

PREVALENCE OF DIARRHEAGENIC BACTERIA IN STOOL SAMPLES OF ADULT PATIENTS ATTENDING DUTSIN-MA GENERAL HOSPITAL, KATSINA STATE, NORTHWESTERN NIGERIA.

Abstract

Enteric infections and diarrheal diseases (EIDD) pose serious threats to human health globally, closely associated with poor environmental sanitation, poor water supply and unhygienic nature of individuals, which are common in developing countries. Viruses and Parasites are the major microbial agents known to cause Diarrhea and Bacteria especially *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella Spp.* and *Shigella Spp.* This study was carried out to investigate the prevalence of *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* species among adults attending Dutsin-Ma General Hospital, Katsina State, and to determine the antimicrobial susceptibility profile of the bacterial isolates to commonly prescribed antibiotics against them. A total of 30 fresh diarrheic samples were collected from the study participants, 17 samples from male and 13 from female participants in sterile sample containers between May and July, 2021. The samples were put in ice packed container and then transported to the laboratory of the Microbiology Department, Federal University, Dutsin-Ma for processing and analyses. Out of the 30 samples examined, 29 (96.67%) were found to be positive, 16(53.33%) *E. coli* and 13(43.33%) *Salmonella* species, respectively. The result of antibiotic susceptibility test showed that both *E. coli* and *Salmonella* species had highest resistance to ampicillin but were found to be sensitive to chloramphenicol, hence, it could be used as a best drug of choice for the treatment of diarrhoea caused by the pathogens.

Key Words: *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella*, Diarrhea, Stool samples.

1.0 Introduction

Enteric infections and diarrheal diseases (EIDD) pose serious threats to human health globally, closely associated with poor environmental sanitation, poor water supply and unhygienic nature of individuals, which are common in developing countries (*Hien et al., 2017*). EIDD affect a large number of people globally and constitute a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in developing countries, with higher rates among children and aged individuals (*Nataro et al., 2006*). Diarrhoea is characterized by stools of decreased consistency and increased volume due to imbalance of secretion and absorption of water and salts in the intestine (*WHO, 2017*). Diarrheal diseases are so common worldwide and account among for high mortality and morbidity among young children and elderly people particularly in developing countries like Nigeria. Risk factors include ingestion of contaminated water; poor environmental sanitation, poor personal hygiene, and malnutrition, which remain excessively high. Consumption of tainted food and water infected with *E. coli* and *Salmonella* spp. which can lead to infective bacterial diarrhoea due to the organisms. Antibiotic resistance is a worldwide public health problem, particularly in settings where there is availability of a few treatment options for treatment of infective bacterial diarrhoea.

Diarrhoea can be caused by a number of microbial agents including viruses, bacteria and parasites (*Shamki et al., 2012*). Of all the bacterial agents, *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella*, and *Shigella* species are one of the most common etiologic agents of diarrhea. *E coli* is Gram-negative, oxidase-negative, rod-shaped bacterium from the family Enterobacteriaceae (*Croxen et al., 2013*) that lives as a normal flora of human and other mammalian intestine (*Bekal et al., 2018*). A total of six *E. coli* pathotypes have been found to have been implicated in diarrhoea, and hence, they are called diarrhoeagenic *E. coli*, including enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli*,

Shiga like toxin producing *E. coli* (STEC), enteroinvasive *E. coli*, enterotoxigenic *E. coli*, enteroaggregative *E. coli* and adherent invasive *E. coli* (Nataro and Kaper, 1998). These are characterised by expression of different group specific virulence factors. The most commonly identified pathotype is STEC which was previously called as enterohemorrhagic and verocytotoxin producing *E. coli*.

Salmonella is a genus of Gram-negative rod-shaped bacteria belonging to the *Enterobacteriaceae* family, and causes a wide range of diseases to humans, that include enteric fever, gastroenteritis, endocarditis, and bacteraemia. It is among the most widely distributed and most common food borne diseases. In many countries, it is a major public health burden and causes significant health cost. Non-Typhoidal *Salmonella* serovars such as *Salmonella* serovar *Typhimurium*, *Salmonella* serovar *Paratyphi*, and *Salmonella* serovar *Choleraesuis* among others are known to be implicated in the cause of diarrhoea (Gal-mor et al., 2014).

