

Effect of Sulphur and Boron on Growth and Yield of Boro Rice in Calcareous Soil of Bangladesh

ABSTRACT

The experiment was constructed with Sulphur (S) and Boron (B) with three doses of each, i.e., S₀: without Sulphur (control), S₂₀: 20kg S/ha, S₃₀: 30kg S/ha and B₀: without Boron (control), B₂: 2kg B/ha, B₃: 3kg B/ha to study the effect on Boro rice (cv. BRRI dhan-28). In case of Sulphur, the treatment S₂₀ obtained the maximum plant height (97.811cm), maximum tillers hill⁻¹ (26.184) at harvest, highest panicle length (24.98cm), maximum grains panicle⁻¹ (167.37), maximum 1000-grain weight (27.57 g), maximum grain yield (5.46t/ha) and straw yield (5.71t/ha), maximum biological yield (11.17t/ha) and HI (48.88%). Whereas, maximum filled grains panicle⁻¹ (147.37) and minimum unfilled grains panicle⁻¹ (17.89) were found in S₃₀. In case of Boron, the highest plant height (96.378 cm) was found in B₃. Highest number of total tiller hill⁻¹ (25.149), longest panicle (24.17 cm), highest number of total grains panicle⁻¹ (165.78), filled grains panicle⁻¹ (144.04) and minimum unfilled grains panicle⁻¹ (21.74), maximum 1000-grain weight (25.24 g), highest grain, straw and biological yield (4.84, 5.43 and 10.27t/ha, respectively) and highest HI (47.13%) were found in B₂. The treatment combination S₂₀B₂ performed the best response than other treatments. Plant height was highest (100.30 cm) in S₂₀B₃ treatment combination at harvest. However, the maximum tillers hill⁻¹ (28.78) at harvest, highest panicle length (26.94 cm), maximum grains panicle⁻¹ (177.57), maximum filled grains panicle⁻¹ (150.27) and minimum unfilled grains panicle⁻¹ (27.30), highest 1000-grain weight (29.75 g), highest grain, straw and biological yield (6.30, 5.91 and 12.21t/ha, respectively) and higher HI (51.60%) were found in S₂₀B₂ treatment combination.

Keywords: Calcareous soil; sulphur; boron; growth; yield; boro rice.

1. INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is one of the most important crops that provide food for more than half of the world population [1]. It is the staple food of Bangladesh and is the world's second important food grain. Rice contributes 91.12% of the total grain production and covers 68% of the total calorie intake of this country's people [2]. Fertilizer is one of the most important measures for sustainable agricultural production. In modern farming, fertilizer application is an essential component contributing about 50% of the world's production [3]. Nutrient stresses in Bangladesh soils are increasing day by day. Before 1980's deficiency of NPK was a major problem but there after NPK, deficiency along with secondary and micronutrients (S and B) are frequently reported [4-6] Sulphur (S) is an essential plant nutrient and plays a vital role in the synthesis of amino acids (methionine, cysteine and cystine), proteins, chlorophyll and certain vitamins [7,8]. Plants absorb S mainly in the form of inorganic sulphate (SO₄²⁻) ions through the roots, thus sulphate must be present in soils in sufficient amount in order to meet crop S requirements [9] Insufficient availability of Sulphur to crop plants not only

declines their growth and yield but can also deteriorate nutritional quality of the production [10,11]. The major causes of S deficiency in Bangladesh include intensive cropping with high yielding varieties of different crops, soils remaining water logged due to wet land rice culture, shifting toward virtually Sulphur free fertilizer, depletion of soil organic matter through the removal of organic residues from the field and loss of S by leaching in light textured soils in high rain fall areas [12]. Sulphur deficiency in crops results in a reduction of leaf area, seed number, seed weight, delayed floral initiation and anthesis [13]. It reduces the growth rate and plant protein, chlorophyll content and photosynthetic CO₂ fixation [14]. Nitrogen assimilation is hampered due to the inadequate supply of S containing amino acids and thus nitrogen uptake and translocation are impeded [15]. Boron (B) is essential for plants and B availability in soil and irrigation water is an important determinant of agricultural production [16]. It is responsible for better pollination, seed setting and grain formation in different rice varieties [17]. Among the micronutrients boron deficiency causes great depreciation in grain set and results in severe yield reduction in

many of the world's grain producing countries [18]. Rice plant is sensitive to Boron deficiency especially at the panicle formation stage and insufficient Boron application may lead to failure in panicle formation [19]. Keeping this in view, the experiment was carried out to determine the appropriate dose of Sulphur and Boron, their effect on growth and yield of boro rice (BRRI dhan -28); and to find out the responses of Sulphur and Boron and their combinations in calcareous soils.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Location and Site

The experiment was conducted at the field laboratory of Department of Crop Science and Technology, University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh

during the period from November 2015 to April 2016 in Boro Season.

Geographically the experimental field is located at 24°22'36" N latitude and 88°38'27" E longitude at an elevation of 20 meter above the sea level. The experimental area belongs to High Ganges River Floodplain under Agro-Ecological Zone-11 (AEZ-11) (Appendix I).

2.2 Characteristics of Soil

The experimental field is of well-drained soil with moderately low permeability. The top soil is silty clay and slightly alkaline in reaction. The soil was medium fertile and pH value of the soil was 8.4. Physical and chemical characteristics of soil of the experimental field have been presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Physical and chemical characteristics of experimental initial field soil

| Sl. No | Properties | Value |
|--------|----------------------------|-----------------|
| 1. | Sand (%) | 20.8 |
| 2. | Silt (%) | 60.3 |
| 3. | Clay (%) | 20.9 |
| 4. | Textural class | Silty clay loam |
| 5. | pH | 8.4 |
| 6. | Organic Matter (%) | 1.25 |
| 7. | Total Nitrogen (%) | 0.10 (VL) |
| 8. | Available phosphorus (ppm) | 16.2 (L) |
| 9. | Available Sulphur (ppm) | 12.5 (VL) |
| 10. | Zinc (ppm) | 0.11 (VL) |
| 11. | Boron (ppm) | 0.23 (L) |
| 12. | Potassium (meq/100 g) | 0.57 (L) |
| 13. | Magnesium (meq/100 g) | 4.30 (OP) |
| 14. | Calcium (meq/100 g) | 15.35 (OP) |

Source: Soil Resource and Development Institute (SRDI), Regional Centre, Rajshahi, Bangladesh
Here, according to BARC (2005), VL = Very low, L = Low, M = Medium, OP = Optimum




Fig. 1. Location map of the study area

2.3 Climate

The experimental area was situated under subtropical climate, which is characterized by high temperature and moderate rainfall during kharif season (April to September) and low temperature during Robi season (October to March). The monthly total rainfall, average maximum and minimum temperature and relative humidity at the experimental site during the period of study have been presented in Appendix II.

2.4 Materials of the Experiment

2.4.1 Planting materials

BRRi Dhan-28 was selected as cultivating variety. This variety was developed by Bangladesh Rice Research Institute (BRRi), Gazipur, Bangladesh. It is a popular variety and

widely cultivated throughout the country. This is drought and cold tolerant rice variety and also moderately resistance to blast. BRRi dhan-28 was collected from Bangladesh Rice Research Institute (BRRi), Regional station, Shyampur, Rajshahi, Bangladesh.

2.4.2 Seed Sprouting

Healthy seeds were selected following standard method. Seed were immersed in water. Seeds were soaked in water in bucket and then taken out of water and spread thickly on polythene sheet and covered with wet gunny bags under dark condition for sprouting. The seeds started sprouting after 48 hours.

2.4.3 Raising of seedling

A piece of medium high land was puddle well with country plough followed by cleaning and leveling with a ladder. The sprouted seeds were

sown uniformly in a well prepared seed-bed on 29 November, 2015. Weeds were removed and irrigation was given in the seedbed as and when necessary. Due care was also taken to see that there was no infestation of pest and diseases and no damage by birds.

2.5 Experimental Treatment

Experiment was constructed with two factors viz., factor A (Sulphur) and factor B (Boron) with three levels dose of each. Here, Sulfur was used as gypsum ($\text{CaSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$) and boron was used as Borax ($\text{Na}_2\text{B}_4\text{O}_7 \cdot 10\text{H}_2\text{O}$). The detail of the treatment doses are given in the following Table 2.

