

Genetic variability and character association study for yield and attributing traits in lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medikus.) under Terai agro-climatic conditions of West Bengal

ABSTRACT

Aims: To evaluate the genotypes for different yields and yield attributing morphological traits by estimation of GCV, PCV, heritability (h^2) in a broad sense and genetic advance as percent of the mean. To study the character association between yield and yield attributes and further partitioning into their direct and indirect effect on yield for consideration of appropriate traits to facilitate the selection of desirable genotypes.

Place and duration of study: Lentil genotypes were evaluated in the instructional farm of Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Pundibari, Cooch Behar, West Bengal during the rabi season of 2019-2020 and 2020-2021.

Methodology: Forty lentil genotypes including two checks viz., WBL77 and IPL220 were evaluated in alpha lattice design with three replications following the standard cultural practices. The observations were recorded as the average of the same in five randomly sampled plants in each plot for all the eleven attributes studied. Statistical analysis was carried out using the R v. 4.1.1 software.

Results: ANOVA revealed significant variation for all the characters among the genotypes and also for genotype vs. year interaction. Characters such as plant height ($96.20\%^1$, $26.32\%^2$), secondary branches plant^{-1} ($91.79\%^1$, $28.59\%^2$), number of pods plant^{-1} ($97.98\%^1$, $89.67\%^2$), pod weight $\text{plant}^{-1}(\text{g})$ ($96.82\%^1$, $90.15\%^2$), 100 seed weight (g) ($98.13\%^1$, $40.92\%^2$), yield $\text{plant}^{-1}(\text{g})$ ($96.68\%^1$, $91.20\%^2$) and harvest index (%) ($94.28\%^1$, $33.06\%^2$) showed high heritability⁽¹⁾ coupled with high genetic advance as percentage of mean⁽²⁾. Genotypic correlation study revealed that number of pods plant^{-1} (0.846), primary

branches plant⁻¹ (0.905), secondary branches plant⁻¹ (0.416), pod weight plant⁻¹(0.992) and harvest index (0.432) were significantly and positively correlated with yield plant⁻¹. Path coefficient studies revealed that characters like pod weight plant⁻¹(0.699), primary branches plant⁻¹ (0.241), harvest index (0.083), number of pods plant⁻¹ (0.070), 100 seed weight (0.063) and days to 90% maturity (0.047) had positive direct effect on yield.

Conclusion: The present study revealed significant variability among the genotypes as far as the characters taken under study were concerned. Further, the characters showing positive and significant correlation could be considered favorably for a further selection of desirable genotypes.

Keywords: correlation, genetic variability, heritability, lentil

INTRODUCTION

Lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medikus) 2n=2x=14 is a low input *rabi* pulse crop grown mainly for its nutritional value. It is the fourth most important pulse crop in the world with India being a major lentil-growing nation after Canada in terms of production. The crop is mainly grown in the states of Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Bihar and West Bengal which accounts for 95% of the acreage for the crop in India. The crop is very important in having more than 25% protein and about 59% carbohydrate (Fredrick *et al.*, 2006). Apart from these, it is also rich in iron, calcium and niacin among the others. Nevertheless, the crop also plays an important role in the cropping system due to its ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen (101kg/hectare/annum) thereby enriching the soil (Anonymous, 1984). Worldwide the crop covers a total area of 6.10 million hectares with the production of about 6.33 million tones and an average yield of about 1068 kg/ha (FAOSTAT, 2020). In India the total area covered under the crop has been estimated to be about 1.32 million hectares with an overall production of about 1.18 million tones and an average yield of about 894 kg/ha (Department of Agriculture, Cooperation & Farmers' Welfare Annual report 2019-2020). It has been reported that there is a scope of the