Salmonella enterica and *Salmonella bongori*, are the major divisions of the genus *Salmonella* and differ in their 16S rRNA. Based on their Biochemical properties and their Genomic make up, *Salmonella Spp* are categorized into six (6) sub-groups that include; Enterica; Salamae; Arizonae and Diarizonae; Houtenae; and Indica.

Salmonella enterica subspecies *enterica* is responsible for approximately 99% of *Salmonella* infections in humans and warm-blooded animals. In contrast, the other five *Salmonella* subspecies and *Salmonella bongori* are rare in humans and are found mainly in the environment and in cold-blooded animals (DuPont, 2009).

Based on the clinical disease in humans, *Salmonella* strains can be grouped into typhoidal *Salmonella* and non-typhoidal *Salmonella* (NTS). The NTS strains are found in animals and cause gastroenteritis in humans. The disease occurs worldwide and is characterized by vomiting,

abdominal pain and cramps, myalgias and non-bloody diarrhea. The disease is limited to the lamina propria of the small intestine and usually antimicrobial therapy is not given. Extraintestinal features include bacteremia, septic arthritis, urinary tract infections, and osteomyelitis which may be seen in 5% of cases (Que, 2009). Some individuals may become asymptomatic carriers and shedding may last for several weeks to a few months.

Moreover, Antimicrobial drug resistance is a global health problem that continues to expand as microorganisms continue to adapt to antibiotics used to treat them (Ochoa *et al.*, 2009), especially in settings where there is availability of a few treatment options for treatment of infective bacterial diarrhoea. (Binsztein *et al.*, 1999). The emergence of antimicrobial resistance, including resistance to the new and potent antimicrobial agents, is a major public health concern especially developing countries, like Nigeria , where bacterial infections are still among the major causes of death (Estrada *et al.*, 2005) especially among young children, is of particular concern (GebreSilasie *et al.*, 2018). Antibiotic resistant diarrhoeagenic *E. coli* and *Salmonella* species cause severe diarrhoeal disease, and could be associated with treatment failures among diarrhoeal patients (Adesoji and Liadi, 2020). There is however a shortage of information reported by different studies on resistance antibiotics by *E. coli* and *Salmonella* spp. isolated from diarrheic stools of patients in Dutsin-Ma. These highlighted factors are what make it imperative to carry out this study. This research was, therefore, aimed at determining the prevalence of diarrhoeagenic bacteria (*E. coli* and *Salmonella*) in stool samples of adult patients attending Dutsin-Ma General Hospital, Katsina State, Northwestern Nigeria.

2.0 Materials and Methods

2.1 Study Area

The study was conducted in Dutsin-Ma town, Dutsin-Ma Local Government Area of Katsina State. Dutsin-Ma Local Government lies on the latitude 12°26N and longitude 07°29E.

2.2 Study Design

The study was a cross-sectional; hospital-based conducted over a period of 3 months, between May and July, 2021. A total of thirty (30) stool samples were collected from diarrheic children attending the selected hospital.

2.3 Study Population

A total of thirty (30) diarrheic patients, 17 males and 13 females attending Dutsin-Ma General Hospital participated in the study. Ethical approval (MOH/ADM/508/1152/1/466) was obtained from Katsina State ministry of health for permission to obtain diarrhoea samples from patients at the selected Hospital.

2.4 Sample Collection

A total of thirty (30) diarrheic stool samples were collected from the patients. The samples were collected into sterile, transparent, wide mouthed bottles. The name, age, and gender of the participants were properly labelled on the universal bottles after which they were placed into an ice parked container and transported to the laboratory of the Department of Microbiology, Federal University Dutsin-Ma for processing and microbiological analyses.

