

Original Research Article

Impact of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients on root architecture, soil microbial biomass and yield on low land rice ecosystem

ABSTRACT

To study the impact of vermicompost, arbuscular mycorrhizae and FYM application on the rice ecosystem at low land, a field experiment was conducted with rice CO(R) 51 at the Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University in Coimbatore during the winter of 2020. The experiment was framed in Randomized Block Design comprising of 8 treatments viz., Recommended Dose of Fertilizer Soil Test Crop Response approach (T₁), RDF 75 % + Farm Yard Manure @ 12.5 t ha⁻¹ (T₂), T₂ + Seed treatment with Azospirillum and Phosphobacteria + Soil application of AM fungi (T₃), RDF 75 % + Vermicompost @ 5 t ha⁻¹ (T₄), T₄ + Seed treatment with Azospirillum and Phosphobacteria + Soil application of AM fungi (T₅), FYM @ 12.5 t ha⁻¹ + Seed treatment with Azospirillum and Phosphobacteria + Soil application of AM fungi (T₆), Vermicompost @ 5 t ha⁻¹ + Seed treatment with Azospirillum and Phosphobacteria + Soil application of AM fungi (T₇) and Absolute control (T₈) and replicated thrice. The maximum microbial population were registered in the plots that received integrated nutrient application of RDF 75 % STCR approach + Vermicompost 5 t ha⁻¹ + seed treatment with Azospirillum and Phosphobacteria + Soil application of AM fungi. Rice root architecture has changed significantly as a result of mycorrhizal inoculation. Mycorrhizal rice plants have more root volume, length, and spread than plants without mycorrhizae. Nutrient retention and availability influenced the presence of microbial-mediated metabolic activities and nutrient transformations during crop growth. Bacteria, fungus, and actinomycetes became less abundant as the crop reached harvest. The population density of mycorrhizospheres that utilize both organic and inorganic fertilizers is higher. The treatments that received Vermicompost or FYM with Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhizae and Nitrogen, Phosphorous & Potassium fertilizers obtained the highest yields of rice grain and straw (6740 and 7840 kg ha⁻¹) respectively, and it was clear that the combination of Vermicompost or FYM, VAM and along with NPK fertilizers produced significantly higher yields than their individual applications and absolute control.

Key words: *Arbuscular mycorrhizae, FYM, Microbial population, Root architecture, Vermicompost*

1. INTRODUCTION

Rice is grown on other 193 million hectares worldwide [1] but production and consumption are focused in Asia, where more than 90% of all rice is consumed. From 42.77 million hectares, India produced 117.5 million tonnes in 2019-20 [2], with a 2.9 t ha⁻¹ average production [3]. If the country is to remain self-sufficient by 2025, rice yields must be increased by 25 to 30 percent from existing pace. Tamil Nadu produces 7.65 million tonnes of rice on to an area of 1.87 million hectares, accounting for 8% of national rice output [1]. Instead, rice production has lost significant amounts of soil nutrients. Thus, India's future food and nutritional security is dependent on continual increase in soil and crop

productivity on a long-term basis through sufficient and balanced input management without depleting natural resources, for which the outcomes of integrated nutrient management would be essential.

Microorganisms influences the soil activities such as mineralization and humification, and they play an important role in nutrient **availability** [4]. As a result, a better insight into the mechanisms that influence its size, activity, and structure is **essential** [5]. Soils with higher microbial diversity are indicative of an improved soil plant relationship, hence research on the long-term effects of nutrient absence or presence on the microbial community is important for the regulation of rice ecosystem. Additionally, even though continuous application of fertilizers alter the physical, chemical, and biological properties of soil, they can change the soil microbial population directly or indirectly [6]. To enhance crop yields, organic manures must be used in association with inorganic fertilizers to develop a soil rich in organic matter in the form of readily available **nutrients** [7]. This study investigated the soil microbial community (bacteria, fungi, and actinomycetes) as influenced by organic, inorganic, and integrated nutrient management (INM) on rice crop yield and AM resulted in an increase in microbial biomass and a change in the root architecture in the rhizosphere region of lowland rice fields.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted in the Wetland, Central Farm of Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore and Tamil Nadu. The experimental site is geographically located at 11°00' N latitude and 76.92' E longitude at an elevation of 426.72 m above mean sea level. The soil of the experimental site was clay loam with pH of 8.15, EC of 0.63 dSm⁻¹ and medium in organic carbon (0.62 %), medium in available nitrogen (268 kg ha⁻¹), high in available phosphorus (22.5 kg ha⁻¹) and high in available potassium (780 kg ha⁻¹) (Table 2).