increasing area under lentil during the *rabi* season, as its' cost per hectare is less with higher net returns than the competing cereal crops under drought and minimal resource conditions. And in this regard the availability of genetic resources of worth coupled with proper management practices is of primary importance. Genetic variability is the prerequisite for any plant breeding program as it offers the scope for choosing superior lines for further crop improvement. Characters with high heritability and genetic variability will add to the potential of selection over multiple environments for wider adaptability. Genetic parameters such as genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) and phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) could be used to detect the degree of influence of genetic architecture on the variability in the studied germplasm. The range GCV and PCV classified as suggested by Sivasubramanian and Madhavamenon (1973) help to ascertain the extent of influence of genetic architecture on the expressed phenotype. Thus assessing the extent of variability for yield and its attributing traits enables to focus on the characters to be taken into consideration for further selection. The present investigation was aimed in that direction in a collection of forty lentil genotypes with the objective to identify potential genotypes with dominant characters to be reckoned for selection for the future breeding program.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The lentil genotypes including two checks viz., WBL77 and IPL 220 were grown in *rabi* season over two successive years viz., 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 in the Instructional farm of Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Pundibari, Cooch Behar located at $26^{\circ}24'09.1''$ N latitude and $89^{\circ}23'08.3''$ E longitude and at 43 meter above the mean sea level. The genotypic details have been presented in Table 1.

Table 1: List of lentil genotypes used in the experiment

Sl. no.	Name of genotypes	Sl. no.	Name of genotypes	Sl. no.	Name of genotypes	Sl. no.	Name of genotypes
1	IC241067	11	IC78540	21	IC199779	31	IC614827
2	IC241090	12	EC223188	22	IC78486	32	IC201778
3	IC241119	13	IC78518	23	EC225484	33	EC223219
4	IC241072	14	IC78547	24	IC241071	34	EC267544
5	IC565035	15	WBL77	25	IC78513	35	EC267563
6	IC241082	16	IC78454	26	IC610426	36	EC267598
7	IC78535	17	IC78462	27	IC241097	37	EC267604
8	IC78531	18	EC33920	28	IC241061	38	EC267636
9	EC16391	19	EC223244	29	IC620839	39	IC78408
10	IC78545	20	EC225486	30	IC544556	40	IPL220

Alpha Lattice design after Alvarado *et al.* (2020) with three replications was used in the present experiment with a plot size of 2.0 m × 1.5 m and a plant-to-plant spacing of 10cm and row to row spacing of 25 cm. Standard recommended fertilizer doses were applied to the crop and intercultural operations were carried out as per schedule. The observations on various morphological characters were recorded as the average of **the** same in five plants in each plot sampled randomly ; the eleven yield attributes for which observation were recorded were -days to 50% flowering, days to 90% maturity, plant height (cm), primary branches plant⁻¹, secondary branches plant⁻¹, pods plant⁻¹, seeds pod⁻¹, pod weight plant⁻¹(g), 100 seed weight (g), yield plant⁻¹(g), and harvest index (%). Differences among the genotypes were ascertained by analysis of variance (ANOVA) after Panse and Sukhatme (1985) and genotypic variances **by** Burton (1952), correlation Johnson *et al.* (1955), heritability in **a** broad sense, and path-coefficient analysis **by** Dewey and Lu (1959) were performed to compute the result. The aforesaid analysis was done using the R v. 4.1.1 software on the basis of pooled data.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Genetic variability of a crop plant is the principal element of plant breeding as **the** presence of sufficient variability in economic traits is most crucial to improve productivity and

enhance the production of an individual crop. Therefore, the present investigation was carried out to study the extent of variability in genetically diverse genotypes of lentils.

The ANOVA revealed highly significant differences among the genotypes for all the studied characters and also when the genotypes were found to be interacting with different environments as presented in Table 2. A similar result was also reported by Chaudhary *et al.* (2018) in their study on the same crop. The same when assessed over the years revealed similar significant differences except for the characters primary branches plant⁻¹, secondary branches plant⁻¹, number of seeds pod⁻¹, pod weight plant⁻¹, and yield plant⁻¹.