2.5 Sample Processing and Analysis

2.5.1 Isolation and identification of *Escherichia coli*: Isolation and identification of *Escherichia coli*:

Sterile swab sticks were used in streaking diarrheic stool samples collected from diarrheal patients on MacConkey agar. Subsequently the plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours in an incubator. Afterwards, the plates were observed for colony formation after 24 hours of incubation. After 24hrs of incubation at 37°C, colonies with characteristic pink colour colonies on MacConkey were aseptically picked and streaked on EMB Agar and plates were incubated for 24 hours. Colonies revealing Green Metallic Sheen were confirmed as *Escherichia coli* and were streaked on nutrient agar slant and stored for further biochemical characterization of the isolates.

2.5.2 Isolation of *Salmonella* species:

One gram (1g) of diarrheic stool sample from diarrheal patients was enriched in 5ml of Rappaport Vassiliadis R10 broth at 37°C for 24 hours followed by sub-culturing on both MacConkey Agar and *Salmonella-Shigella*(SS) agar and the plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Afterwards, the plates were observed for colony formation after 24-48 hours of incubation. Pure cultures were prepared from *Salmonella* like colonies, i.e. colorless colonies on MacConkey agar, and colorless colonies with black spot at the center on SS agar, were aseptically picked and stored on nutrient agar slant for further biochemical characterization of the isolates (Chessbrough, 2006).

2.6 Gram Staining

The organisms were Gram stained according to (Cheesbrough, 2010) and appeared pink to red Gram negative rods.

2.7 Biochemical Test and Characterization of the Isolates

Escherichia coli and *Salmonella* species isolated were subjected to a battery biochemical tests which included; urease test, indole test, methyl-red, vogues proskauer test, citrate test (IMViC), motility test, oxidative fermentation test, triple sugar iron agar test for biochemical characterization, and identified using standard bacteriological procedures (Cheesbrough, 2010).

2.8 Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing: Following biochemical characterization of the isolates, antimicrobial susceptibility testing using disk diffusion method was then carried out to test the susceptibility profile of the organisms to most commonly prescribed antibiotics against them. A bacterial lawn was prepared by transferring overnight grown bacterial colonies to a glass tube containing about 5 ml sterile normal saline water with a sterile inoculating loop. The bacterial suspension was vortexed and visually matched with 0.5 MacFarland standard for turbidity. Sterile swab stick was then immersed in the suspension and spread onto Mueller Hinton agar (Oxoid, UK) to obtain a semi-confluent growth. Discs impregnated with predetermined amounts of antibiotics were placed onto the bacterial lawn and the plates were inverted and incubated for 18-24 hours at 37°C. After the incubation, the diameter of the inhibition zones was measured in millimeters using ruler and interpreted as sensitive, intermediate, and resistant using the criteria described by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. The antibiotics discs (Oxoid, UK) used included: amoxicillin (10µg), ampicillin (10µg), ceftriaxone (30µg), chloramphenicol (30µg), ciprofloxacin (10µg), nalidixic acid (30µg) and imipenem (10µg), respectively.

3.0 Results

Analysis of 30 fresh faecal samples revealed positive growth of diarrhoea causing bacteria (*E. coli* and *Salmonella* species) in 29(96.67%) samples out of the total samples analyzed.

Escherichia coli (53.33%) had higher prevalence than *Salmonella* species (43.33%) as presented in table 1. Patients of age group 25– 36 years had the highest prevalence of 37.50% of diarrhoea causing *E. coli*, while the lowest prevalence (18.75%) of *E. coli* was in the age groups of 18-24 and 49-69 years, respectively, it was more prevalent in males than in female patients (Table 2). Table 3 shows age and gender factors of *salmonella* isolates among the stool samples of the patients. Table 4 shows the antibiotic profile of both *E. coli I* and *Salmonella* species. Highest resistance was observed in ampicillin antibiotic while chloramphenicol was found to be most effective drug of all the tested drugs, hence, considered the best drug for treatment of diarrhoea caused by the bacterial agents.

