Number of factors such as temperature, light, CO₂ content, water, leaf age, mineral nutrients, chlorophyll content and genotype influence net assimilation rate. The erect leaves have a higher leaf area index that increases photosynthetic carbon assimilation rate through light capture and nitrogen use efficiency (Rajput et al., 2017). Leaves are the predominant photosynthetic organ and thus, are critical targets for maximizing carbon assimilation by improving morphological traits (Zhu et al., 2010).




Fig. 4: Net Assimilation Rate of paddy as influenced by different establishment methods and irrigation methods

3.9 Leaf area duration (LAD):

Integration of LAI with time is called as Leaf Area Duration. LAD varied significantly among the treatments (Fig.5) and Table 3.

Among irrigation methods, maintenance of saturation up to panicle initiation (PI) followed by flooding after PI method recorded significantly higher LAD (43.65) which was on par with continuous flooding (43.50) at 30 to 60 DAS. At 60 to 90 DAS, alternate wetting and drying (109.35) followed by maintenance of saturation up to panicle initiation (PI) followed by flooding after PI method recorded

significantly higher LAD (108.15). However, at 90 DAS to harvest, alternate wetting and drying recorded significantly higher LAD (244.20) than rest of the treatments. Among establishment methods, manual transplanting recorded significantly higher LAD at 30 to 60 DAS, 60 to 90 DAS and 90DAS to harvest (49.50, 128.70 and 272.80, respectively). Among interactions, at 30 to 60 DAS, continuous flooding with manual transplanting (54.60) was on par with maintenance of saturation up to panicle initiation (PI) followed by flooding after PI with drum seeded rice (53.85) and continuous flooding with broadcasting of sprouted rice (53.70) recorded significantly higher LAD. While, at 60 to 90 DAS and 90 DAS to harvest, alternate wetting and drying with manual transplanting recorded significantly higher LAD (146.10 and 339.08, respectively) than rest of the methods.

LAD increased with the age of the crop, with maximum LAD at flowering stage. LAD expresses the magnitude and persistence of leaf area of leafiness during the period of crop growth. It reflects the extent or seasonal integral of light interception and correlates with yield (Deepika et al. 2017).




Fig. 5: Leaf Area Duration of paddy as influenced by different establishment methods and irrigation methods

Table 3: Growth indices at different growth stages and at harvest as influenced by irrigation management practices and establishment methods in rice

Treatment	NAR (mg cm ⁻² day ⁻¹)			LAD (Days)		
	DAS			DAS		
	30-60	60-90	90-harvest	30-60	60-90	90-harvest
Main plot						
I ₁	6.00	3.81	2.70	43.50	101.40	213.12
I ₂	6.42	4.07	2.35	43.65	108.15	223.85
I ₃	7.19	4.37	2.46	38.85	109.35	244.20
S.Em±	0.20	0.12	0.06	1.04	3.05	6.89

P= 0.05	0.80	0.46	0.24	4.09	11.95	26.97
Sub plot						
E ₁	6.97	3.99	2.83	42.75	111.60	248.60
E ₂	4.62	3.45	2.79	49.20	103.05	203.78
E ₃	5.07	4.48	2.53	39.00	97.95	223.03
E ₄	9.96	4.41	1.92	29.40	90.00	187.00
E ₅	6.05	4.10	2.44	49.50	128.70	272.80
S.Em±	0.16	0.10	0.07	1.04	2.58	5.70
P= 0.05	0.47	0.28	0.20	3.04	7.54	16.64
Interaction						
T ₁ : I ₁ E ₁	7.78	4.16	2.93	38.70	111.90	267.85
T ₂ : I ₁ E ₂	5.10	3.26	3.11	53.70	113.70	234.03
T ₃ : I ₁ E ₃	4.65	4.43	2.82	35.85	82.95	196.63
T ₄ : I ₁ E ₄	8.12	4.25	1.70	34.65	96.45	186.18
T ₅ : I ₁ E ₅	4.33	2.94	2.93	54.60	101.85	181.23
T ₆ : I ₂ E ₁	5.21	3.51	2.52	53.85	116.40	221.65
T ₇ : I ₂ E ₂	4.64	3.29	2.66	48.15	96.45	179.85
T ₈ : I ₂ E ₃	5.81	4.75	2.16	39.30	110.40	249.15
T ₉ : I ₂ E ₄	10.59	4.61	1.96	24.60	79.65	170.50
T ₁₀ : I ₂ E ₅	5.84	4.20	2.46	52.20	138.15	298.65
T ₁₁ : I ₃ E ₁	7.92	4.29	3.04	36.00	106.80	256.85
T ₁₂ : I ₃ E ₂	4.12	3.80	2.60	46.05	99.30	197.45
T ₁₃ : I ₃ E ₃	4.76	4.25	2.60	42.00	100.50	223.58
T ₁₄ : I ₃ E ₄	11.16	4.36	2.11	28.95	93.90	204.05
T ₁₅ : I ₃ E ₅	7.98	5.16	1.94	41.10	146.10	339.08
S.Em±	0.32	0.19	0.12	1.92	5.03	11.20
P= 0.05	0.81	0.48	0.34	5.27	13.05	28.82

