

# “Effect of Row spacing and Organic manures on Growth and Yield of Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.)”

## ABSTRACT

A field experiment was carried out at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj (U.P) in Rabi 2021-2022 to study the “Effect of Row spacing and Organic manures on Growth and Yield of Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.)”. It was consisting of three combinations of Row spacing & Organic manures. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with nine treatments each replicated thrice. The experiment results revealed that the growth parameters and yield parameters such as plant height (79.74 cm), dry weight (19.77 g/plant), number of Tillers/m<sup>2</sup> (449.40), number of grains/spike (25.33) and test weight (51.33 g) at harvest, significantly recorded in treatment 8 with the application of 22.5 cm+ Neem cake 0.8 t. Moreover, grain yield (5.22 t/ha), straw yield (7.95 t/ha) and harvest index (45.28%), were also recorded significantly higher in the treatment of 8 which is 22.5 cm + Neem cake 0.8 t/ha among all treatments.

**Keywords:** Barley, Row spacing, Organic manures, Growth and Yield

## INTRODUCTION

Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) is the fourth most important cereal of the world after wheat, rice and maize accounting 7% of the total worldwide cereal production [1]. Barley belongs to family Poaceae, tribe Triticeae and genus Hordeum, comprising nearly 350 species. Out of which Hordeum consists of about 32 species including the wild and cultivated one. Barley is a diploid with 2n=14 chromosome. Barley is an annual plant that has been selected from wild grasses and grown in environments ranging from the desert of the Middle East to the high elevation of Himalayas [2]. However, native place of cultivated barley is still not clear. [3] reported that the cultivated barley was brought from Eastern Tibet.

Barley is also considered as poor man's crop because of its low input demands and its more adaptability to adverse conditions such as droughts, salinity and alkalinity [4]. The water requirement of this crop is lesser and can be grown economically under adverse soil conditions such as salinity [5]. Under adverse environment conditions barley is more productive than other cereals [6]. It is a major source of food for large population of cool and semi-arid areas of the world, where wheat and other cereals are less adapted. However, due to tolerance to drought and salinity barley has potential to replace wheat crop dominance. Barley is also used for preparing malt syrup, beer, alcohol, vinegar and portion of it is also used as cattle feed. Its flour is also used to make chapattis, sometimes mixed with wheat or gram for preparing better quality chapatis. Grains are roasted and grinded to use it as Satu. Today, barley account for 15% of coarse grains in use. About 73% of world barley is used for animal feed, 22% for malting and 5% for food use. Barley is also cultivated for malting and brewing purposes to get good grain quality.

In case of wider row spacing, solar radiation that falls between crop rows remains unutilized; plants become crowded and suffer from mutual shading if the row distance is too narrow. Moreover, yield may be reduced in narrow spacing due to increased competition of plants for nutrient and moisture [7].

Organic manuring and nitrogen fertilization are considered among the most important cultural practices for increasing barley productivity and improved quality parameters. In crop production, nutrient availability from manure has been recognized for many centuries [8]. Modern agriculture, which largely depends on chemical fertilizers, pesticides, herbicides etc., though increased production, has adversely affected the soil productivity and environmental quality. During the era of green revolution, spectacular increase in crop yields resulted in primarily from the introduction of the fertilizers responsive high yielding varieties, use of high quantity of chemical fertilizers and pesticides. The heavy use of chemical fertilizers, pesticides and fungicides caused health hazards

and environmental pollution apart from imparting resistance to the causal agents against chemical pesticides and fungicides [9].

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present examination was carried out during *Rabi* 2021-2022 at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, SHUATS, Prayagraj, UP, which is located at 25.28°N latitude, 81.54°E longitude and 98 m altitude above the mean sea level. The experiment laid out in Randomized Block Design which consisting of nine treatments with 18 cm+ FYM 5 tons/ ha, 18 cm + Neem cake 0.8 t, 18 cm + Vermicompost 2 t, 20 cm + FYM 5 tons ha, 20 cm + Neem cake 0.8 t., 20 cm + Vermicompost 2 t, 22.5 cm+ FYM 5 tons/ha, 22.5 cm+ Neem cake 0.8 t, 22.5 cm + Vermicompost 2 t. The observations recorded on different growth parameters at harvest viz, plant height (cm), number of tillers per m<sup>2</sup>, Plant dry weight, number of tillers per m<sup>2</sup>, Effective tillers, test weight, grain yield and stover yield and harvest index were recorded and statistically analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) as applicable to Randomized Block Design [10].