Table 1: Percentage Distribution of *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella spp.* for the Study

Participants

Bacterial Isolates	Positive samples (%)	Negative samples (%)	Total samples (%)
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	16(53.3)	14(46.7)	30(100)
<i>Salmonella</i>	13(43.3)	17(56.7)	30(100)
Total	29(96.6)	31(103.4)	30(100)

Table 2: Distribution of *Escherichia coli* among the study participants with respect to age and gender

Age group (years)	Male	Female	Total (%)
18-24	2	1	3(18.75)
25-36	4	2	6(37.5)
37-48	1	3	4(25)
49-60	2	1	3(18.75)
Total	9	7	16

Table 3: Distribution of *Salmonella* species among the study participants with respect to their age and gender

Age group (years)	Male	Female	Total(%)
18-24	2	1	3(23.07)
25-36	2	1	3(23.07)
37-48	2	2	4(25)
49-60	2	1	3(23.07)
Total	8	6	13

Table 4: Antibiotic susceptibility profile of *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* spp. in diarrheic stools from the patients

Antibiotic	Resistance	Intermediate	Sensitive
Amoxicillin	10(55.6)	5(27.8)	3(16.6)
	7(100)	0(0)	0(0)
Ampicillin	17(94.4)	1(5.6)	0(0)
	5(71.4)	2(28.6)	0(0)
Ceftriaxone	8(44.4)	5(27.8)	5(27.8)
	2(28.6)	2(28.8)	3(42.9)
Chloramphenicol	0(0)	0(0)	18(100)
	0(0)	0(0)	17(100)
Ciprofloxacin	3(16.6)	5(27.9)	14(45.5)
	0(0)	0(0)	7(100)
Imipenem	10(55.6)	5(27.8)	3(16.7)
	3(21.4)	4(28.6)	7(50)

Nalidixic acid	2(11.1)	2(11.1)	14(77.8)
	2(28.6)	0(0)	5(71.41)

4.0 Study Limitation, Discussion, Conclusion and Recommendation

4.1 Study Limitation

This study was only conducted on adult patients and molecular analysis was not done due to financial constraint.

4.2 Discussion

The result of chi-square analysis at 95% confidence interval showed that there is no significant difference between the presence and absence of diarrhoeagenic bacteria (*E. coli* and *Salmonella* spp.) among the study participants ($P= 0.159$; $\chi^2_{cal.}= 2.003$, $\chi^2_{tab.} = 3.842$). In this research, 53.3% prevalence of *Escherichia coli* was observed from the patients' stool samples. (Table 1), which is lower compared to 72.22% prevalence of *Escherichia coli* in a study conducted by the Saleh *et al.*, (2021) and higher compared to 41.4% prevalence of *E. coli* reported by Korie *et al.* (2011), and 59% prevalence of *E. coli* from diarrheic stools reported by Dormanesh *et al.* (2015) in a study conducted in Dutsin-Ma, Enugu, and Iran respectively. Nan-Ok *et al.* (2015) also reported 22.0% of *E. coli* from diarrheic stool specimen in a study conducted in Korea. However, 43.3% prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. was observed in this present study, which is higher than the 22.22% prevalence of *Salmonella* found by Saleh *et al.*, (2021) and higher than 1.2% and 5% prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. reported by Nair *et al.* (2015) and Mzungu *et al.* (2016) in a study conducted in Dutsin-Ma and India, respectively. Tesfahun *et al.*(2016) reported 10.8%

occurrence of *Salmonella* spp. from diarrheic stools in a study conducted in Ethiopia while Kabir *et al.* reported 17% prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. from stool specimen of patients with gastroenteritis in a study conducted in Lagos, Nigeria. The disparity in the presence of *E. coli* over *Salmonella* may be attributable to differences in the study designs, patients' immunity, different environmental conditions and their behavioral pattern.