4. CONCLUSION

The Irrigation and establishment method has immense effect on the growth and development of the rice crop. Among the irrigation methods alternate wetting and drying method of irrigation will save irrigation water and also enhance the growth and yield. The availability of moisture with efficient root aeration will help roots go grow and penetrate deeper and will help in moisture supply to crops. Alternate wetting and drying recorded higher leaf area index (5.06), CGR (2.47 g m⁻² day⁻¹), NAR (4.37 mg cm⁻² day⁻¹). The manual transplanting will help for better establishment of the crop with increased growth and development. Among establishment methods, manual transplanting recorded higher plant height (81.82 cm), LAI (5.70), DME (55.77), AGR (0.36 g day⁻¹), CGR (3.94 g m⁻² day⁻¹, respectively) and LAD (272.80 days). The mechanical transplanting has proved to be efficient in increasing the number of tillers in the paddy crop. The alternate wetting and drying in hand with manual transplanting will help to save water and to produce more yields. Alternate wetting and drying with manual transplanting (7.39 and 4.94) recorded significantly higher LAI, CGR (5.23 g m⁻² day⁻¹), NAR (5.16 mg cm⁻² day⁻¹) and LAD (339.08, days).

REFERENCES

1. Abul El-Ezz A E 2014. Evaluating of some rice cultivars under different water regimes and Tillage systems. PhD Thesis. Tanta University, Egypt. Pp 100.
2. Anjan, K, Dasgupta P and Rakesh. 2014. Emerging opportunities and challenges in rice production. *Popular Kheti* **2**(2): 6-11.
3. Anzoua K G, Junichi, K, Toshihiro, H, Kazuto I, and Yutaka J 2010. Genetic improvements for high yield and low soil nitrogen tolerance in rice (*Oryza Sativa* L.) under a cold environment. *Field Crops Res.*, **116**, 38-45.
4. Bell R W, Haque M E, Jahiruddin M, Rahman M M, Begum M, Miah M A M, Islam M A, Hossen M A, Salahin N and Zahan T. 2019. Conservation agriculture for rice-based intensive cropping by smallholders in the eastern Gangetic plain. *Agriculture* **9**: 5.
5. Chauhan B S and Opena J 2012. Effect of tillage systems and herbicides on weed emergence, weed growth, and grain yield in dry-seeded rice systems. *Field Crops Res.*, **137**: 56-69.
6. Deepika V, Ompal S, Anita D and Gontia A S 2017. Study on crop growth rate and relative growth rate of growth analytical parameters in wheat, barley and oat. *Int. J. Current Microbiol. Applied Sci.*, **6**(9): 1341-1347.
7. Dhawan V 2017. Water and Agriculture in India. Presented in: *Global Forum of Food and Agriculture*, pp. 17-24.
8. FAOSTAT 2019. Statistics Division Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; Viale delle Terme di Caracalla: Rome, Italy.
9. FAO, 1999. Irrigation in Asia in figures, Water Report 18
10. Ko, K., Hirai, Y., Zamora, O. and de Guzman, L. (2017) Agronomic and physiological responses of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under different water management systems, fertilizer types and seedling age. *American J. Plant Sci.*, **8**: 3338-3349.
11. Kukal S, Bhatt R, Gupta N and Singh M C 2014. Effect of crop establishment methods on performance of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) and irrigation water productivity in sandy-loam soil. *J. Res.*, **51**:326-328.
12. Lampayan R M, Rejesus R M, Singleton G R and Bouman B A M 2015. Adoption and economics of alternate wetting and drying water management for irrigated lowland rice. *Field Crops Res.*, **170**: 95–108.
13. Malik R K, Kamboj B R, Jat M L, Sidhu H S, Bana A, Singh V, Saharawat Y S, Pundir A, Sahnawaz R D, Anuradha T and Kumaran N 2019. No-till and unpuddled mechanical transplanting of rice-operational manual. *Gates Open Res.*, **3**.
14. Marasini S, Joshi T N and Amgain L P, 2016, Direct seeded rice cultivation method: Anew technology for climate change and food security. *J. Agric. Environ.*, **9**: 30-38.
15. Nadim M A, Awan I U, Baloch M S, Khan E A, Naveed K and Khan M A 2012. Response of wheat (*Triticumaestivum* L.) to different micronutrients and their application methods. *J. Animal Plant Sci.*, **22**(1): 113-119.