Therefore, the total nine treatment combinations were found which are as follows in Table 3.

Urea was applied in three equal splits, as top dressing first split 10 days after transplanting

(DAT), second at active tillering stage at 30 days after transplanting (DAT) and third split at panicle initiation stage at 60 days after transplanting (DAT).

2.6 Design and Layout of the Experiment

The experimental plots were laid out according to the requirement of the treatments and statistical design. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. The experimental area was divided into three blocks each representing replication. Again, each block replication was divided into nine unit plots where the treatment combinations were allocated at randomized. Therefore, total number of plots was 27 in the experiment. The size of unit plot was 10 m^2 ($4 \text{ m} \times 2.5 \text{ m}$). The plot to plot distance was 1 m and between block was 1.5 m.

Table 2. Treatment doses

| Factor-A: Three Sulphur doses | Factor-B: Three Boron doses |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1. S_0 :0 kg S/ha | 1. B_0 : 0 kg B/ha |
| 2. S_{20} :20 kg S/ha | 2. B_2 : 2 kg B/ha |
| 3. S_{30} :30 kg S/ha | 3. B_3 : 3 kg B/ha |

Table 3. Treatment combinations

| | |
|---|---|
| 1. S_0B_0 (0 kg S/ha + 0 kg B/ha) | 6. $S_{20}B_3$ (20 kg S/ha + 3 kg B/ha) |
| 2. S_0B_2 (0 kg S/ha + 2 kg B/ha) | 7. $S_{30}B_0$ (30 kg S/ha + 0 kg B/ha) |
| 3. S_0B_3 (0 kg S/ha + 3 kg B/ha) | 8. $S_{30}B_2$ (30 kg S/ha + 2 kg B/ha) |
| 4. $S_{20}B_0$ (20 kg S/ha + 0 kg B/ha) | 9. $S_{30}B_3$ (30 kg S/ha + 3 kg B/ha) |
| 5. $S_{20}B_2$ (20 kg S/ha + 2 kg B/ha) | |

| R-1 | R-2 | R-3 |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| $T_1=S_0B_0$ | $T_7=S_{30}B_0$ | $T_3=S_0B_3$ |
| $T_9=S_{30}B_3$ | $T_8=S_{30}B_2$ | $T_5=S_{20}B_2$ |
| $T_2=S_0B_2$ | $T_6=S_{20}B_3$ | $T_7=S_{30}B_0$ |
| $T_8=S_{30}B_2$ | $T_4=S_{20}B_0$ | $T_9=S_{30}B_3$ |
| $T_3=S_0B_3$ | $T_2=S_0B_2$ | $T_1=S_0B_0$ |
| $T_7=S_{30}B_0$ | $T_9=S_{30}B_3$ | $T_8=S_{30}B_2$ |
| $T_4=S_{20}B_0$ | $T_5=S_{20}B_2$ | $T_6=S_{20}B_3$ |
| $T_5=S_{20}B_2$ | $T_3=S_0B_3$ | $T_4=S_{20}B_0$ |
| $T_6=S_{20}B_3$ | $T_1=S_0B_0$ | $T_2=S_0B_2$ |




Fig. 2. Layout of the experimental field

2.7 Preparation of Main Land for Transplanting

At first, required amount of water was preserved in the field for rotting weeds before 10 days of ploughing. After that the land was opened by power tiller. Then it was ploughed and cross ploughed three times with bullock drawn country plough followed by laddering to obtain a desirable puddle condition. The corners of the land were spaded well. Weeds and stubbles were removed from the field prior to transplanting of seedlings. The experimental plot was finally made ready for transplantation on 31 December, 2015.

The layout of the experimental field was made according to the design adopted. The layout of the experimental field was done on 03 January, 2016 according to the design adopted. Individual plots were leveled with a wooden plank. The bunds around the individual plot were spread before transplantation. Finally the total experimental area was isolated from nearby fields through the formation of a big and raised ail (bunds).

2.8 Uprooting of Seedling

The seedbed was made wet by application of water both in the morning and evening on the previous day before uprooting the seedling to reduce the mechanical injury of the root. The seedling were uprooted and kept on soft mud in shade and they were transplanted. The seedlings were similar and the having no injury were used for transplanting.

2.9 Transplanting of Seedling

Forty days old seedlings were transplanted in the well-puddled plots, three seedlings hill⁻¹ on 08 January, 2016.

2.10 Intercultural Operation

The following intercultural operation was done for ensuring and maintaining the normal growth of crop.

Here,
R = replication

Plot size = 4m×2.5m

2.10.1 Fertilizer management

Replication to Replication
distance = 1.5m

The experimental field was fertilized with Urea, TSP, MP and Gypsum at the rate of 150 kg ha⁻¹, 100 kg ha⁻¹, 70 kg ha⁻¹ and 60 kg ha⁻¹ respectively. One third of Urea and whole TSP, MP and Gypsum were applied during the final land preparation and were thoroughly mixed to the soil. The remaining urea was top dressed in two equal split.

2.10.2 Gap filling

After one week of transplanting, gap filling was done where it was necessary using the seedling from the same source.

2.10.3 Weeding

Weeding was done manually. The first weeding was done at 15 days after transplanting (DAT), the second and third weeding was done at 30 and 50 DAT, respectively.

2.10.4 Irrigation and drainage

The crop was irrigated by flood irrigation up to a level of 6 cm at early stage to enhance tillering and 10-12 cm at the later stage to discourage later tillering. The filled was finally drained out before 15 days of harvest to enhance maturity.

2.10.5 Plant protection measures

During the growth period, some plants were attacked by stem borer (*Scirpophaga incertulas*), and green leaf hopper which were successfully controlled by Furadan 5 G @ 12 kg/ha and Sumithion 100 SCW @ 1 liter/ha.

2.10.6 General observation

Regular observations were done to see the growth stages of the crops. In general the field looked nice with normal green plants. They were vigorous and luxuriant. Tiller growth of all

treatments combination was satisfactory. The plant did not lodge in any of the plot.

2.10.7 Sampling, harvesting, threshing, cleaning and processing

Maturity of crops was determined when about 80-85 percent grains becomes golden yellow. Five hills (excluding border hill) were randomly selected from each plot and tagged for recording necessary data. After sampling the whole plot was harvested at maturity.

2.11. Data Collection

2.11.1 Plant height (cm)

Plant height was measured after harvest. The height of the plant measured from the ground level to the tip of the longer panicle.

2.11.2 Total number of tillers

The tillers which had at least one leaf visible were counted. If included both effective and non-effective tillers.

2.11.3 Panicle length (cm)

Lengths were measured from the first node of the rachis to the tip of each panicle.

2.11.4 Number of grain/panicle

Total number of filled grain and unfilled grain were counted as total grain/panicle.

2.11.5 Number of filled grain/panicle

Presences of food material in the panicle were considered as grain and total number of grains present on each panicle were counted as number of filled grain/panicle.

2.11.6 Number of unfilled grain/panicle

Grains having no food materials inside were considered as sterile spikelet and the number of such spikelet present on each panicle were counted as number of unfilled grain/panicle.

2.11.7 1000-grain weight (g)

One thousand clean dried grains from the seed stock of each plot were counted separately and weight was taken by an electrical balance at 14% moisture.

2.11.8 Grain yield (t/ha)

The grain was separated by threshing plot wise than sun dried and weighed. The grain weight was finally converted into (t/ha).

2.11.9 Straw yield (t/ha)

Straw obtained from each unit plot was sun dried and weighted and then converted into (t/ha).

2.11.10 Biological yield (t/ha)

Grain yield and straw yield were altogether regarded as biological yield. Biological yield was calculated with the following formal-

$$\text{Biological yield (t/ha)} = \text{Grain yield (t/ha)} + \text{straw yield (t/ha)}$$

2.11.11 Harvest index (%)

It is the ratio of economic yield to biological yield and was calculated with the following formula:

$$\text{Harvest index} = \frac{\text{Grain yield}}{\text{Biological yield}} \times 100$$

2.12 Statistical Analysis

Recorded data for different parameters were compiled and tabulated in proper form for statistical analysis. The "Analysis of variance" (ANOVA) was done with the help of computer package program "MSTAT-C". The mean differences were adjudged by Duncan's Multiple Range Test. Simple correlation coefficient was done to determine the relationships between grain yield and its components with the help of computer package SPSS.