Table 1. Treatment schedule for field experiment at Western zone of Tamil Nadu

T ₁	RDF STCR approach
T ₂	RDF 75 % + FYM @ 12.5 t ha ⁻¹
T ₃	RDF 75 % + FYM @ 12.5 t ha ⁻¹ + Seed treatment with Azospirillum and Phosphobacteria + Soil application of AM fungi
T ₄	RDF 75 % + Vermicompost @ 5 t ha ⁻¹
T ₅	RDF 75 % + Vermicompost @ 5 t ha ⁻¹ + Seed treatment with Azospirillum and Phosphobacteria + Soil application of AM fungi
T ₆	FYM @ 12.5 t ha ⁻¹ + Seed treatment with Azospirillum and Phosphobacteria + Soil application of AM fungi
T ₇	Vermicompost @ 5 t ha ⁻¹ + Seed treatment with Azospirillum and Phosphobacteria + Soil application of AM fungi
T ₈	Absolute control

Table 2. Soil Physicochemical and biological parameters of the experimental site at Western zone of Tamil Nadu

Sl. No	Particulars	Values	Method / Formula	Reference
--------	-------------	--------	------------------	-----------

I. Physical Properties				
1.	Sand (%)	42.3	International Pipette method	
2.	Silt (%)	21.5		
3.	Clay (%)	45.9		
4.	Textural class	Clay loam		
5.	Bulk density (Mg m ⁻³)	1.32	Clod method	[9]
6.	Particle density (Mg m ⁻³)	2.16	Pycnometer method	[10]
7.	Total porosity (%)	38.8	Cylindrical method	[11]
II. Physico-chemical properties				
8.	pH	8.15	Using glass electrode in the "ELICO" pH meter	[12]
9.	EC (dSm ⁻¹)	0.63	Using "ELICO" conductivity bridge	[13]
10.	Organic carbon (%)	0.62	Chromic acid wet digestion method	[14]
III. Chemical properties				
11.	Available nitrogen (kg ha ⁻¹)	268	Alkaline permanganate method	[15]
12.	Available phosphorous (kg ha ⁻¹)	22.5	Olsen method using colorimeter	[16]
13.	Available potassium (kg ha ⁻¹)	780	Flame photometric method	[17]
IV. Biological properties				
14.	Total bacteria (cfu x 10 ⁶ g ⁻¹ of dry soil)	19	Serial dilution method using Nutrient glucose Agar medium	[18]
15.	Total fungi (cfu x 10 ⁴ g ⁻¹ of soil)	6	Serial dilution method using Martin's Rose Bengal Agar medium	[19]
16.	Total actinomycetes (cfu x 10 ³ g ⁻¹ of soil)	5	Serial dilution method using Kenknight's Agar medium	[20]

Fertilizers were recommended based on soil test value. Following three STCR equations developed for rice crop with a targeted yield. $FN = 4.39 T - 0.59 SN - 0.80 ON$, $FP_2O_5 = 2.22 T - 3.63 SP - 0.98 OP$, $FK_2O = 2.44 T - 0.39 SK - 0.72 OK$. The required fertilizer nitrogen (FN), fertilizer phosphorus (FP) and fertilizer potassium (FK) for rice were calculated by substituting the initial soil values of N, P, K and target yield in STCR equation of rice. Average yield of CO 51 is 7 t ha⁻¹. (Crop Production guide, 2020) [21]. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design having 8 treatments viz, RDF STCR approach (T₁), RDF 75 % + FYM @ 12.5 t ha⁻¹ (T₂), RDF 75 % + FYM @ 12.5 t ha⁻¹ + Seed treatment with Azospirillum and Phosphobacteria + Soil application of AM fungi (T₃), RDF 75 % + Vermicompost @ 5 t ha⁻¹ (T₄), RDF 75 % + Vermicompost @ 5 t ha⁻¹ + Seed treatment with