The mean, range, GCV, PCV, heritability, genetic advance as percentage of mean have been presented in Table 3. The PCV values were higher than GCV values for all the eleven yield attributing characters ranging from 3.12 to 47.01 for GCV and 4.19 to 50.44 for PCV respectively and it was in conformity with the classification as proposed by Sivasubramanian and Madhavamenon (1973). The highest genotypic coefficient of variation was noticed for yield plant⁻¹ (47.01%) followed by pod weight plant⁻¹(46.98), the number of pod plant⁻¹ (45.45%) and 100 seed weight (20.72%). The remaining characters showed a low to moderate genotypic coefficient of variation. The highest phenotypic coefficient of variation was noted for pod weight plant⁻¹ (50.44%) while the lowest PCV was recorded for the number of seed pod⁻¹ (9.12%) and days to 90% maturity (4.19%). Higher values of PCV than GCV for seed yield have also been reported by Sadiq *et al.* (2000) and Idress *et al.* (2006) in mung beans and Tyagi and Khan (2011) in lentil.

The variable effect of environment on the expression of the studied characters was validated with the phenotypic coefficient of variation being higher than the corresponding genotypic coefficient of variation for the characters which was in consonance with the observation

reported by Vanave *et al.* (2019), Debbarma *et al.* (2018), Chowdhury *et al.* (2019), Bicer and Sakar (2008), Haddad *et al.* (1982), Solanki (2006) and Pandey *et al.* (2015).

High broad sense heritability was observed for all the characters under present investigation ranging between 66.50% to 98.13%; similar observation in lentil have been reported by Crippa *et al.* (2009), Chowdhury *et al.* (2019), Singh and Srivastava (2013), Bicer and Sakar (2008), Tyagi and Khan (2011). The range of genetic advance as percent of mean was classified as suggested by Johnson *et al.* (1955). As the heritability estimation alone is not sufficient, the impact of both additive and non-additive gene action also need to be estimated. Hence, the coupling effect of genetic advance as percent of mean and heritability estimate plays an important role in selection. High genetic advance as percent of mean was recorded for characters like plant height (26.32) while the character days to 90% maturity (4.78) and seeds pod⁻¹ (4.69) recorded the lowest of the same. Hence the characters with high heritability coupled with high genetic advance as percent of mean could be favourably considered for selection, as these parameters are supposed to be governed by additive gene action. Ghimire and Shah (2019) similarly reported high heritability coupled with high genetic advance as percent mean (GAM) for pod plant⁻¹ and 100 seed weight in lentil. Shaktivel *et al.* (2019) recorded coupling of high heritability with high genetic advance as percent mean for pod plant⁻¹, seed yield and 100 seed weight and high heritability with moderate high genetic advance as percent mean for days to 50% flowering while working with lentil. Days to 90% maturity in lentil, however, exhibited high heritability coupled with low high genetic advance as percent mean as reported by Dugasa *et al.* (2014) and Paliya *et al.* (2015). Predominance of non-additive gene action for the remaining characters may offer lesser scope for selection for the said characters and further scope for generating enough of variability remains there for them.

The genotypic correlation coefficient between yield and its' attributing characters indicated different degrees of association between the characters at the genotypic level as presented in Table 4. The yield plant^{-1} showed a significant and positive correlation with the characters viz., primary branches plant^{-1} (0.905), secondary branches plant^{-1} (0.416), pods plant^{-1} (0.846) pod weight plant^{-1} (0.992) and harvest index (0.432). The positive association between seed yield and primary branches plant^{-1} and secondary branches plant^{-1} was also reported by Singh and Shrivastava (2013), Solanki *et al.* (2006), Kumar *et al.* (2002) and Chowdhury *et al.* (2019). Plant height revealed a significant positive correlation with secondary branches plant^{-1} (0.406) and the number of seeds pod^{-1} while pods plant^{-1} was significantly and positively associated with primary branches plant^{-1} and secondary branches plant^{-1} .