Plate 1. Seed bed preparation



Plate 2. Initial stage



Plate 3. Booting stage



Plate 4. Flower initiation stage



Plate 5. Maturity stage



Plate 6. Final field inspection

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The present research work was undertaken to investigate the effect of Sulphur (S) and Boron (B) on growth and yield of Boro rice in calcareous soils of Bangladesh. The results on growth, yield and yield contributing characters of BRRI dhan-28 have been presented. Among the studied characters, plant height (cm) and total number of tillers were recorded at 30, 50, 70 DAT and at harvest, while number of grains/panicle, number of filled and unfilled grains/panicle, 1000-grains weight (g), grain yield (t/ha), straw yield (t/ha), biological yield (t/ha) and harvest index (%) were recorded at the time of harvest and their detailed results described under the following subheadings.

3.1 Main and Combined Effect of Sulphur and Boron on the Growth of Plant Height (cm)

3.1.1 Effect of Sulphur

Plant height is one of the most efficient characters among the yield and yield contributing characters for getting the higher yield of rice due to the plant height is a key of higher straw yield. Plant height differed significantly due to the application of various level of Sulphur at 30, 50, 70 DAT and at harvest (Fig. 3 and Appendix III). Among the different levels of Sulphur, the maximum plant height (38.289 cm at 30 DAT, 68.378 cm at 50 DAT, 92.922 cm at 70 DAT and 97.811 cm at harvest) was recorded in S₂₀ (20 kg

S/ha) and the lowest plant height (35.522 cm at 30 DAT, 54.990 cm at 50 DAT, 88.00 cm at 70 DAT and 90.778 cm at harvest) was recorded in S₀ (control). Similar result was also observed by Islam et al., [20] who conducted an experiment to evaluate the effects of different rates and sources of Sulphur on the yield and yield components, nutrient content and nutrient uptake of rice and the longest plant was found from 16 kg S/ha applied as gypsum while the shortest plant was noticed with control treatment Sulphur.

3.1.2 Effect of boron

Application of Boron significantly influenced the plant height of Boro rice (Fig. 4 and Appendix III). The findings showed that the longest plant height (37.422 cm) was found in B₂ (2 kg/ha) followed by B₃ (3 kg/ha), whereas the shortest plant height (35.589 cm) was recorded from B₀ (control) at 30 DAT. At 50 DAT, the longest plant height (64.70 cm) was found in B₂ (2 kg/ha) whereas the shortest plant height (62.546 cm) was recorded from B₀ (control). At 70 DAT, the longest plant (91.867cm) was found in B₃ (3 kg/ha) and the shortest plant height (89.444 cm) was found in no Boron application followed by B₂ (2 kg/ha). Again, at harvest stage, the longest plant height (96.378 cm) was found in B₃ (3 kg/ha), whereas the shortest plant height (93.022 cm) was found in B₀ (control) followed by B₂ (2 kg/ha). Another study shows that plant height was significantly influenced by B application with the range from 3.51 to 6.11 t/ha [21].




Fig. 3. Effect of Sulphur (S) on plant height at 30, 50, 70 DAT and at harvest




Fig. 4. Effect of Boron (B) on plant height at 30, 50, 70 DAT and at harvest

Table 4. Interaction effect of sulphur and boron on plant height (cm) at different days after transplanting

| Treatment | Plant height (cm) | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|------------|
| | 30 DAT | 50 DAT | 70 DAT | At harvest |
| S ₀ B ₀ | 33.87e | 53.17d | 86.33f | 89.53f |
| S ₀ B ₂ | 37.77b | 58.43c | 88.47e | 90.47e |
| S ₀ B ₃ | 34.93d | 53.37d | 89.20de | 92.33cd |
| S ₂₀ B ₀ | 37.80b | 67.70ab | 91.23bc | 95.67bc |
| S ₂₀ B ₂ | 38.27ab | 68.30ab | 92.77b | 97.47b |
| S ₂₀ B ₃ | 38.80a | 69.13a | 94.77a | 100.30a |
| S ₃₀ B ₀ | 35.10d | 66.77b | 90.77cd | 93.87c |
| S ₃₀ B ₂ | 36.23c | 67.37ab | 88.47e | 94.77c |
| S ₃₀ B ₃ | 37.70b | 68.17ab | 91.63bc | 96.50b |
| LSD (0.5) | 0.9916 | 1.870 | 1.641 | - |
| Level of significance | ** | ** | ** | NS |
| CV (%) | 4.19% | 3.30% | 6.80% | 4.36% |

In a column, means followed by a similar letter(s) or without letter are not significantly different whereas, means followed by a dissimilar letter(s) are significantly different as per DMRT at 5%

Here, ** indicates significant at 1% level of probability, NS = Non-significant, CV (%) = Co-efficient of variation, DAT = Days after transplanting

S₀ = 0 kg Sulphur (control), S₂₀ = Sulphur 20 kg/ha, S₃₀ = Sulphur 30 kg/ha
Again, B₀ = 0kg Boron (control), B₂ = Boron 2 kg/ha, B₃ = Boron 3 kg/ha

3.1.3 Combined effect of Sulphur and Boron

A significant variation was found during the growth period of plant height due to interaction effect between Sulphur and Boron fertilizers, whereas at harvest the treatment combination was non-significant (Table 4 and Appendix III). Among the interaction effects, 20 kg S/ha with the combination of 3 kg B/ha (S₂₀B₃) recorded

the longest plant height (38.80cm at 30 DAT, 69.13 cm at 50 DAT, 94.77cm at 70 DAT and 100.30 cm at harvest). Similarly, the shortest plant height (33.87cm at 30 DAT, 53.17 cm at 50 DAT, 86.33 cm at 70 DAT and 89.53 cm at harvest) was found from both of the control fertilizers, S₀B₀ (without Sulphur and Boron).

3.2 Main and Combined Effect of Sulphur and Boron on the Growth and Yield of Total Number of Tillers

3.2.1 Effect of Sulphur

Tiller production are directly related to grain and straw yield in case of the more tillers produced more panicle, more grains which ultimately increase the grain and straw yield of rice. Analysis of variance of data regarding to tiller production was affected significantly due to the various levels of Sulphur application at 30, 50, 70 DAT and at harvest. Among the Sulphur levels, the maximum total tillers (11.52, 21.152, 26.89 and 26.184) were recorded in 20 kg S/ha (S_{20}) at 30, 50, 70 DAT and at harvest respectively, which was significantly differed from others (Fig. 5 and Appendix IV). The minimum total tillers (7.593, 17.78, 22.963 and 21.593) were recorded in 0 kg S/ha at 30, 50, 70 DAT and at harvest respectively. Islam et al. [22] reported a significant increase in tillers of BR11 rice at BAU farm and at farmer's field in Melandha by applying 20kg S/ha. Haque and Chowdhury [23] also reported that number of effective tillers/hill of rice was increased by S application.

3.2.2 Effect of Boron

Number of total tillers showed significant difference due to the different level of Boron application at 30, 50, 70 days after transplanting

and at harvest. The findings showed that the highest number of total tiller (10.038) was found in B_2 (2 kg/ha) followed by B_3 (3 kg/ha) whereas the lowest number of total tiller (8.112) was recorded from B_0 (control) at 30 DAT. At 50 DAT, the highest number of total tiller (20.594) was found in B_2 (2 kg/ha) followed by B_3 (3 kg/ha) whereas the lowest number of total tiller (18.483) was recorded from B_0 (control). Similarly, at 70 DAT, the highest tiller number was found in B_2 dose, followed by B_3 and lowest was found in no Boron application. Again, at harvest stage, the highest number of total tiller (25.149) was found in B_2 (2 kg/ha) followed by B_0 (control), whereas the lowest number of total tiller (23.888) was recorded from B_3 (3 kg/ha) (Fig. 6 and Appendix IV). Singh and Ram [24] studied on effects of zinc and boron on T. aman rice and found that application of zinc and boron had significant positive effects on the tiller/hill.