Azospirillum and Phosphobacteria + Soil application of AM fungi (T₅), FYM @ 12.5 t ha⁻¹ + Seed treatment with Azospirillum and Phosphobacteria + Soil application of AM fungi (T₆), Vermicompost @ 5 t ha⁻¹ + Seed treatment with Azospirillum and Phosphobacteria + Soil application of AM fungi (T₇) and Absolute control (T₈) and replicated thrice (Table 1). Fertilizer was applied in soil as per STCR recommendation of 108, 37.35, and 25 kg ha⁻¹ of N, P₂O₅, and K₂O respectively as per recommendation were applied through Urea, DAP and muriate of potash as per treatments. Half dose of nitrogen and full dose of phosphorus and potassium were applied basally. Remaining half N dose was applied in two equal splits once at tillering and rest panicle initiation stages. However, vermicompost was applied three days before transplanting and soil application of vesicular arbuscular mycorrhiza at the time of transplanting (Table 3). Seedlings of 25 days were transplanted, 2 to 3 seedling/hill at 20 x 10 cm spacing in a plot size of 20 sq.m (5 x 4 m) under puddled conditions and other agronomic practices were followed as per Crop Production Guide (CPG), Tamil Nadu Agricultural University (2020). [22].

Table 3. Nutrient composition and Quantity of organics used in the experimental site at western zone of Tamil Nadu

Crop	Organics	Nutrient composition (%)			Quantity applied (t ha ⁻¹)
		N	P	K	
Rice	Vermicompost	3.0	1.0	1.5	5
	FYM	0.5	0.2	0.5	12.5
	Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhizae	100g/ 10,000 spores			1

Five plots were selected randomly and root length was measured from the base of the root to the tip of the primary root at the time of active tillering, panicle initiation and flowering expressed in cm. For measuring root volume, water was poured into a clean measuring cylinder (nearly three fourth of its volume) and the level of water was noted. To avoid parallel error, reading was taken at the lowest level of the meniscus or curved surface of the liquid. A string was attached to the root and lowered into water and the new level of water was noted. The difference in the above readings was calculated and expressed as root volume in cc hill⁻¹.

2.1. Soil sampling

Soil samples were collected and stored at a temperature of 4^o C until they were taken for the study. Preplanting and post harvest soil samples were collected at 0-15 cm depth and analysed for various properties as per the standard procedures prescribed in Jackson, 1973 [12]

2.2 Soil analysis

Soil chemical properties viz pH, EC, organic carbon, available nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium were determined by standard procedures given by [12, 13, 14, 15, 16 & 17], respectively. Microbiological analysis were determined by the procedures given by [18, 19, 20], respectively.

2.3 Statistical analysis

All data were analysed by one-way ANOVA and the differences in mean were compared by the least significant difference test at P = 0.05 [11]. Yield responses to individual soil properties were modelled with correlation index at 1% probability. The data on various characters studied during the course of the investigation were statistically analyzed as suggested by Gomez and Gomez [23]. The

post-harvest data on grain yield, straw yield and harvest index were recorded and statistically analyzed using AGRES.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION


3.1. Root Parameters (Root Length (cm) and Root Volume (cc hill⁻¹))

The impact of Vermicompost, Farm yard manure, and Arbuscular mycorrhiza application on low land rice ecosystems was explored in this study. Rice root architecture has been considerably altered by mycorrhizal inoculation. Mycorrhizal plants have greater root volume, length, and spread than non-mycorrhizal plants. At diverse phenophases of rice, root length, which shows the temporal trend of growth, was measured. As a result of vermicompost application, root volume and length were also increased (Fig. 1). Canellas *et al.* (2002) [24] reported that the humic substances extracted from earthworm compost were capable of inducing lateral root growth in maize plants by stimulation of the plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase activity, thus producing similar effects such as the exogenous application of IAA.