Further partitioning of the total correlation as performed through path analysis and presented in Table 5 to study the direct and indirect effect of yield attributing characters on the yield revealed a residual effect of 0.0233 thereby establishing the effect of genotypes on the studied association. Characters like pod weight plant^{-1} showed the highest direct effect on yield (0.699) indicating the scope for direct selection for the character and also through primary branches plant^{-1} (0.666). The number of pods plant^{-1} had shown a high indirect effect with yield through pods weight plant^{-1} . Primary branches plant^{-1} revealed a moderate positive direct effect with yield plant^{-1} (0.241). Characters such as harvest index (0.083), number of pods plant^{-1} (0.070), 100 seed weight (0.063), and days to 90% maturity (0.047) exhibited negligible positive direct effect with yield plant^{-1} . Abo-Hegazy *et al.* (2012), Mekonnen *et al.* (2014) and Sharma *et al.* (2014) reported 100 seed weight and pod plant^{-1} having a positive direct effect on yield plant^{-1} .

on the contrary number of seeds pod^{-1} (-0.066), secondary branches plant^{-1} (-0.056), plant height (-0.042) and days to 50% flowering (-0.020) showed negative direct effect at

genotypic level with yield plant⁻¹ similar to that observed by Pandey *et al.* (2017) . The above interpretation on the effects of attributing characters on yield has been presented after Lenka and Mishra (1973). Chowdhury *et al.* (2019) similarly reported that days to maturity, number of primary branches plant⁻¹, pod plant⁻¹ and 100 seed weight had positive direct effect on seed yield plant⁻¹. The highest positive direct effect of pods weight plant⁻¹ and significant positive association with yield plant⁻¹ suggested that selection for this trait could be instrumental for improvement of economic yield in lentil.

CONCLUSION

The present study revealed significant variability among the genotypes for the characters taken under study and also when the genotypes were in interaction with the environment (year). The significant and positive correlation between yield plant⁻¹ and the characters viz., primary branches plant⁻¹ (0.905), secondary branches plant⁻¹ (0.416), pods plant⁻¹ (0.846) pods weight plant⁻¹ (0.992), and harvest index (0.432) along with the character pods weight plant⁻¹ showing that the highest direct effect on yield could favorably be considered for a further selection of desirable genotypes. The presence of significant variability among the genotypes for the studied characters and the strong association between the characters thereby offers the scope for the selection of desirable genotypes based on their performance and taking them further in a future crop improvement program.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author acknowledges the support from NBPGR, New Delhi and ICARDA, Bhopal Centre for providing the seed material. Financial assistance and technical assistance by the Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, UBKV and Directorate of Research, UBKV is duly acknowledged.

COMPETEING INTEREST

The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

Table 2: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for eleven yield attributing characters in lentil genotypes.

Sources of variation	d.f	Mean Sum of Square (MSS)										
		Days to 50% flowering	Plant height (cm)	Days to 90% maturity	Primary branches plant ⁻¹	Secondary branches plant ⁻¹	No. of pods plant ⁻¹	No. of seeds pod ⁻¹	Pods weight plant ⁻¹ (g)	100 seed weight (g)	Yield plant ⁻¹ (g)	Harvest index (%)
Year	1	697.00**	325.00**	152.00**	0.10	0.79	345.30**	0.02	0.16	0.31**	0.19	131.94**
Rep (Year)	4	15.60	4.00	13.27*	0.20**	4.24**	24.80	0.05**	0.12	0.02	0.03	55.33**
Block (year×rep)	18	5.00	3.80	6.22	0.03	0.40	31.10	0.02	0.13*	0.005	0.06	7.66
Genotype	39	101.70**	79.10**	43.50**	0.18**	3.32**	1394.80**	0.04**	2.35**	0.51**	1.58**	133.69**
Year×Genotype	39	16.00**	6.60**	23.62**	0.11**	1.78**	66.20**	0.04**	0.24**	0.03**	0.11**	19.55**
Error	138	7.10	3.00	4.39	0.06	0.27	28.10	0.01	0.07	0.01	0.05	7.64

‘*’ and ‘**’ indicates significance at 5% and 1% probability level.