3.2.3 Combined effect of Sulphur and Boron

A significant variation was also found during the growth period due to interaction effect between Sulphur and Boron fertilizers regarding number of tillers whereas at 50 DAT the treatment combination was non-significant (Table 5 and Appendix IV). Among the interaction effects, 20 kg S/ha with the combination of 2 kg B/ha ($S_{20}B_2$) recorded the maximum tillers (22.56, 28.89 and 28.78) at 50, 70 DAT and at harvest respectively, which was statistically differed from other




Fig. 5. Effect of Sulphur (S) on total number of tillers at 30, 50, 70 DAT and at harvest




Fig. 6. Effect of Boron (B) on total number of tillers at 30, 50, 70 DAT and at harvest

interactions of both fertilizers at every data recording stages. But at 30 DAT the highest number of tiller (12.11) was found in $S_{20}B_3$ combination. On the other hand, the lowest number of tillers (5.78, 15.67, 22.56, and 20.44) was observed from the interaction effect of control S_0B_0 fertilizers at 30, 50, 70 DAT and at harvest respectively (Table 5). These results revealed that all the interaction treatments showed increment tillers production up to 70 DAT, thereafter it decrease at harvest which

might be due to the tillers mortality for maturity at harvest. The interaction treatment of $S_{20}B_2$ (20 kg S/ha and 2 kg B/ha) obtained the maximum tillers due to the proper nutrient supply to the plant which ultimately reduced the tillers mortality at harvest. Such results indicate that interaction of Sulphur and Boron promoted the number of effective tillers. Saliva and Castilla [25] also reported that effective tillers $hill^{-1}$ increased up to 5% by the application of Sulphur and Boron.

Table 5. Interaction effect of Sulphur and Boron on total number of tillers at different days after transplanting

| Treatment | Number of tiller | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------|---------|---------|------------|
| | 30 DAT | 50DAT | 70DAT | At harvest |
| S_0B_0 | 5.78f | 15.67e | 22.56e | 20.44e |
| S_0B_2 | 8.33de | 18.11d | 22.03e | 21.78de |
| S_0B_3 | 8.67cd | 19.56cd | 24.33d | 22.56cd |
| $S_{20}B_0$ | 10.89b | 19.67cd | 25.45cd | 25.36b |
| $S_{20}B_2$ | 11.56ab | 22.56a | 28.89a | 28.78a |
| $S_{20}B_3$ | 12.11a | 21.11bc | 26.33bc | 25.55b |
| $S_{30}B_0$ | 7.67e | 20.11c | 26.78b | 26.33b |
| $S_{30}B_2$ | 8.33de | 21.11bc | 26.48bc | 25.67b |
| $S_{30}B_3$ | 9.33c | 22.22bc | 25.78bc | 23.55c |
| LSD (0.5) | 0.9269 | - | 1.283 | 1.428 |
| Level of significance | * | NS | ** | ** |
| CV (%) | 6.01% | 6.18% | 2.23% | 8.59% |

In a column, means followed by a similar letter(s) or without letter are not significantly different whereas, means followed by a dissimilar letter(s) are significantly different as per DMRT at 5%

*Here, * indicates significant at 5% level of probability, ** indicates significant at 1% level of probability, NS = Non-significant, CV (%) = Co-efficient of variation, DAT = Days after transplanting*

3.3 Main and Combined Effect of Sulphur and Boron on the Growth of Panicle Length (cm)

3.3.1 Effect of Sulphur

Similar to plant height and tillering of Boro rice (cv. BR11 dhan-28), the panicle length responded significantly to Sulphur application (Table 6 and Appendix V). The panicle length varied from 20.34 cm to 24.98 cm due to different rates of S applications. The treatment S_{20} (20 kg S/ha) produced the highest result (24.98 cm) and the control treatment (S_0) did the lowest (20.34 cm). Rahman et al., [26] conducted a field experiment and reported that application of S had a significant positive effect on panicle length.

3.3.2 Effect of Boron

The panicle length of Boro rice was significantly affected due to application of Boron (Table 7 and Appendix V). Apparently the longest panicle (24.17cm) was observed in B_2 treatment (2 kg/ha) and the shortest panicle (22.33 cm) was recorded in B_0 treatment (control). Singh and Ram [24] reported positive effect of B on panicle length.

3.3.3 Combined effect of Sulphur and Boron

The interaction effect of S and B treatment on the panicle length of Boro rice was significant (Table 8 and Appendix V). Panicle length due to different rates of S and B application varied from 18.62cm to 26.94 cm. The maximum panicle length (26.94 cm) was found in $S_{20}B_2$ treatment (20 kg S/ha with 2 kg B/ha) and the minimum panicle length (18.62 cm) was noted with control treatment, S_0B_0 (without Sulphur and Boron).

3.4 Main and Combined Effect of Sulphur and Boron on Number of Grains Panicle⁻¹

3.4.1 Effect of Sulphur

The number of grains panicle⁻¹ was appreciably increased due to the addition of Sulphur (Table 6 and Appendix V). The grains per panicle due to different rates of S applications varied from 140.11 to 167.37. The highest number of grains panicle⁻¹ (167.37) was observed in S_{20} treatment (S 20 kg/ha), followed by S_{30} treatment (30 kg/ha) (165.26) and the lowest number of grains panicle⁻¹ (140.11) was observed in S_0 treatment (control). Jahiruddin et al., [27] conducted a field experiment on Sonatala silt loam soil at BAU

farm during the boro season and reported that 25 kg S/ha increased the number of grains/panicle and grain yield of rice.

3.4.2 Effect of Boron

The number of grains panicle⁻¹ increased significantly with the different rates of Boron application, compared to B_0 (control) (Table 7 and Appendix V). The grains per panicle due to different rates of B applications varied from 150.26 to 165.78. The highest number of grains panicle⁻¹ (165.78) was observed in B_2 treatment (2 kg/ha) and the lowest number of grains panicle⁻¹ (150.26) was observed in B_0 treatment (control). Singh and Ram [24] reported positive effect of B on grains⁻¹.

3.4.3 Combined effect of Sulphur and Boron

Unlike other parameters, there was a significant effect of Sulphur and Boron applications on the number of grains panicle⁻¹ (Table 8 and Appendix V). The number of grains panicle⁻¹ was found to be 126.78 to 177.57. The highest number of grains panicle⁻¹ (177.57) was observed in $S_{20}B_2$ treatment and the lowest number of grains panicle⁻¹ (126.78) was noted with control treatment, S_0B_0 .

3.5 Main and Combined Effect of Sulphur and Boron on Number of Filled Grains Panicle⁻¹

3.5.1 Effect of Sulphur

Number of filled grains panicle⁻¹ significantly varied due to application of Sulphur (Table 6 and Appendix V). The highest number of filled grains (147.37) was found when the crop was fertilized with 30 kg S/ha (S_{30} treatment), followed by S_{20} treatment (20 kg/ha) (140.78) and the lowest (108.81) was in S_0 treatment (control). From the study of Rahman et al., [28] found that filled grain/panicle significantly responded to different levels of applied S.

3.5.2 Effect of Boron

Application of Boron increased the production of filled grains per panicle. This increase was statistically significant (Table 7 and Appendix V). The highest number of filled grains panicle⁻¹ (144.04) was found from B_2 treatment (2 kg/ha) and lowest number (121.48) was found in B_0 treatment (control). Sheudzhen [29] reported that application of B decreased spikelet sterility.

3.5.3 Combined effect of Sulphur and Boron

Interaction effect of Sulphur and Boron showed significant variation in the number of filled grainspanicle⁻¹ (Table 8 and Appendix V). The treatment combination S₂₀B₂ produced the highest number (150.27) of filled grainspanicle⁻¹. The lowest number of filled grainspanicle⁻¹ (89.18) was noted with control treatment (S₀B₀), which was followed by the treatment combination of S₀B₃ (0 kg S/ha with 3 kg B/ha) (102.27) and S₀B₂ (0 kg S/ha with 2 kg B/ha) (104.67).