Patients of age group 25–36 years had the highest prevalence of 37.50% of diarrhoea causing *E. coli*, which is lower to the findings of Saleh *et al.*, (2021) for age group 21-26 (11.5%) and age group 26-30 (3.9%) in a study conducted by the same author, while the lowest prevalence (18.75%) of *E. coli* was in the age groups of 18-24 and 49-69 years, respectively (Table 2), it was more prevalent in males than in female patients (Table 2). Statistical distribution of *E. coli* showed that there is no significant difference in terms of the distribution of the organism with regards to the patients' age group ($P= 0.625$; $F_{cal.}= 0.667$, $F_{crit.}= 9.277$). Likewise, there is no significant difference between the distribution of *E. coli* in the diarrhoea samples of the male and female subjects ($P= 0.604$; $F_{cal.}= 0.333$, $F_{crit.}= 10.128$).

Similarly, Patients of age group 37-48 years had the highest prevalence of 25.0% of diarrhoea causing *Salmonella* spp., whereas the lowest prevalence of 23.07% was observed in the age groups of 18-24, 25-36 and 49-69 years, respectively (Table 3), it was more prevalent in males than in female patients (Table 2). Statistical distribution of *Salmonella* spp. showed that there is no significant difference in terms of the distribution of the organism with regards to the patients' age group ($P= 0.50$; $F_{cal.}= 1.0$, $F_{crit.}= 9.277$). Also, there is no significant difference between the distribution of the organism in the diarrhoea samples of the male and female patients ($P= 0.058$; $F_{cal.}= 9.0$, $F_{crit.}= 10.128$).

The study reported highest resistance (82.90%) of the organisms to ampicillin (Table 4). Statistical analysis of the antimicrobial susceptibility test results showed that there is no significant difference between the average percentage resistance, intermediary and sensitivity with regards to *E. coli* ($P= 0.440$; $F_{cal.}= 0.880$, $F_{crit.}= 3.885$); and also with regards to *Salmonella* spp. ($P= 0.23$; $F_{cal.}= 1.665$, $F_{crit.}= 3.885$). Similarly, with regards to antibiotics tested, it was found out there was no significant difference observed in the case of both *E. coli* ($P= 1.0$; $F_{cal.}= 0.004$, $F_{crit.}= 2.996$) and *Salmonella* spp. ($P= 1.0$; $F_{cal.}= 0.000003$, $F_{crit.}= 2.996$)

This is similar to the study conducted by Ochoa *et al.* (2009) who reported 85% resistance to ampicillin by diarrhoeagenic *E. coli* among children in Peru. It also agrees with other studies such as those carried out by Binsztein *et al.* (1999) (75%) in Argentina and Estrada *et al.* (2005) who recorded 85% resistance to ampicillin antibiotic in Tanzania among young children. The highest resistance to ampicillin showed by the bacteria in the study could be attributed to continuous use of such drugs which might most likely be associated with treatment failure and serious antimicrobial resistance. It might also be because of the fact that these drugs are relatively cheap and available. This could have rendered them readily accessible to the patients, thereby increasing their misuse and overuse, thus enabling the bacteria to acquire resistance genes, for examples, possession of penicillinases producing genes. The enzymes hydrolyze the beta lactam ring in the antibiotics, and as such render them ineffective, hence, the resistance.

5 CONCLUSION

Conclusively, from the present study, *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* spp. were frequently isolated among age groups (18-60years) with prevalence rate of 53.3% and 43.3% respectively. Diarrhoeal diseases caused by enteric infections remain a leading global health problem. About two to four billion episodes of infectious diarrhoea have been estimated to occur annually in developing countries, resulting to death of large number of individuals across age groups, which could be due to resistance of the bacterial agents to antibiotics used for their treatment.

6 RECOMMENDATION

1. Potential source of infection as well as food and meat inspection must be followed up.
2. A further study should be conducted to ascertain why there is high resistance to Ampicillin in this study population.

COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

References

1. Abaje, I.B., Sawa, B.A. and Ati, O.F.(2014). Climate Variability and Change, Impacts and Adaptation Strategies in Dutsin-Ma Local Government Area of Katsina State, Nigeria, *Journal of Geography and Geology*, 6(2): 103-112.
2. Abdullahi M, Olonitola SO, Inabo HI. (2010). Isolation of bacteria associated with diarrhea among children attending some hospitals in Kano metropolis, Kano state, Nigeria. *Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences*;3(1): 10-15. Google Scholar.
3. Adesoji, A.T., Ogunjobi, A.A., Olatoye, I.O. and Call, D.R. (2015). Prevalence of tetracycline resistance genes among multi-drug resistant bacteria from selected water distribution systems in southwestern Nigeria. *Annals of Clinical Microbiology and Antimicrobials*;14: 35. PubMed| Google Scholar.
4. Ali S, Ale Z, Baquar SN, Shahjahan SRB. (2010). Resistance pattern of ciprofloxacin against different pathogens. *Oman Medical Journal*;25(4): 294-298. PubMed| Google Scholar.
5. Alisky J, Iczkowski K, Rappaport A, Troitsky N. (1998). Bacteriophages show promise as antimicrobial agents. *J. Infect*,36:5-15.
6. Al-Jurayyan, N.A., Al Rashed, A.M., Al-Nasser, M.N., Al-Mugeiren, MM, A.L. and Mazyad, A.S. (1994). Childhood bacterial diarrhoea in a regional hospital in Saudi Arabia: clinico-aetiological features. *J Trop Med Hyg*;97(2): 87-90. PubMed| Google Scholar
7. Anderson, R.C., Buckley, S.A., Kubena, L.F., Stanker, L.H., Harvey, R.B. and Nisbet, D.J. (2000). Bactericidal effect of sodium chlorate on *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella typhimurium* DT104 in rumen contents in vitro. *J. Food Prot*,63:1038-1042.

8. Ayodele Timilehin Adesoji, A.T. and Liadi, A.M. (2020). Antibigram studies of *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* species isolated from diarrheal patients attending Malam Mande General Hospital Dutsin-Ma, Katsina State, Nigeria. *Pan African Medical Journal* 37(110). 10.11604/pamj.2020.37.110.24851.
9. Barker, J., Humphrey, T.J. and Brown, M.W.R. (1999). Survival of *Escherichia coli* O157 in a soil protozoan: implications for disease. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett*;173:291-295
10. Beyene, G. and Tasew, H. (2014). Prevalence of intestinal parasite, *Shigella* and *Salmonella* species among diarrheal children in Jimma health center, Jimma southwest Ethiopia: a cross sectional study. *Annals of Clinical Microbiology and Antimicrobials*13: 1-7. PubMed| Google Scholar.
11. Binsztein N, Picandet AM, Notario R, Patrino E, De Lesa ME, De Petris A, Maurel D, Nader O,
 - a. Buchanan, R.L. and Doyle, M.P. (1997). Foodborne disease significance of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and other enterohemorrhagic *E. coli*. *Food Technol*,51:69-76.
12. Chapman, P.A., Wright, D.J. and Siddons, C.A. (1994). A comparison of immune magnetic separation and direct culture for the isolation of verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia Coli* O157 from bovine faeces. *J. Med. Microbiol*,40:4424-427.
13. *coli* in Peruvian infants. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2009 August ; 81(2): 296–301.
14. Cray, W.C.J. and Moon HW. (1995). Experimental infection of calves and adult cattle with *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol*,61:1586-1590.