**Table 1:** Organic matter, N, P, K & moisture composition of the FYM and VC used in the experiment.

Parameter	FIELD YARD MANURE	VERMI COMPOST	NEEM CAKE
Total N (%)	1.77	1.26	5.22
Available P (%)	0.62	0.41	1.08
Available K (%)	2.55	2.24	1.48
Moisture content (%)	20	14	10

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

**Effect on the growth of Barley.** As can be seen in Table.2, growth parameters are summarized statistically. At 100 DAS, significantly taller plant height (79.44 cm) was recorded with 22.5 cm + Neem cake 0.8 t/ha. However, 22.5 cm + Vermicompost 2 t/ ha, 20 cm + Neem cake 0.8 t/ ha was statistically at par with 22.5 cm+ Neem cake 0.8 t/ ha. The minimum plant height was recorded in the treatment combination of 18 cm + Fym 5 t/ha which is 67.83 cm. Significantly maximum number of tillers/m<sup>2</sup> (447) was recorded with application of 22.5 cm + Neem cake 0.8 t/ ha. However, 22.5 cm + Vermicompost 2 t/ ha was statistically at par with 22.5 cm + Neem cake 0.8 t/ ha. The minimum number of tillers/m<sup>2</sup> was recorded in the treatment combination of 18 cm + Fym 5 t/ha which is 374.80 cm. Significantly maximum plant dry weight (19.77 g) was recorded with application of 22.5 cm + Neem cake 0.8 t/ ha. However, 22.5 cm + Vermicompost 2 t/ ha was statistically at par with 22.5 cm + Neem cake 0.8 t/ ha. The minimum plant dry weight was recorded in the treatment combination of 18 cm + Fym 5 t/ha which is 14.15 g. [11] conducted a field experiment during the rabi season to study the response of different varieties of barley under varying row spacing. The experiment results revealed that 20 cm row spacing enhancing the growth parameters viz. plant population, plant height and number of tillers over 22.5 and 25 cm row spacing.

**Effect on the yield of barley.** As can be seen in Table.3, yield parameters are summarized statistically. At the time of harvest, significantly Effective tillers/m<sup>2</sup> (362.60) was recorded with 22.5 cm + Neem cake 0.8 t/ha. However, 22.5 cm + vermicompost 2 t/ha, 20 cm +Neem cake 0.8 t was statistically at par with 22.5 cm + Neem cake 0.8 t/ha. The minimum Effective tillers/m<sup>2</sup>, was recorded in the treatment combination of 18 cm + Fym 5 ton / ha which is (289.20). Significantly maximum Number of grains per spike (25.33) was recorded with 22.5 cm + Neem cake 0.8 t /ha application of. However, 22.5 cm + vermicompost 2 t/ha, 20 cm +Neem cake 0.8 t / ha was statistically at par with 22.5 cm + Neem cake 0.8 t/ha. The minimum number of grains per spike was recorded in the treatment combination of 18 cm + Fym 5 ton / ha which is (18.67). Significantly maximum test weight (51.33 g) was recorded in the treatment combination 22.5 cm + Neem cake 0.8 t/ha. However, 22.5 cm + vermicompost 2 t/ha, 20 cm +Neem cake 0.8 t / ha statistically at par with 2.5 cm + Neem cake 0.8 t/ha. The minimum test weight was recorded in the treatment combination of 18 cm + Fym 5 ton / ha. Significantly maximum grain yield (5.20) was recorded with 22.5 cm + Neem cake 0.8 t /ha application of. However, 22.5 cm + vermicompost 2 t/ha, 20 cm +Neem cake 0.8 t / ha was statistically at par with 22.5 cm + Neem cake 0.8 t/ha. The minimum grain yield was recorded in the treatment combination of 18 cm + Fym 5 ton / ha which is (3.24 t/ha). Significantly maximum Straw yield (7.81 t/ha) was recorded with 22.5 cm + Neem cake 0.8 t /ha. However, 22.5

cm + vermicompost 2 t/ha, 20 cm +Neem cake 0.8 t / ha was statistically at par with 22.5 cm + Neem cake 0.8 t /ha. The minimum number of grains per spike was recorded in the treatment combination of 18 cm + Fym 5 ton / ha which is (5.84). Significantly maximum Harvest index % (45.28) was recorded with application of 22.5 cm + Vermicompost 2 t/ha. However, 22.5 cm + *Neem cake 0.8 t / ha* was statistically at par with 22.5 cm + Vermicompost 2 t/ha. The minimum number of harvest index was recorded in the treatment combination of 18 cm + Fym 5 ton / ha which is (35.72 %). [12] observed that application of 5 t /ha FYM in combination with different rates of inorganic Nitrogen and Phosphorus significantly increased NP uptake by grain, straw. [13] observed that application of VC @ 125% RDN, FYM @ 125% of RDN, FYM @ 100% of RDN, VC @ 100% of RDN, VC @ 75% of RDN, PM @ 125% of RDN, PM @ 100% of RDN and 100% RDN through fertilizer remaining at par with each other and significantly increased plant height, dry matter accumulation, total number of tillers, chlorophyll content effective tillers, ear length, grains ear<sup>-1</sup>, test weight, grain, stover and biological yield, protein content over control.