3.6 Main and Combined Effect of Sulphur and Boron on Number of Unfilled Grains Panicle⁻¹

3.6.1 Effect of Sulphur

Number of unfilled grainspanicle⁻¹ differed significantly due to the effect of Sulphur (Table 6 and Appendix V). The maximum number of unfilled grainspanicle⁻¹ (31.30) was recorded in S₀ treatment (control) and minimum number of unfilled grainspanicle⁻¹ (17.89) was recorded from S₃₀ treatment (30 kg/ha).

3.6.2 Effect of Boron

Number of unfilled grainspanicle⁻¹ differed significantly due to the effect of Boron (Table 7 and Appendix V). Among the Boron treatments, the maximum number of unfilled grainspanicle⁻¹ (28.78) was recorded in B₀ treatment (control), followed by B₃ treatment (3 kg/ha) (27.93) and minimum number of unfilled grainspanicle⁻¹ (21.74) was recorded from B₂ treatment (2 kg/ha).

3.6.3 Combined effect of Sulphur and Boron

The data on unfilled grainspanicle⁻¹ showed significant difference among the interaction effect between Sulphur and Boron application levels (Table 8 and Appendix V). The unfilled grainspanicle⁻¹ varied from 26.21 to 51.21 due to interaction effect of Sulphur and Boron fertilizers. The maximum number of unfilled grainspanicle⁻¹ (51.21) was found in interaction of S₀B₃ treatment (0 kg S/ha with 3 kg B/ha), which was followed by the treatment combination of S₂₀B₀ (20 kg S/ha with 0 kg B/ha) (49.22). The minimum number of unfilled grainspanicle⁻¹ (26.21) found in interaction of S₃₀B₃ treatment (S 30 kg/ha with 3 kg B/ha), followed by the treatment combination of S₂₀B₂ (20 kg S/ha with 2 kg B/ha) (27.30).

3.7 Main and Combined Effect of Sulphur and Boron on 1000-Grain Weight (g)

3.7.1 Effect of Sulphur

1000-grain weight also differed significantly due to the application of different level of Sulphur (table 6 and Appendix V). The highest weight of 1000-grain (27.57 g) was observed in S₂₀ treatment (20 kg/ha) and the lowest weight of 1000-grain (22.49 g) was observed in S₀ treatment (control), followed by S₃₀ (30 kg/ha) (23.72 g). Sarfaraz et al., [30] field experiment showed that effect of S fertilizers significantly increased 1000-grain weight.

3.7.2 Effect of Boron

Application of Boron for 1000-grain weight was statistically significant (Table 7 and Appendix V). The highest weight of 1000-grain (25.24 g) was found from B₂ treatment (2 kg/ha) and the lowest weight of 1000-grain (24.01 g) was observed in B₀ treatment (control), followed by B₃ treatment (3 kg/ha) (24.53 g). Xiong [31] reported that 1000-grain weight increased with B application.

3.7.3 Combined effect of Sulphur and Boron

The combined effect of Sulphur and Boron on 1000-grain weight was significant (table 8 and Appendix V). In values the maximum weight (29.75 g) was noted in the treatment combination S₂₀B₂ (20 kg S/ha with 2 kg B/ha) and the minimum weight (21.68 g) was noted S₀B₀ treatment combination (control), followed by the treatment combination of S₃₀B₃ (30 kg S/ha with 3 kg B/ha) (22.37 g) and S₀B₂ (0 kg S/ha with 2 kg B/ha) (22.42 g).

3.8 Main and Combined Effect of Sulphur and Boron on Grain Yield (t/ha)

3.8.1 Effect of Sulphur

Grain yield varied significantly due to different levels of Sulphur, where significant grain yield varied from 3.30 to 5.46 t/ha (Table 9 and Appendix VI). The highest grain yield (5.46 t/ha) was recorded from S₂₀ treatment (20 kg/ha) and the lowest grain yield (3.30 t/ha) was observed in S₀ treatment (control). The pattern of grain yield was similar to that of panicle length. The result obtained in grain yield is in accordance with the findings of Islam et al. [20]. Jawahar and Vaiyapuri [32] carried out a field experiment to study the effect of Sulphur on grain and straw yield of rice. Jena and Kabi [33] observed that application of S significantly increased the grain and straw yield.

Table 6. Effect of Sulphur on panicle length, grains panicle⁻¹, filled grains panicle⁻¹, unfilled grains panicle⁻¹, 1000-grain weight (g) at harvest

| Treatment | Panicle length (cm) | Grains panicle ⁻¹ | Filled grains panicle ⁻¹ | Unfilled grains panicle ⁻¹ | 1000-grain weight (g) |
|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| S ₀ | 20.34b | 140.11b | 108.81b | 31.30a | 22.49b |
| S ₂₀ | 24.98a | 167.37a | 140.78a | 26.59b | 27.57a |
| S ₃₀ | 24.76a | 165.26a | 147.37a | 17.89c | 23.72b |
| LSD (0.5) | 0.5902 | 2.01 | 11.91 | 3.127 | 1.494 |
| Level of significance | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| CV (%) | 4.93 | 15.95 | 7.43 | 6.77 | 4.64 |

In a column, means followed by a similar letter(s) or without letter are not significantly different where-as, means followed by a dissimilar letter(s) are significantly different as per DMRT at 5%

*Here, ** indicates significant at 1% level of probability, CV (%) = Co-efficient of variation*

Table 7. Effect of Boron on panicle length, grains panicle⁻¹, filled grains panicle⁻¹, unfilled grains panicle⁻¹, 1000-grain weight (g) at harvest

| Treatment | Panicle length (cm) | Grains panicle ⁻¹ | Filled grains panicle ⁻¹ | Unfilled grains panicle ⁻¹ | 1000-grain weight (g) |
|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| B ₀ | 22.33b | 150.26b | 121.48b | 28.78a | 24.01b |
| B ₂ | 24.17a | 165.78a | 144.04a | 21.74b | 25.24a |
| B ₃ | 23.59a | 162.37a | 134.44a | 27.93a | 24.53b |
| LSD (0.5) | 0.5902 | 2.01 | 11.91 | 3.127 | 1.494 |
| Level of significance | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| CV (%) | 4.93 | 15.95 | 7.43 | 6.77 | 4.64 |

In a column, means followed by a similar letter(s) or without letter are not significantly different whereas, means followed by a dissimilar letter(s) are significantly different as per DMRT at 5%

*Here, ** indicates significant at 1% level of probability, CV (%) = Co-efficient of variation*

Table 8. Interaction effect of Sulphur and Boron on panicle length, grains panicle⁻¹, filled grains panicle⁻¹, unfilled grains panicle⁻¹, 1000-grain weight (g) at harvest

| Treatment | Panicle length (cm) | Grains panicle ⁻¹ | Filled grains panicle ⁻¹ | Unfilled grains panicle ⁻¹ | 1000-grain weight (g) |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| S ₀ B ₀ | 18.62f | 126.78d | 89.18d | 37.60b | 21.68d |
| S ₀ B ₂ | 20.58e | 140.56cd | 104.67cd | 35.89b | 22.42d |
| S ₀ B ₃ | 21.82d | 153.48cd | 102.27cd | 51.21a | 23.37cd |
| S ₂₀ B ₀ | 23.22c | 158.33c | 109.11c | 49.22a | 26.67b |
| S ₂₀ B ₂ | 26.94a | 177.57a | 150.27a | 27.30c | 29.75a |
| S ₂₀ B ₃ | 24.78b | 168.78ab | 133.30ab | 35.48b | 26.28b |
| S ₃₀ B ₀ | 25.14b | 165.67ab | 135.55ab | 30.12c | 25.23bc |
| S ₃₀ B ₂ | 24.98b | 164.78ab | 138.44ab | 26.34c | 23.55cd |
| S ₃₀ B ₃ | 24.16bc | 165.33ab | 139.12ab | 26.21c | 22.37d |
| LSD (0.5) | 1.022 | 2.01 | 15.25 | 5.416 | 2.588 |
| Level of significance | ** | ** | * | ** | ** |
| CV (%) | 4.93 | 15.95 | 7.43 | 6.77 | 4.64 |

In a column, means followed by a similar letter(s) or without letter are not significantly different whereas, means followed by a dissimilar letter(s) are significantly different as per DMRT at 5%

*Here, * indicates significant at 5% level of probability, ** indicates significant at 1% level of probability, CV (%) = Co-efficient of variation*

3.8.2 Effect of Boron

The grain yield of Boro rice (BRRI dhan-28) responded significantly to the application of Boron (Table 10 and Appendix VI). The highest

grain yield (4.84 t/ha) was recorded from B₂ treatment (2 kg/ha) and the lowest grain yield (3.72 t/ha) was observed in B₀ (control). In a field study, the BRRRI scientists also observed significant yield increase with B application in the Boro rice [34]. Singh et al., [35] also reported that the increase in yield due to B application was 31% higher over control. Sharif et al. [36] also showed that grain yield increased by Boron application.