Besides, the positive influences of humic acids on plant growth and productivity, which give the impression to be concentration specific, could be mainly due to hormone like activities of humic acids through their involvement in cell respiration, photosynthesis, oxidative phosphorylation, protein synthesis and various enzymatic reactions [25].

In the present study, the treatment T₅ had registered higher root volume (28.5 cc hill⁻¹), which was statistically on par with T₃ (26.3 cc hill⁻¹) and the lowest value was recorded under T₈ (20.1 cc hill⁻¹) on Active tillering stage. A similar trend of results was also observed in panicle initiation and flowering stage. (Fig 2). Parmesh *et al.* (2013) [26] reported that application of chemical fertilizer with vermicompost could lead to a massive root system, with roots encroaching onto large soil surfaces and absorbing more moisture and nutrients supplied from both organic and inorganic sources. Yadav *et al.* (2005) [27] reported that in *Oryza sativa* L, the application of vermicompost recorded a favourable effect on soil structure, texture and tilth and thus facilitates quick and greater availability of plant nutrients and offers a more favourable environment for root evolution, resulting in a larger site of absorption for nutrient uptake.

Fig 2. Effect of organic and inorganic nutrients on root length (cm) and root volume (cc hill⁻¹) of rice in western zone of Tamil Nadu



3.2. Microbial population of bacteria (CFU 10⁶/g of dry soil), fungi (CFU 10⁴/g of dry soil) and actinomycetes (CFU 10³/g of dry soil).

Soil biological activity is a key element in agricultural and ecological production, and the amount of organic matter present has a greater impact on soil biological activity than any other factor. As indicated by this study, microbial activity contributes to the recycling of energy and nutrients, which are influenced by the application of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers. The microbial community (bacteria, fungus, and actinomycetes) was profoundly changed by the manure-fertilizer treatments throughout the experimentation period.

The microbial population viz., bacteria, fungi and actinomycetes significantly were affected with application of different organic and inorganic sources as compared to control. The application of application of RDF 75 % + Vermicompost @ 5 t ha⁻¹ + Seed treatment with Azospirillum and Phosphobacteria + Soil application of AM fungi T₅ resulted in maximum microbial population of bacteria (28.3, 41.5 and 39.6 cfu10⁶ g⁻¹ soil), fungi (15.7, 21.0 and 15 cfu10⁴ g⁻¹ soil) and actinomycetes (14.7, 16.3 and 15.6 cfu10³ g⁻¹ soil) at active tillering, flowering and harvest stage respectively (Table 4) and it was closely followed by the application of RDF 75 % + FYM @ 12.5 t ha⁻¹ + Seed treatment with Azospirillum and Phosphobacteria + Soil application of AM fungi T₃ resulted in microbial population of bacteria (28.3, 41.5 and 39.6 cfu10⁶ g⁻¹ soil), fungi (15.7, 21.0 and 15 cfu10⁴ g⁻¹ soil) and actinomycetes (14.7, 16.3 and 15.6 cfu10³ g⁻¹ soil). The lowest microbial population was recorded under control (T₈). The addition of organic inputs enhanced the microbial counts in soil, which might be due to carbon addition and changes in physico-chemical properties of soil. Microbial populations were more numerous in the application of either through vermicompost (T₅) or FYM (T₃) probably due to the bioavailability of growth-promoting substances. (Table. 4, 5 & 6)

Table. 4. Effect of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients on total bacterial population of the soil