Table 3: Mean, range, GCV, PCV, heritability (bs), and genetic advance for eleven yield attributing characters in lentil.

Sl. no.	Parameters/ Characters	Mean	Range	GCV (%)	PCV (%)	Heritability broad sense (%)	Genetic advance	Genetic advance as percent of mean
1	Days to 50 % flowering	52.64	45.00-79.00	10.37	12.33	93.01	9.45	17.95
2	Plant height (cm)	35.14	23.36-47.67	14.11	15.58	96.20	9.25	26.32
3	Days to 90% maturity	108.29	95.00-120.00	3.12	4.19	89.90	5.18	4.78
4	Primary branches plant⁻¹	1.98	1.20-3.00	9.65	16.57	67.08	0.23	11.59
5	Secondary branches plant⁻¹	5.24	3.00-9.00	18.06	23.49	91.79	1.50	28.59
6	No. of pods plant⁻¹	46.80	20.00-91.40	45.45	47.29	97.98	42.10	89.97
7	No. of seeds Pod⁻¹	1.77	1.40-2.00	4.56	9.12	66.50	0.08	4.69
8	Pods weight plant⁻¹	1.84	0.51-4.10	46.98	50.44	96.82	1.66	90.15
9	100 seed weight(g)	1.96	1.50-2.86	20.72	21.61	98.13	0.80	40.92
10	Yield plant⁻¹ (g)	1.51	1.51-2.86	47.01	49.92	96.68	1.38	91.20
11	Harvest Index (%)	35.38	0.41-2.76	18.07	20.36	94.28	11.69	33.06

Table 4: Genotypic correlation between yield and its attributing characters in lentil.

Character	Plant height (cm)	Days to 90% maturity	100 seed weight (g)	No. of pods plant ⁻¹	Primary branches plant ⁻¹	Secondary branches plant ⁻¹	No. of seeds pod ⁻¹	Pods weight plant ⁻¹ (g)	Harvest index %	Yield plant ⁻¹ (g)
Days to 50% flowering	-0.004	0.319 *	-0.302	-0.002	0.194	-0.022	0.184	0.220	-0.029	0.093
Plant height (cm)		0.177	0.08	0.207	0.250	0.406 **	-0.394 *	0.196	0.260	0.209
Days of 90% maturity			0.083	0.320 *	0.073	0.271	-0.037	0.209	0.019	0.214
100 seed weight(g)				-0.099	-0.327 *	0.095	-0.160	-0.137	0.021	-0.104
No. of pods plant ⁻¹					0.793 **	0.384 *	0.030	0.850 **	0.171	0.846 **
Primary branches plant ⁻¹						0.532 **	0.292	0.952 **	0.285	0.905 **
Secondary branches plant ⁻¹							-0.087	0.409 **	0.270	0.416 **
No. of seeds pod ⁻¹								-0.019	0.031	0.002
Pods weight plant ⁻¹ (g)									0.419 **	0.992 **
Harvest Index (%)										0.432 **

‘*’ and ‘**’ indicates significance at 5% and 1% probability level.

Table 5: Genotypic path coefficient showing the direct (diagonal) and indirect (off-diagonal) effects of eleven yield attributing characters in lentil.