3.8.3 Combined effect of Sulphur and Boron

Combined application of Sulphur and Boron showed a significant variation in grain yield. The highest grain yield (6.30 t/ha) and the lowest grain yield (2.02 t/ha) were found from S₂₀B₂ (20 kg S/ha with 2 kg B/ha) treatment and S₀B₀ treatment (control) respectively (Table 11 and Appendix VI).

3.9 Main and Combined Effect of Sulphur and Boron on Straw Yield (t/ha)

3.9.1 Effect of Sulphur

Sulphur showed a significant variation in straw yield (Table 9 and Appendix VI). The highest straw yield (5.71 t/ha) was recorded from S₂₀ treatment (20 kg/ha) and the lowest straw yield (4.79 t/ha) was observed in S₀ treatment (control), followed by S₃₀ treatment (30 kg/ha) (4.81 t/ha). Yield of straw in S₀ and S₃₀ treatment were statistically similar. The findings of this character agree with the result obtained by Saha et al., [37]. Jena and Kabi [33] reported that application of S significantly increased the grain and straw yield.

3.9.2 Effect of Boron

Boron also showed significant effect on straw yield (Table 10 and Appendix VI). The highest straw yield (5.43 t/ha) was found from B₂ treatment (2 kg/ha). The lowest straw yield (4.61 t/ha) was observed in B₀ (control). The second highest straw yield (5.31 t/ha) was found from B₃ treatment (3 kg/ha). Singh et al., [35] conducted a field trial and observed that B application increase straw yield. Rahmatullah et al., [38] reported that straw yield was increased by Boron application.

3.9.3 Combined effect of Sulphur and Boron

Result presented in Table 11 and Appendix VI showed that interaction effect of Sulphur and boron were significantly influenced on the straw yield. The highest straw yield (5.91 t/ha) was noticed from S₂₀B₂ (20 kg S/ha with 2 kg B/ha)

treatment and lowest straw yield (4.09 t/ha) was recorded from S₃₀B₀ (30 kg S/ha with 0 kg B/ha) treatment.

3.10 Main and Combined Effect of Sulphur and Boron on Biological Yield (t/ha)

3.10.1 Effect of Sulphur

A significant variation was found due to the effect of Sulphur application in respect of biological yield (Table 9 and Appendix VI). It was found that the biological yield was highest (11.17 t/ha) in S₂₀ treatment (20 kg/ha). Similarly, the lowest biological yield (8.09 t/ha) was observed in S₀ treatment (control). While S₃₀ treatment (30 kg/ha) produced statistically similar lower biological yield (8.18 t/ha). The variation result on biological yield was found due to the variation in Sulphur which result supported by Khan et al., [39]. Rahman et al., [40] reported that biological yield of BRRRI dhan-41 significantly responded to different levels of applied S.

3.10.2 Effect of Boron

Boron also showed significant effect on biological yield (Table 10 and Appendix VI). The highest biological yield (10.27 t/ha) was found from B₂ treatment (2 kg/ha) and the lowest biological yield (8.33 t/ha) was observed in B₀ (control). The second highest biological yield (9.96 t/ha) was found from B₃ treatment (3 kg/ha).

3.10.3 Combined effect of Sulphur and Boron

Combined application of Sulphur and Boron showed a significant variation in biological yield (Table 11 and Appendix VI). The highest biological yield (12.21 t/ha) was recorded from S₂₀B₂ (20 kg S/ha with 2 kg B/ha) treatment and the lowest biological yield (6.98 t/ha) was observed from S₀B₀ treatment (control).

3.11 Main and Combined Effect of Sulphur and Boron on Harvest Index (%)

3.11.1 Effect of Sulphur

Harvest index present comparative yield performance between grain and straw yield. It also indicates the percent grain yield on the basis of biological yield. The data on harvest index was significantly influenced by the Sulphur application (Table 9 and Appendix VI). It was recorded that the harvest index was highest (48.88%) in S₂₀ treatment (20 kg/ha). The lowest harvest index (40.79%) was observed in S₀ treatment (control), while S₃₀ treatment (30 kg/ha) also

produced statistically similar lower harvest index (41.19%).

Table 9. Effect of Sulphur on yield characters and HI at harvest

| Treatment | Grain yield (t/ha) | Straw yield (t/ha) | Biological yield (t/ha) | Harvest index (%) |
|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| S ₀ | 3.30b | 4.79b | 8.09b | 40.79b |
| S ₂₀ | 5.46a | 5.71a | 11.17a | 48.88a |
| S ₃₀ | 3.37b | 4.81b | 8.18b | 41.19b |
| LSD (0.5) | 0.6718 | 0.6457 | 1.038 | 2.596 |
| Level of significance | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| CV (%) | 8.01 | 6.92 | 5.86 | 4.24 |

*In a column, means followed by a similar letter(s) or without letter are not significantly different where-as, means followed by a dissimilar letter(s) are significantly different as per DMRT at 5%
Here, ** indicates significant at 1% level of probability, CV (%) = Co-efficient of variation*

Table 10. Effect of Boron on yield characters and HI at harvest

| Treatment | Grain yield (t/ha) | Straw yield (t/ha) | Biological yield (t/ha) | Harvest index (%) |
|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| B ₀ | 3.72b | 4.61b | 8.33b | 44.66b |
| B ₂ | 4.84a | 5.43a | 10.27a | 47.13a |
| B ₃ | 4.65a | 5.31a | 9.96a | 46.69a |
| LSD (0.5) | 0.6718 | 0.6457 | 1.038 | 2.596 |
| Level of significance | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| CV (%) | 8.01 | 6.92 | 5.86 | 4.24 |

*In a column, means followed by a similar letter(s) or without letter are not significantly different whereas, means followed by a dissimilar letter(s) are significantly different as per DMRT at 5%
Here, ** indicates significant at 1% level of probability, CV (%) = Co-efficient of variation*

Table 11. Interaction effect of Sulphur and Boron on yield characters and HI at harvest

| Treatment | Grain yield (t/ha) | Straw yield (t/ha) | Biological yield (t/ha) | Harvest index (%) |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| S ₀ B ₀ | 2.02g | 4.96c | 6.98g | 28.94d |
| S ₀ B ₂ | 3.21ef | 4.61cd | 7.82ef | 41.05c |
| S ₀ B ₃ | 3.94cd | 5.21bc | 9.15cd | 43.06ab |
| S ₂₀ B ₀ | 5.33ab | 5.77ab | 11.10ab | 48.02ab |
| S ₂₀ B ₂ | 6.30a | 5.91a | 12.21a | 51.60a |
| S ₂₀ B ₃ | 4.74bc | 5.46bc | 10.20bc | 46.47ab |
| S ₃₀ B ₀ | 3.02fg | 4.09d | 7.11fg | 42.48b |
| S ₃₀ B ₂ | 3.56de | 5.75ab | 9.31cd | 38.24cd |
| S ₃₀ B ₃ | 3.18ef | 5.58bc | 8.76de | 36.30cd |
| LSD (0.5) | 1.164 | 1.118 | 1.798 | 4.497 |
| Level of significance | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| CV (%) | 8.01 | 6.92 | 5.86 | 4.24 |

*In a column, means followed by a similar letter(s) or without letter are not significantly different whereas, means followed by a dissimilar letter(s) are significantly different as per DMRT at 5%
Here, ** indicates significant at 1% level of probability, CV (%) = Co-efficient of variation*

3.11.2 Effect of Boron

The data on harvest index was significant by the application of Boron (Table 10 and Appendix VI). However, the highest harvest index (47.13%)

was found from B₂ treatment (2 kg/ha) and the lowest harvest index (44.66%) was observed in B₀ (control). The second highest harvest index (46.69%) was found from B₃ treatment (3 kg/ha).