Treatments	Total Bacterial population (10^6 CFU/g of dry soil)												
	Active Tillering				Flowering				Harvest				Grand mean
	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	Mean	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	Mean	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	Mean	
T ₁	23.4	23.7	24.6	23.9 ^c	31.2	31.5	32.8	31.8 ^d	29.4	29.7	30.9	30.0 ^d	28.6
T ₂	25.8	26.0	27.1	26.3 ^b	36.4	36.7	38.2	37.1 ^c	33.9	34.3	35.6	34.6 ^c	32.7
T ₃	25.8	28.3	29.1	27.7 ^a	36.6	40.2	41.4	39.4 ^b	34.8	38.1	39.3	37.4 ^b	34.8
T ₄	25.3	26.9	27.7	26.6 ^b	36.1	38.4	39.5	38.0 ^{bc}	33.4	35.6	36.6	35.2 ^c	33.3
T ₅	27.7	28.6	28.6	28.3 ^a	40.7	41.9	41.9	41.5 ^a	38.8	40.0	40.0	39.6 ^a	36.5
T ₆	22.3	23.5	23.2	23.0 ^{cd}	28.4	29.9	29.6	29.3 ^{ef}	25.8	27.1	26.9	26.6 ^{ef}	26.3
T ₇	22.2	23.2	24.8	23.4 ^{cd}	29.0	30.2	32.3	30.5 ^{de}	25.9	27.0	28.9	27.3 ^e	27.1
T ₈	21.6	23.2	22.7	22.5 ^d	27.6	29.6	29.0	28.7 ^f	24.5	26.3	25.8	25.5 ^f	25.6
Mean				25.2				34.6				32.0	-
LSD(P=0.05)				0.99				1.40				1.29	-

Table. 5. Effect of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients on total fungal population of the soil

Treatments	Total Fungal population (10^4 CFU/g of dry soil)												
	Active Tillering				Flowering				Harvest				Grand mean
	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	Mean	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	Mean	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	Mean	
T ₁	12.1	12.2	12.7	12.3 ^d	15.0	15.1	15.8	15.3 ^d	11.4	11.5	11.9	11.6 ^c	13.1
T ₂	12.7	12.9	13.4	13.0 ^c	15.4	15.5	16.2	15.7 ^d	11.7	11.8	12.3	11.9 ^c	13.5
T ₃	13.0	14.3	14.7	14.0 ^b	17.7	19.4	20.0	19.0 ^b	13.7	15.0	15.4	14.7 ^a	15.9

T ₄	13.2	14.0	14.5	13.9 ^b	16.2	17.2	17.7	17.0 ^c	12.7	13.5	13.9	13.4 ^b	14.8
T ₅	15.4	15.9	15.9	15.7 ^a	20.6	21.2	21.2	21.0 ^a	14.7	15.2	15.2	15.0 ^a	17.2
T ₆	10.4	10.9	10.8	10.7 ^e	13.3	14.0	13.8	13.7 ^e	9.7	10.2	10.1	10.0 ^d	11.5
T ₇	10.6	11.1	11.9	11.2 ^e	13.3	13.9	14.8	14.0 ^e	9.9	10.3	11.0	10.4 ^d	11.9
T ₈	8.4	9.0	8.8	8.7 ^f	12.0	12.9	12.6	12.5 ^f	8.4	9.1	8.9	8.8 ^e	10.0
Mean				12.4				16.0				12.0	-
LSD(P=0.05)				0.51				0.67				0.53	-

Table. 6. Effect of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients on total actinomycetes population of the soil