Characters	Days to 50% flowering	Plant height (cm)	Days to 90% maturity	100 seed weight (g)	No. of pods plant ⁻¹	Primary branches plant ⁻¹	Secondary branches plant ⁻¹	No. of seeds pod ⁻¹	Pods weight plant ⁻¹ (g)	Harvest index (%)	Correlation with yield plant ⁻¹ (g)
Days to 50% flowering	-0.020	0.0002	0.015	-0.019	-0.0002	0.047	0.001	-0.012	0.084	-0.002	0.093
Plant height (cm)	0.00009	-0.042	0.008	0.005	0.014	0.060	-0.023	0.026	0.137	0.022	0.209
Days to 90% maturity	-0.006	-0.007	0.047	0.005	0.022	0.018	-0.015	0.002	0.146	0.002	0.214
100 seed weight (g)	0.006	-0.004	0.004	0.063	-0.007	-0.079	-0.005	0.011	-0.096	0.002	-0.104
No. of pods plant ⁻¹	0.00004	-0.009	0.015	-0.006	0.070	0.191	-0.021	-0.002	0.594	0.014	0.846 **
Primary branches plant ⁻¹	-0.004	-0.011	0.003	-0.021	0.055	0.241	-0.030	-0.019	0.666	0.024	0.905 **
Secondary branches plant ⁻¹	0.0004	-0.017	0.013	0.006	0.027	0.128	-0.056	0.006	0.286	0.023	0.416 **
No. of seeds pod ⁻¹	-0.004	0.017	-0.002	-0.010	0.002	0.071	0.005	-0.066	-0.013	0.003	0.002
Pods weight plant ⁻¹ (g)	-0.002	-0.008	0.010	-0.009	0.059	0.229	-0.023	0.001	0.699	0.035	0.992 **
Harvest index (%)	0.0006	-0.011	0.0008	0.001	0.012	0.069	-0.015	-0.002	0.293	0.083	0.432 **

Residual value =0.0233

REFERENCES

Abo-Hegazy, S.R.E., Selim, T., El- Emam, E.A.A. Correlation and path coefficient analyses of yield and some yield components in lentil. Egypt. J. Plant Breed. 2012; 16(3): 147-159.

Alvarado, G., Rodríguez, F.M., Pacheco, A., Burgueño, J., Crossa, J., Vargas, M., Rodríguez, P.P., Marco, A., Cruz, L. META-R: A software to analyze data from multi-environment plant breeding trials. The Crop Journals. 2020:745-756.

Anonymous. Agriculture at a Glance. Available from <http://www.agricoop.nic.in/>Last accessed on 1984.

Biçer, B., Sakar, D. Heritability and path analysis of some economical characteristics in lentil. J. Central European Agric. 2008; 9:175–180.

Burton, G.W. Quantitative inheritance in grasses. Proc. 6th Int. Grassland Cong. 1952; 1:227-283.

Chaudhary, H.C., Singh, K.K., Adarsh, A., Kumari, A. Genetic competition of lentil genotypes in different environmental condition. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2018;6(5):1355-1358.

Chowdhury, M.M., Haque, M.A., Malek, M.A., Rasel, M., Ahamed, K.U. Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and yield components of selected lentil (*Lens culinaris* M.) genotypes. Fundamental Appl. Agric. 2019; 4(2):769–776.

Crippa, I., Bermejo, C., Espósito, M.A., Martin, E.A., Cravero, V., Liberatti, D., López, Anido L.S., Cointry, E.L. Genetic Variability, Correlation and Path Analyses for Agronomic Traits in Lentil Genotypes. *International Journal of Plant Breeding*. 2009;3(2):76-80.

Debbarma, M., Laloo, B., Mandal, J., Chakrobarti, P. Genetic variability in yield attributes of lentil genotypes under new alluvial zone. *CJAST*;2018;30(5):1-6.

Department of Agriculture, Cooperation & Farmers' Welfare (DAC&FW). Annual report; 2019-2020. Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Government of India.

Dewey, D.I., Lu, K.H. A Correlation and Path- Coefficient Analysis of Components of Crested Wheatgrass Seed Production. *Agron. J.* 1959;51:515- 518.

Dugassa, A., Legesse, H., Geleta, N. Genetic Variability Yield and Yield Associations of Lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medic.) genotypes grown at Gitilio Najo, Western Ethiopia. *Sci. Technol. Arts Res. J.* 2014;3(4):10-18.