15. Doyle, M.P. and Schoeni, J.L. (1984). Survival and Growth Characteristics of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 Associated with Haemorrhagic Colitis. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*,48(4):855-856.
16. Elder RO, Keen JE, Siragusa GR, Barkocy-Gallagher GA, Koohmarie M, Laegreid WW. (2000). Correlation of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157 prevalence in feces, hides, and carcasses of beef cattle during processing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*,97:29993003.
17. Estrada-García T, Cerna JF, Pacheco-Gil L, Velázquez RF, Ochoa TJ, Torres J, DuPont HL. (2005). Drugresistant Diarrheogenic *Escherichia coli*, Mexico. *Emerg Infect Dis*;11:1306–1308.
18. Esumeh FI, Isibor JO, Egbagbe IDS. Screening For *Escherichia Coli* O157:H7 In Diarrheic Patients In Benin City, Nigeria *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology Research*,2011;1(4):1-4.
19. Fenlon, D.R. and Wilson, J. (2000). Growth of *Escherichia coli* O157 in poorly fermented laboratory silage: a possible environmental dimension in the epidemiology of *E. coli* O157. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol*,30:118-121.
20. Griffin PM, Tauxe RV. The epidemiology of infections caused by *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, other enterohemorrhagic *E. coli*, and the associated hemolytic uremic syndrome. *Epidemiol Rev*.;13:6096.
21. Hancock, D.D., Besser, T.E., Kinsel, M.L., Tarr, P.I., Rice, D.H. and Paros, M.G. (1994). The prevalence of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in dairy and beef cattle in Washington State. *Epidemiol Infect*,113:199-207.

22. Isobol, S.B. and Ratnam, S. (1896). Sorbitol-MacConkey medium for detection of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 associated with hemorrhagic colitis. *J Clin Microbiol*;23:869-87.
23. Janisiewicz WJ, Conway WS, Brown MW, Sapers GM, Fratamico P, Buchanan R.L.(1999). Fate of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 on fresh-cut apple tissue and its potential for transmission by fruit flies *Appl Environ. Microbiol*;65:1-5.
24. Johnson RP, Wilson JB, Michel P, Rahn K, Renwick SA, Gyles C.L.(1999). Human infection with verocytotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* associated with exposure to farms and rural environments in CS Stewart and HJ Flint, eds *Escherichia coli* O157 in farm animals. CABI Publications, New York,:147-168.
25. Kabir, O. A., Stella, I. S., Akeeb, O. O., Kehinde, A. and Akitoye, O. C. (2007). Trends of Multiple Drug Resistance in *Salmonella Enterica Serovar Typhi* in Lagos, Nigeria. *East and Central African Journal of Surgery*; 12:1
26. Kudva IT, Hatfield PG, Hovde CJ. (1996). *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in microbial flora of sheep *J Clin Microbiol*,34:431-433.
27. MacDonald, I.A., Gould, I.M. and Curnow, J. (1996). Epidemiology of infection due to *Escherichia coli* O157: a 3-year prospective study. *Epidemiology & Infection*:116:279-284.
28. Mzungu, I., Inabo, H.I., Olonitola, S.O., Aminu, M. (2016). Antibiotic susceptibilities of *Salmonella* species prevalent among children of 0-5 years with diarrhea in Katsina state, Nigeria. *Arch Med Biomed Res*;3(1):39-51. doi: 10.4314/ambr.v3i1.6

29. Nataro JP, Kaper JB Diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli*. Clin. Microbiol Rev,1998;11:14-201.
30. Ochoa, T.J., Molina, M. and Del Valle, L.J. (2009). High frequency of antimicrobial resistance of diarrheagenic *E.*
31. Rivas M, Szefer M, Vergara M. Antimicrobial resistance among species of Salmonella, Shigella, Escherichia, and aeromonas isolated from children with diarrhea in 7 Argentinian centers. Rev Latinoam Microbiol 1999;41:121–126. [PubMed: 10932758]
32. Saleh, K.J, Doyinsola A.M, Adamu A.S, International Journal of Biology Sciences. Search for *E. coli* 0157: h7 in stool sample from diarrheagenic patient attending Dutsinma General Hospital. Vol. 3, issue 1, page no. 30-36 (2021)
33. Tesfahun, L., Tsige, K., and Ketema, B. (2016). Prevalence and Antimicrobial Resistance in *Salmonella* and *Shigella* Species Isolated from Outpatients, Jimma University Specialized Hospital, Southwest Ethiopia. *Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology*, Article ID 4210760, 8 pages
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/4210760>
34. World Health Organization (2019). Diarrhoeal diseases. Accessed on 11th May, 2020.