Treatments	Total Actinomycetes population (10 ³ CFU/g of dry soil)												Grand mean
	Active Tillering				Flowering				Harvest				
	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	Mean	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	Mean	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	Mean	
T ₁	10.9	11.0	11.4	11.1 ^d	11.9	12.0	12.5	12.1 ^d	10.6	10.7	11.1	10.8 ^d	11.3
T ₂	11.3	11.4	11.8	11.5 ^d	13.7	13.9	14.4	14.0 ^c	12.3	12.4	12.9	12.5 ^c	12.7
T ₃	13.0	14.3	14.7	14.0 ^b	14.0	15.4	15.9	15.1 ^b	12.5	13.7	14.1	13.4 ^b	14.1
T ₄	11.7	12.4	12.8	12.3 ^c	13.5	14.3	14.8	14.2 ^c	12.4	13.1	13.5	13.0 ^b	13.1
T ₅	14.4	14.8	14.8	14.7 ^a	16.0	16.5	16.5	16.3 ^a	15.3	15.8	15.8	15.6 ^a	15.5
T ₆	7.7	8.1	8.0	7.9 ^f	9.5	10.0	9.9	9.8 ^e	8.9	9.4	9.3	9.2 ^e	9.0
T ₇	9.1	9.5	10.2	9.6 ^e	9.5	9.9	10.6	10.0 ^e	8.8	9.2	9.9	9.3 ^e	9.6
T ₈	4.6	4.9	4.8	4.8 ^g	7.1	7.6	7.5	7.4 ^f	6.3	6.8	6.7	6.6 ^f	6.2
Mean				10.7				12.4				11.3	-
LSD(P=0.05)				0.50				0.54				0.45	-

The increase in microbial population following the application of organic manure might be attributed to the stimulation of soil microorganism growth and activity [28]. The crop plant's roots released a variety of organic acids, which are a readily available source of food for soil microorganisms [29]. The composition and density of microbial populations in soil organic matter is an essential indicator of the soil's capacity to retain and recycle nutrients and energy.

3.3. Crop yield (kg ha⁻¹)

The yield of grain and straw were influenced significantly due to application of Vermicompost, FYM, Arbuscular mycorrhizae and along with NPK fertilizers (Fig. 3).

Among the various organic and inorganic nutrients tested, application of RDF 75 % + Vermicompost @ 5 t ha⁻¹ + Seed treatment with Azospirillum and Phosphobacteria + Soil application of AM fungi T₅ (6740 and 7840 kg ha⁻¹) significantly recorded the higher grain and straw yield along with harvest index (46.2 %). The increase in grain and straw yield over control were 12 and 13.5 %, respectively and it was closely followed by the application of RDF 75 % + FYM @ 12.5 t ha⁻¹ + Seed treatment with Azospirillum and Phosphobacteria + Soil application of AM fungi T₃ (6625 and 7725 kg ha⁻¹) respectively. A combination of inorganic fertilizers and vermicompost application was found to be increased in grain production, possibly by regulating the release of nutrients in the soil through mineralization [17]. Similar results were also reported by Thakur *et al.* (2021) [30]; Kamaleshwaran and Elayaraja (2021) [31].

However, the FYM treatment recording higher root growth and yield parameters was mainly due to balanced and continuous supply of macro and micro-nutrients from FYM throughout the growing period [32]. Singh *et al.* (2012) [33] reported that application of 100% RDF through inorganic fertilizers being on par with 50% RDF as inorganic fertilizers + 50% RDN as farm yard manure but produced significantly higher straw yield (2.23 t ha⁻¹) over rest of the fertility treatments. Farmyard manure might have supplied the essential minerals and worked as a catalyst for efficient use of applied nutrients in increasing the yield attributes. The research findings were supported by Kumar *et al.* (2014) [34].

Figure 3. Effect of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients on grain and straw yield of rice in western zone of Tamil Nadu




Table 7. Effect of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients on soil pH, EC, Organic carbon and Microbial biomass carbon at harvest stage of rice

Treatments	Harvest stage			
	pH	EC (dS m ⁻¹)	Organic carbon (g/kg)	Microbial biomass carbon (mg kg ⁻¹)
T ₁	8.10	0.64	6.4	222.9
T ₂	8.13	0.65	6.6	226.9
T ₃	8.20	0.67	7.3	230.6
T ₄	8.18	0.66	6.9	229.9
T ₅	8.23	0.68	7.9	236.8
T ₆	8.07	0.62	5.9	200.0
T ₇	8.08	0.63	6.2	218.9
T ₈	8.05	0.60	5.5	156.4
LSD (p=0.05)	NS	0.0346	0.36	11.92

Table 8. Correlation coefficients (r) of different soil properties with grain and straw yield of rice