FAO. FAOSTAT. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Rome; 2020.

Frederick, M., Cho, S., Sarker, A., McPhee, K., Coyne, C., Rajesh, P., Ford, P. Application of biotechnology in breeding lentil for resistance to biotic and abiotic stress. *Euphytica*. 2006;147:149-165.

Ghimre, N.H., Shah, R.P. Variability, genetic advance, heritability study of advanced breeding lines of Lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medic.) at mid-western Terai of Nepal. *Int. J. Adv. Res. Biol. Sci.* 2019;6(11): 53-60.

Haddad, N.I., Bogyo, T.P., Muehlbauer, F.J. Genetic variance of six agronomic characters in three lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.) crosses. *Euphytica*. 1982;31:113–120.

Idress, A., Sadiq, M., Hanif, M., Abbas, G., Haider, S. Genetic parameters and path coefficient analysis in mutated generation of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek). *Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2006;44:181–191.

Johnson, H.W., Robinson, H.F., Comstock, R.E. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. *Agron. J.* 1955;47: 314-318.

Kumar, R., Sharma, S.K., Malik, B.P.S., Dahiya, A., Sharma, A. Correlation studies in lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik). *Ann. Bio. Hisar*. 2002;18(2):121-123.

Lenka, D., Mishra, B. Path coefficient analysis of yield in rice varieties. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 1973;43:376-379.

Mekonnen, F., Mekbib, F., Kumar, S., Ahmed, S., Sharma, T.R. Agromorphological traits variability of the Ethiopian lentil and exotic genotypes. *Advances in Agriculture*. 2014;15:1-15.

Paliya, S., Saxena, A., Tikle, A.N., Singh, M., Tilwari, A. Genetic divergence and character association of seed yield and component traits of Lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik). *Adv. Biores.* 2015; 6(2):53-59.

Pandey, S., Bhatore, A., Babbar, A. Studies on genetic variability, interrelationships association and path analysis in indigenous germplasm of Lentil in Madhya Pradesh, India. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 2015;6(2):592-599.

Pandey, S., Kureshi, S.P., Bhatore, A. Studies on genetic variability and interrelationship among the different traits in exotic lines of lentil (*lens culinaris* Medik) Plant Archives. 2017;17(2):1164-1170.

Panase, V.G., Sukhatme, P.V. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Research. Indian Council of Agricultural Research Publication. 1985;87-89.

Sadiq, M.S., Sarwar, G., Abbas, G. Selection criteria for seed yield in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). Journal of Agricultural Research. 2000; 38:7–12.

Sakthivel, G., Jeberson, S., Singh, N.B., Sharma, P.H.R., Kumar, S., Jalaj, V.K., Sinha, B., Singh, N.O. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in lentil germplasm (*Lens culinaris* Medik). The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2019;8(6):417-420.

Sharma, R.M., Singh, G. Genetic variability for seed yield and its component characters in lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.) Trends in Biosciences. 2014;7(10):964 - 967.

Singh, U., Srivastava, R. Genetic variability, heritability, interrelationships association and path analysis in lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.). Trends in Bioscience. 2013; 6:277–280.

Sivasubramanian, V., Madhavamenon, P. Path analysis for yield and yield components of rice. Madras Agric. J. 1973;60:1217-1221.

Solanki, I. Comparison of correlations and path coefficients under different environments in lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.). Crop Improvement. 2006;33:70–73.

Tyagi, S.D., Khan, M.H. Correlation, path- coefficient and genetic diversity in lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik) under rainfed conditions. International Research Journal of Plant Science. 2011;2:191– 200.

Vanave, P.B., Jadhav, A.H., Mane, A.V., Mahadik, S.G., Palshetkar, M.G., Bhave, S.G. Genetic variability studies in lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medic.) genotypes for seed yield and attributes. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2019;10(2):685-691.