3.11.3 Combined effect of Sulphur and Boron

Analysis of variance data regarding to harvest index was significantly influenced by the interaction effect of Sulphur and Boron (Table 11 and Appendix VI). The highest harvest index (51.60%) was recorded from S₂₀B₂ treatment and lowest harvest index (28.94%) was observed from S₀B₀ treatment (control).

4. CONCLUSION

All the studied characters were statistically significant due to Sulphur application where 20 kg S/ha showed superior performance on them. However, treatment S₂₀ (20 kg S/ha) obtained the tallest height of plant (97.811 cm) at harvest, maximum number of total tillers hill⁻¹ (26.184), highest length of panicle (24.98 cm), maximum number of grains panicle⁻¹ (167.37), maximum weight of 1000-grains (27.57 g), maximum yield of grain (5.46 t/ha), maximum yield of straw (5.71 t/ha), maximum biological yield (11.17 t/ha) and HI (48.88%). In terms of Boron application at different levels, significant variation was found for the most of the parameters, where 2 kg B/ha showed superior performance. Results indicated that the highest height of plant (96.378 cm) was found in B₃ (3 kg B/ha). Maximum number of total tillers hill⁻¹ (25.149), highest length of panicle (24.17 cm), maximum number of grains panicle⁻¹ (165.78), maximum number of filled grains panicle⁻¹ (144.04), minimum number of unfilled grains panicle⁻¹ (21.74), maximum weight of 1000-grains (25.24 g), highest yield of grain, straw and biological (4.84, 5.43 and 10.27 t/ha, respectively) and highest HI (47.13%) were found in B₂ (2 kg B/ha). Almost all the growth, yield and yield contributing characters were significantly affected by the interaction effect of Sulphur and Boron fertilizers at all the growth stages and at harvest whereas the combination of S₂₀B₂ (20 kg S/ha with 2 kg B/ha) comparatively performed the best than that of other combinations. Plant height was highest (100.30 cm) in S₂₀B₃ (20 kg S/ha with 3 kg B/ha) and the lowest (89.53 cm) in S₀B₀ (without S and B) at harvest. However, number of tillers hill⁻¹ increased with the advancement of time but decreased at harvest. As a result, the maximum number of total tillers hill⁻¹ (28.78), highest length of panicle (26.94 cm), maximum number of grains panicle⁻¹ (177.57), maximum number of filled grains panicle⁻¹ (150.27) and minimum number of unfilled grains panicle⁻¹ (27.30), maximum weight of 1000-grains (29.75 g), maximum yield of grain, straw and biological (6.30, 5.91 and 12.21 t/ha, respectively) and

higher HI (51.60%) was obtained from the combination of S₂₀B₂ (20 kg S/ha with 2 kg B/ha). From the above results, It was observed that 20 kg S/ha and 2 kg B/ha treatment and treatment combination singly or their interactions showed the superior performance concerning to growth, yield and yield contributing traits of BRR1 dhan-28. Finally, it could be concluded and recommended that the use of 20 kg S/ha and 2 kg B/ha as singly or their interaction with recommended dose of NPK and Zn would be highly effective for higher production of BRR1 dhan-28 under the regional condition of AEZ-11. Further study may be needed for ensuring the performance of the present study in different AEZs of Bangladesh.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES


1. Malik AR, Zahida HP, Muhammad SM. Genetic diversity analysis of traditional and improved cultivars of Pakistani rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) using RAPD markers. *Electronic J. of Biotechnology*. 2008;11(3):1-10.
2. MOA (Ministry of Agriculture). Bangladesh Food and Agriculture. World Food Summit, 13-17 Nov., Rome, Italy. 1996;7.
3. Pradhan SB. Status of fertilizer use in developing countries of Asia and the Pacific Region. Proc. of the Regional FAEINAP Seminar, Chtang Mai, Thailand. 1992;37-47.
4. Islam MR, Alam MN, Hashem MA. Enhancement of blue green algal growth and yield of BR-11 rice under different levels of soil fertility. *Progress Agric*. 1995;6(2):83-89.
5. Islam MR and Hossain A. Influence of additive nutrients on the yield of BR-11 rice. *Thai. J. of Agril. Sci*. 1998;26:195-199.
6. Haque MS, Jahiruddin M. Effect of single and multiple applications of sulphur and zinc in a continuous rice cropping pattern. *Indian J. of Agric. Res*. 1999;28(1):9-14.
7. Havlin JL, Beaton JD, Tisdale SL and Nelson WL. Soil fertility and fertilizers, an introduction to nutrient management. Singapore: Pearson Education. 2004;25-28.
8. Tiwari KN, Gupta BR. Sulphur for sustainable high yield agriculture in Uttar

- Pradesh. Indian J. of Fertilizers. 2006;1:37-52.
9. Brady NC, Weil RR. The nature and properties of soils. 13th edn. Pearson Education (Singapore) Ptc. Ltd., India. 2002;102-105.
 10. Hawkesford MJ. Plant responses to sulphur deficiency and the genetic manipulation of sulphate transporters to improve S-utilization efficiency. J. of Experimental Botany. 2000;51:131-138.
 11. Schonhof I, Blankenburg D, Muller S, Krumbein A. Sulfur and nitrogen supply influence growth, product appearance, and glucosinolate concentration of broccoli. J. of Plant Nutrition and Soil Sci. 2007; 170:65-72.
 12. Islam MR, Shah MS, Jahiruddin M. Effects of different rates and sources of sulphur on the growth and yield of BRRI dhan-30. Bangladesh Res. Pub. J. 2009;2(1):397-405.
 13. Jamal A, Fazli IS, Ahmad S, Abdin MZ, Yun SJ. Effect of sulphur and nitrogen application on growth characteristics, seed and oil yield of soybean cultivars. Korean J. of Crop Sci. 2005;50(5):340-345.
 14. Tiwari RJ, Dwivedi K, Verma SK. Effect of gypsum application on nutrient content in cotton leaves grown on a sodicvertisol. Crop Res. 1994;7:193-196.
 15. Badruddin M. The effect of sulphur deficiency on ion-accumulation with special reference to 15N and 35S transport and metabolism in chickpea (*Cicerarietinum* L.). Ph.D. Thesis, Dhaka University, Dhaka, Bangladesh; 1999.
 16. Tanaka M, Fujiwara T. Physiological roles and transport mechanisms of boron: perspectives from plants. European J. of Physiol. 2007;8(7):370.
 17. Rehman A, Farooq M, Cheema ZA, Wahid A. Seed priming with boron improves growth and yield of fine grain aromatic rice. Plant Growth Regul; 2012. DOI: 10.1007/s10725-012-9706-2
 18. Rerkasem B, Niranthayagul S, Jamjod S. Increasing boron deficiency in international bread wheat, durum wheat, triticale and barley germplasm will boost production on soil low in boron. Field Crops Res. 2004;86:175-184.
 19. Dobbermann A, Fairhurst T. Rice-nutrient disorder & nutrient management. 1st edn. Potash & Phosphate Institute of Canada and International Rice Research Institute. 2000;40-117.
 20. Islam MR, Shah MS and Jahiruddin M. Effects of different rates and sources of sulphur on the growth and yield of BRRI dhan-30. Bangladesh Res. Pub. J. 2009; 2(1):397-405.
 21. Khan R, Gurmani ALI, Khan M, Din JUD and Gurmani A. Residual, direct and cumulative effect of Boron application on wheat and rice yield under rice-wheat system. Sarhad J. Agric. 2011;7:219-23
 22. Islam MR, Karim MR, Rasat TM, Jahiruddin M. Growth and Yield of BR 11 rice under different levels of S, Zn and B fertility at two locations in Bangladesh. Thai J. Agric. Sci. 1996;29:37-42
 23. Haque SA, Chowdhury L. Effects of rice straw and Sulphur on the growth and yield of rice. J. Bangladesh Agril Univ. 2004; 2(1):5-18.
 24. Singh V, Ram N. Relationship of available micronutrients with some chemical properties and their uptake by rice-wheat-cowpea system in a mollisol. J. of Soils and Crops, 2007;17:191-197.
 25. Saliva A, Castilla LA. Response of rice to application of zinc, copper and boron on soils of high calcium content. Arroz. 2004;43(393):40-43.
 26. Rahman MN, Islam MB, Sayem SM, Rahman MA, Masud MM. Effect of different rates of Sulphur on the yield and yield attributes of rice in Old Brahmaputra Floodplain soil. J. of Soil. Nature. 2007; 1(1):22-26.
 27. Jahiruddin M, Islam MN, Hashem MA, Islam MR. Influence of sulphur, zinc and boron on yield and nutrient uptake of BR-2 rice. Progress of Agric. 1994;5(1):61-67.
 28. Rahman MS, Ahmed MU, Rahman MM, Islam MR, Zafar A. Effect of different levels of Sulphur on growth and yield of BRRI dhan-41. Bangladesh Res. Pub. J. 2009; 3(1):846-852.
 29. Sheudzhen AK. Foliar application of free elements to rice. Khimizatsiya-Selskgo-Khozyaistva, Russian. 1991;3:46-50.
 30. Sarfaraz M, Mehdi SM, Sadiq M, Hassan G. Effect of Sulphur on yield and chemical composition of rice. Sarhad J. of Agric. 2002;18(4):411-414.
 31. Xiong CC. Effect of different concentrations of Boron and Nitrogen on the yield and attributes of transplanted summer rice as influenced by organic manures and zinc levels. J. of Maharashtra Agric. Univ. 1987;2(2):170-172.
 32. Jawahar S, Vaiyapuri V. Effect of Sulphur and silicon fertilization on yield, nutrient uptake and economics of rice. Int. J. of Curr. Res. 2010;9:36-38.