Soil Properties	Grain yield	Straw yield
Organic carbon (g/kg)	0.98*	0.93*
Microbial biomass carbon (mg kg ⁻¹)	0.76*	0.92*

*Significant at 1% level significance

3.4. Relationship of Soil Properties with Crop Yield

Grain and straw yield was positively and significantly correlated with all the chemical and microbiological soil properties (Table 8). The values of coefficient of correlation of grain and straw yield with organic carbon were 0.98 and 0.99, respectively. highest correlation followed by microbial biomass carbon (0.76 and 0.92, respectively).

4. CONCLUSION

Integrated use of organic and inorganic fertilizers improved the microbial population of bacteria, fungi and actinomycetes population in soil. From this study it can be concluded that FYM and vermicompost application have on par effect with respect to soil biological properties. Decomposition of organic matter and recycling of carbon have substantial effect on the activity of soil enzymes evolved during the mineralization of crop plant nutrients, which would have improved the soil health and microbial population in soil. Higher rice grain yield and harvest index (6740 kg ha⁻¹ and 46.2 % respectively) were significantly associated with RDF 75% (STCR approach) + Vermicompost @ 5t ha⁻¹ along with Arbuscular mycorrhizae, a best sustainable nutrient management practice for lowland rice environment for getting sustainable yield and higher returns.

REFERENCES

1. FAO/STAT. (2020). Food and agriculture organization statistical database; Retrieved February 27.
2. DES. Directorate of Economics and Statistics Department of Agriculture, Cooperation & Farmers Welfare Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare Government of India, New Delhi;2021.
3. Singh, A. K., Chandra, N., & Bharti, R. C. (2012). Effects of genotype and planting time on phenology and performance of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.).
4. Sahu, N., Vasu, D., Sahu, A., Lal, N., & Singh, S. K. (2017). Strength of microbes in nutrient cycling: a key to soil health. In *Agriculturally important microbes for sustainable agriculture* (pp. 69-86). Springer, Singapore..
5. Masto, R. E., Chhonkar, P. K., Singh, D., & Patra, A. K. (2006). Changes in soil biological and biochemical characteristics in a long-term field trial on a sub-tropical inceptisol. *Soil biology and biochemistry*, 38(7), 1577-1582.
6. Bodruzzaman, M., Meisner, C. A., Sadat, M. A., & Hossain, M. I. (2010, August). Long-term effects of applied organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on yield and soil fertility in a wheat-rice cropping pattern. In *Proceedings of the 19th World Congress of Soil Science, Brisbane, Australia* (pp. 142-145).
7. Lakshmi, C. S. R., Rao, P. C., Sreelatha, T., Madahvi, M., Padmaja, G., Rao, P. V., & Sireesha, A. (2012). Nitrogen use efficiency and production efficiency of rice under rice-pulse cropping system with integrated nutrient management. *Journal of Rice Research*, 5(1-2), 42-51.
8. Olmstead, L. B., Alexander, L. T., & Middleton, H. E. (1930). *A pipette method of mechanical analysis of soils based on improved dispersion procedure* (No. 1488-2016-123973).
9. Singh, R. A. (1980). *Soil physical analysis*. Kalyani Publishers.
10. Singh, R. A. (1980). *Soil physical analysis*. Kalyani Publishers.
11. Baruah, T. C., & Barthakur, H. P. (1997). A Textbook of Soil Analysis Vikas Publishing House PVT LTD. *New Delhi*.
12. Jackson, M. L., Miller, R. H., & Forkin, R. E. (1973). Soil chemical analysis Prentic-Hall of India Pvt. & Ltd. *New Delhi: 2nd Indian Rep.*
13. Jackson, M. L., Miller, R. H., & Forkin, R. E. (1973). Soil chemical analysis Prentic-Hall of India Pvt. & Ltd. *New Delhi: 2nd Indian Rep.*
14. Walkley, A., & Black, I. A. (1934). An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter, and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil science*, 37(1), 29-38.