**Table 2.** Effect of Row Spacing and Organic manures on growth attributes of Barley

Treatment combination	At 100DAS		
	Plant Height (cm)	Number of Tillers/m <sup>2</sup>	Plant dry weight (g/plant)
1- 18 cm of Row spacing + Fym 5 t/ ha	67.83	374.80	14.15
2- 18 cm of Row spacing + Neem cake 0.8 t/ha	70.16	396.40	17.28
3- 18 cm of Row spacing + Vermicompost 2 t/ha	69.34	380.60	15.43
4- 20 cm of Row spacing + Fym 5 t/ ha	71.42	389.00	16.66
5- 20 cm of Row spacing+ Neem cake 0.8 t/ha	75.77	420.60	18.55
6- 20 cm of Row spacing+ Vermicompost 2 t/ ha	74.39	410.20	18.34
7- 22.5 cm of Row spacing + Fym 5 t/ ha	72.68	391.13	17.59
8- 22.5 cm of Row spacing + Neem cake 0.8 t/ha	79.44	449.40	19.77
9- 22.5 cm of Row spacing + Vermicompost 2 t/ ha	76.25	447.00	19.52
F-Test	S	S	S
Sem (±)	2.211	4.69	0.51
CD (5%)	6.22	14.07	1.52

**Table 3.** Effect of Row spacing and Organic manures on yield attributes of Barley.

Treatment combination	At Harvest					
	No. of Effective tillers	No. of grains/spike	Test weight (g)	Grain yield (t/ha)	Straw yield (t/ha)	Harvest Index (%)
1	289.20	18.67	43.67	3.24	5.84	35.72
2	315.60	20.42	46.12	3.56	6.27	37.51
3	293.66	18.54	44.58	3.28	5.96	35.58
4	281.80	19.38	45.75	3.49	6.02	37.50
5	342.20	23.11	49.66	5.01	7.33	47.51
6	333.60	22.86	48.41	4.43	6.59	44.92
7	321.00	21.29	47.29	4.26	6.48	43.68
8	362.60	25.33	51.33	5.22	7.95	44.87
9	350.07	24.15	50.04	5.20	7.81	45.28
F-Test	S	S	S	S	S	S
Sem (±)	1.63	0.57	0.62	0.16	0.32	2.50
CD (5%)	4.89	1.71	1.87	0.47	0.96	7.48

## CONCLUSION

It is concluded that, treatment 8 with 22.5 cm + Neem cake 0.8 t/ha had performed better in growth and yield parameters. As it was more productive it can be recommended to farmers after further trials.

## REFERENCES

1. Pal, D., Kumar, S. and Verma, R.P.S. Pusa Losar (BHS 380)- the first dual purpose barley variety for NNorthern hills of India. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 2012;**82**(2): 164-165.
2. Hayes, P.M.A., Castro, L.M., Cedillo, A., Corey, C., Henson, B.L., Jones, J., Kling, D., Matus, I., Rossi and Sato, K. Genetic diversity for qualitatively inherited agronomic and malting quality traits. *Elsevier Science Publishers*, Amsterdam;2003.
3. Aberg, E The taxonomy and phylogeny of *Hordeum* L. Sect. *Cerelia*. and with special reference to Thibetan barleys. *Lundequistska Bokhandeln*, Uppsala, 156; 1940.
4. FAO. Food barley improvement. 2002. (<http://www.fao.org/ag/AGP/AGPC/doc/field/other/act.htm>).
5. Malakooti, M., Shahabi, A. and Bazargan, K. Potassium in Iran Agriculture, *Publications of Ministry of Agricultural Jihad*, Tehran: 258;2005.
6. Alazmani, A. The effect of nitrogen fertilizer on feed and grain yield of barley cultivar. *International Research Journal of Applied and Basic Sciences* **8**(11): 2013-15.
7. Das, T.K. and Yaduraju, N.T. Effects of missing-row sowing supplemented with row spacing and nitrogen on weed competition and growth and yield of wheat. *Crop and Pasture Science*. 2011;**62**: 48-57.
8. Chavarekar S, Thakral SK, Meena RK. Effect of organic and inorganic nitrogen fertilizers on quality of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) Ann. Agric. Res. New Series, 2013; **34**(2):134-137.
9. Vasant M, Ganiger JC, Mathad MB, Madalageri HB, Babalad NS, Hebsuret al., Effect of organics on the physico-chemical properties of soil after bell pepper cropping under open field condition. *Karnataka J Agric. Sci.*, 2012;**25**(4):479-484.
10. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition (IRRI). John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane, Toronto and Singapore, 1984.
11. Jagdish C, Mohsin M, and Somdutt. Effect of row spacing on growth, yield and economics of barley genotypes under sub humid agro-climatic zone of rajasthan. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2019; **8**(6): 2188-2191.
12. Mitiku W and Tana T. Effect of FYM and Mineral Nitrogen and Phosphorus on yield, yield components and Nutrient uptake of food Barley varieties in Kaffa Zone, Southwestern Ethiopia. *Journal of Science and Sustainable Development*, 2016;**4**(2): 21-39.
13. Yadav, K.K, S.P. Singh., Nishant and Vineet Kumar. Effect of integrated nutrient management on soil fertility and productivity of wheat crop. *International Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, 2018, vol. 24 (1), pp.1-9.