33. Jena D, Kabi S. Effect of gromor Sulphur, Bentonite sulphur Pastilles on Yield and Nutrient uptake by hybrid rice-potato-green gram Cropping System in an Inceptisol. *Int. Res. J. of Agril. Sci. & Soil Sci.* 2012; 2(5):179-187.
34. Anonymous. Technical report on hybrid rice Aalok 6201. Dept. Soil Sci., BAU, Mymensingh. 1998;1-7.
35. Singh BP, Singh A, Singh BN. Response of rice (*oryza saliva*) to zinc and boron application in acid Alfisols under mid altitude condition of Meghalaya. *Indian J. of Agril. Sci.* 1990;69(1):70-71.
36. Sharif M, Ali AG, Hussain RG. Effect of Boron application on rice yield under wheat rice system. *Int. J. Agri. Biol.* 2006; 8(6):805-808.
37. Saha PK, Miah MAM. Efficiency of IPNS-based chemical fertilizer application in wetland rice. *Bangladesh J. Agric. Res.* 2009;34(1):5-13.
38. Rahmatullah K, Gurmani AH, Ali RG, Zia MS. Effect of Boron application on rice yield under wheat rice system. *Int. J. Agri. Biol.* 2006;8(6):805-808.
39. Khan HR, Blume HP, Kabir SM, Bhuiyan MMA, Ahmed F, Syeed SMA. Quantification of the severity, reserve and extent of acidity in the twenty profiles of acid sulfate soils and their threats to environment. *Soil & Environ.* 2007;26:45-55.
40. Rahman MS, Ahmed MU, Rahman MM, Islam MR, Zafar A. Effect of different levels of Sulphur on growth and yield of BRRI dhan-41. *Bangladesh Res. Pub. J.* 2009; 3(1):846-852.

APPENDICES

Appendix I. Map showing the Agro-Ecological Zones of Bangladesh



Appendix II. Record of Monthly average, maximum and minimum air temperature, average humidity and rainfall during the study period (November 2015 to April 2016)

| Month | Year | ** Air temperature (°C) | | | ** Relative Humidity (%) | * Rainfall (mm) |
|----------|------|-------------------------|---------|---------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| | | Maximum | Minimum | Average | | |
| November | 2015 | 29.1 | 16.3 | 21.8 | 77 | Nil |
| December | 2015 | 25.0 | 13.1 | 18.1 | 81 | Nil |
| January | 2016 | 22.5 | 11.5 | 16.2 | 83 | 2.0 |
| February | 2016 | 25.5 | 13.1 | 18.6 | 77 | 27.0 |
| March | 2016 | 32.1 | 17.7 | 24.4 | 67 | 12.3 |
| April | 2016 | 37.6 | 23.0 | 29.8 | 64 | 50.8 |

Source: Regional Meteorological Station, Shaympur, Rajshahi, Bangladesh
Here, **=Monthly Average; * =Monthly total

Appendix III. Analysis of variance for plant height (cm) of Boro rice (Mean Square)

| Source of variation | Degrees of Freedom | Mean square | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|----------|------------|
| | | Plant Height (cm) | | | |
| | | 30 DAT | 50 DAT | 70 DAT | At harvest |
| Replication | 2 | 2.467 | 2.140 | 2.179 | 5.970 |
| Factor A | 2 | 18.167** | 502.442** | 54.603** | 112.990** |
| Factor B | 2 | 8.787** | 10.457** | 14.914** | 25.988** |
| AB | 4 | 4.625** | 9.642** | 4.596** | 0.926NS |
| Error | 16 | 0.191 | 0.679 | 0.523 | 1.662 |

Here, * indicates significant at 5% level of probability, ** indicates significant at 1% level of probability, NS = Non-significant, variation, DAT = Days after transplanting

Appendix IV. Analysis of variance for number of tiller of Boro rice (Mean Square)

| Source of variation | Degrees of Freedom | Mean square | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------|----------|------------|
| | | Number of Tiller | | | |
| | | 30 DAT | 50 DAT | 70 DAT | At harvest |
| Replication | 2 | 0.703 | 0.863 | 0.176 | 0.017 |
| Factor A | 2 | 38.403** | 33.693** | 39.455** | 52.462** |
| Factor B | 2 | 8.675** | 16.124** | 1.234** | 4.633** |
| AB | 4 | 1.022* | 2.531NS | 6.820** | 6.381** |
| Error | 16 | 0.305 | 1.532 | 0.320 | 0.396 |

Here, * indicates significant at 5% level of probability, ** indicates significant at 1% level of probability, NS = Non-significant, DAT = Days after transplanting

Appendix V. Analysis of variance for panicle length (cm), grains panicle⁻¹, filled grains panicle⁻¹, unfilled grains panicle⁻¹, 1000-grain weight (g) of Boro rice at harvest (Mean Square)

| Source of variation | Degrees of Freedom | Mean square | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | Panicle length (cm) | Grains panicle ⁻¹ | Filled grains panicle ⁻¹ | Unfilled grains panicle ⁻¹ | 1000-grain weight (g) |
| Replication | 2 | 0.989 | 10217.745 | 18.074 | 0.080 | 3.060 |
| Factor A | 2 | 61.641** | 17515.091** | 3853.000** | 451.985** | 63.111** |
| Factor B | 2 | 7.991** | 11993.721** | 890.867** | 208.291** | 3.451** |
| AB | 4 | 5.588** | 11807.757** | 284.855* | 184.344** | 7.882** |
| Error | 16 | 0.203 | 9817.443 | 82.606 | 5.698 | 1.301 |

Here, * indicates significant at 5% level of probability, ** indicates significant at 1% level of probability

Appendix VI. Analysis of variance for grain yield, straw yield, biological yield and harvest index of Boro rice at harvest (Mean Square)

| Source of variation | Mean square | | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|---------------|
| | Grain yield | Straw yield | Biological yield | Harvest index |

| | | (t/ha) | (t/ha) | (t/ha) | (%) |
|-------------|----|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------|
| Replication | 2 | 0.026 | 0.039 | 0.002 | 0.954 |
| Factor A | 2 | 28.430** | 2.412** | 47.404** | 200.059** |
| Factor B | 2 | 3.207** | 1.771** | 9.743** | 8.595** |
| AB | 4 | 3.092** | 2.591** | 5.958** | 91.230** |
| Error | 16 | 0.263 | 0.243 | 0.628 | 3.928 |

*Here, ** indicates significant at 1% level of probability*

© 2022 Promi et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.