16. Negalur R B and Halepyati A S, 2017, Growth and yield of machine transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) as influenced by age and number of seedlings. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, **6**(6): 376-385.
17. Rajput, A., Rajput, S.S. and Jha, G., 2017, Physiological Parameters Leaf Area Index, Crop Growth Rate, Relative Growth Rate and Net Assimilation Rate of Different Varieties of Rice Grown Under Different Planting Geometries and Depths in SRI, *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* **5**(1): 362-367.
18. Raouf S S, Yaghoub R and Weria W, 2014, Study of physiological growth indices in maize (*Zea mays* L.) hybrids under different plant densities, *Int. J. Biosci.*, **5**(3): 100-109.
19. Saharawat Y S, Singh B, Malik R K, Ladha J K, Gathala M, Jat M L and Kumar V 2010. Evaluation of alternative tillage and crop establishment methods in a rice-wheat rotation in North Western IGP. *Field Crops Res.*, **116**: 260-267.
20. Sanjay K, Ashok K S, Singh G, Nareshmani P, Ajit K and Dinesh, K 2018. Effect of establishment methods and weed management practices on the growth and yield of direct seeded rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem.*, **7**(1): 164-168.
21. Shekara B G, Sharnappa and Krishnamurthy N 2010. Effect of irrigation schedules on growth and yield of aerobic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under varied levels of farmyard manure in Cauvery command area. *Indian J. Agron.*, **55**: 35-39.
22. Sridevi V and Chellamuthu V 2015. Growth analysis and yield of rice as affected by different system of rice intensification (SRI) practices. *Int. Res. Applied Nat. Social Sci.*, **3**(4): 29-36.
23. Subhash C, Sanjay K and Vishal K. 2013. Comparative performance evaluation of self-propelled paddy transplanters in calcareous soil. *Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, Africa and Latin America* **44**(2): 33-38.
24. Tan X, Shao, D, Liu H, Yang F, Xaio C and Yang H. 2013. Effects of alternate wetting and drying irrigation on percolation and nitrogen leaching in paddy fields. *Paddy Water Environ.*, **11**:1-15.
25. Yadav S, Humphreys E, Kukal S S, Gill G and Rangarajan R 2011. Effect of water management on dry seeded and puddled transplanted rice part 2: Water balance and water productivity. *Field Crops Res.*, **120**: 123-32.
26. Zhu X G, Long S P, Ort D R, Eizenga G, McCling A, Kovach M, Tyagi, W, Ali M L, Tung C W and Reynold A 2010. Improving photosynthetic efficiency for greater yield. *Ann. Revised Plant Biol.*, **61**: 235-261.