15. Subbaiah, B. V. (1956). A rapid procedure for estimation of available nitrogen in soil. *Curr. Sci.*, 25, 259-260.
16. Olsen, S. R. (1954). *Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate* (No. 939). US Department of Agriculture.
17. Stanford G, & English L. (1949). Use of flame photometer in rapid soil test for K and Ca. *Agron J.*, 41, 44.
18. Collings C. H., & Lyne M. P. (1968). *Microbiological methods*. 5th edition, Butter Worth, London.
19. Martin, J. P. (1950). Use of acid, rose bengal, and streptomycin in the plate method for estimating soil fungi. *Soil science*, 69(3), 215-232.
20. Kenknight, G., & Muncie, J. H. (1939). Isolation of phytopathogenic actinomycetes. *Phytopathology*, 29(11), 1000-1001.
21. Crop Production Guide (2020). Dept. of Agriculture, Govt. of Tamil Nadu, Chennai and Tamil Nadu Agricultural Univ., Coimbatore.
22. Crop Production Guide (2020). Dept. of Agriculture, Govt. of Tamil Nadu, Chennai and Tamil Nadu Agricultural Univ., Coimbatore.
23. Gomez, K. A., & Gomez, A. A. (1984). *Statistical procedures for agricultural research*. John Wiley & Sons.
24. Canellas, L. P., Olivares, F. L., Okorokova-Façanha, A. L., & Façanha, A. R. (2002). Humic acids isolated from earthworm compost enhance root elongation, lateral root emergence, and plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase activity in maize roots. *Plant physiology*, 130(4), 1951-1957.
25. Zandonadi, D. B., Canellas, L. P., & Façanha, A. R. (2007). Indolacetic and humic acids induce lateral root development through a concerted plasmalemma and tonoplast H⁺ pumps activation. *Planta*, 225(6), 1583-1595.
26. Paramesh, V., Sridhara, C. J., & Shashidhar, K. S. (2013). Effect of integrated nutrient management and planting geometry on root parameter and nutrient uptake of aerobic rice. *Agricultural Update*, 8(1&2), 217-220.
27. Yadav, M. P., Aslam, M., & Kushwaha, S. P. (2005). Effect of integrated nutrient management on rice (*Oryza sativa*)-wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system in Central Plains Zone of Uttar Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 50(2), 89-93.
28. Upadhyay, S. K., Singh, J. S., & Singh, D. P. (2011). Exopolysaccharide-producing plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria under salinity condition. *Pedosphere*, 21(2), 214-222.
29. Dotaniya, M. L., Meena, H. M., Lata, M., & Kumar, K. (2013). Role of phytosiderophores in iron uptake by plants. *Agricultural Science Digest-A Research Journal*, 33(1), 73-76.
30. Thakur, R., Shrivastava, A. K., Sarvade, S., Rai, S. K., Koutu, G. K., Bisen, N. K., & Khan, M. I. (2021). Response of Integrated Application of Inorganic Fertilizers and Vermicompost on Rice Productivity at Farmer Field.

31. Kamaleshwaran, R., & Elayaraja, D. (2021). Influence of vermicompost and FYM on soil fertility, rice productivity and its nutrient uptake. *International Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Research*, 7(4), 575-583.
32. Paramesh, V., Sridhara, C. J., Shashidhar, K. S., & Bhuvanewari, S. (2014). Effect of integrated nutrient management and planting geometry on growth and yield of aerobic rice. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 10(1), 49-52.
33. Singh, G., Singh, S., & Singh, R. K. (2012). Effect of Fertility Management on Yield and Economics of Traditional Scented Rice Varieties in Low Lands. *Ann. Pl. Soil Res*, 14(1), 1-4.
34. Kumar, A., Meena, R. N., Yadav, L., & Gilotia, Y. K. (2014). Effect of organic and inorganic sources of nutrient on yield, yield attributes and nutrient uptake of rice cv. PRH-10. *The Bioscan*, 9(2), 595-597.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

UNDER PEER REVIEW