

Original Research Article

Isolation of Biosurfactant producing *Pediococcus pentosaceus* from Laboratory controlled (simulated) Fermentation of Indian Wheat-based Seera

ABSTRACT

Biosurfactants are amphiphilic molecules produced by certain micro-organisms that have numerous applications in diverse sectors. Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) are preferred over other biosurfactant producing micro-organism due to their Generally Regarded As Safe (GRAS) status. The current study aims to isolate and screen biosurfactant producing LAB from laboratory controlled fermentation of Indian wheat. Biosurfactant-producing isolates were screened by a battery of tests including drop collapse assay, surface activity, hemolytic activity, and emulsifying activity. The strain S-2 with better emulsification index i.e. 63.27 ± 0.08 , surface activity i.e. 42.32 ± 0.17 , and biosurfactant yield i.e. 1.2 ± 0.02 g/L was chosen for further characterization. Morphological characterization of the strain S-2 was carried out and the strain was found to be gram-positive, coccus shaped, and lack endospore. Biochemical characterization and 16S rRNA sequencing confirmed the selected strain as *Pediococcus pentosaceus*.

Keywords: Biosurfactants, Fermented food, Lactic acid bacteria (LAB), *Pediococcus pentosaceus* and Seera.

1. INTRODUCTION

Different ethnic groups of India consume a variety of fermented foods that are produced by different micro-organisms or enzymes, resulting in beneficial biochemical changes that produce significant modifications to the food, conferring several health advantages [1]. Numerous microorganisms are responsible for food fermentation including *Acetobacter*, *Bacillus*, yeasts, and molds. One of the major classes of micro-organism involved in fermentation is Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) which is responsible for the fermentation of an enormous variety of dairy products (fermented milk, cheese, yogurt), cereal, meat, vegetable products, etc. [2]. LAB including *Pediococcus*, *Enterococcus*, *Oenococcus*, *Lactococcus*,

Streptococcus, *Lactobacillus*, *Leuconostoc*, *Weissella* etc. are prevalent in fermented foods. LAB are Generally Regarded as Safe (GRAS) according to the US Food and Drug Administration owing to their non-pathogenic nature [3].

Fermented foods are consumed in diverse forms, some are cereal-based while others are pulse-based, dairy-based, vegetables, and meat-based [4]. The majority of traditional fermented foods (wheat/barley/rice/buckwheat) are cereal-based. LAB further secretes a variety of metabolites such as organic acids, hydrogen peroxide, carbon dioxide, surface-active molecule, diacetyl, broad-spectrum antimicrobials such as reuterin, and bacteriocins [5, 6]. Diverse LAB species produce surface-active compounds or biosurfactants in order to adapt and thrive on a different substrate along with other functions [7].

Biosurfactants are amphiphilic molecules having polar (hydrophilic) and nonpolar (hydrophobic) moieties that reduce the surface tension at the oil–water interface, improving the solubility of water-immiscible substances. They serve as an eco-friendly alternative to synthetic surfactants which have a detrimental environmental impact due to their non-degradable nature [8].

They are broadly classified as low molecular-weight microbial compounds (lipopeptides, glycolipids, etc.) and high molecular-weight microbial compounds (polysaccharides, lipopolysaccharides proteins, or lipoproteins), with the ability to reduce surface and interfacial tension and stabilize emulsions [9]. Many microorganisms such as *Acinetobacter sp.*, *Bacillus sp.*, *Candida antarctica*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, etc. are known to synthesize biosurfactants. Among all micro-organism, *Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas* are known to synthesize biosurfactants with high yield, but their applications are limited owing to their pathogenic nature, so there is a need to explore non-pathogenic LAB for biosurfactant production. Several studies have shown that LAB strains produce biosurfactants, which are mostly a complex combination of proteinaceous substances, glycolipids, glycoproteins, or glycolipopeptides [10]. Probiotic biosurfactants impart effective antibacterial, anti-adhesive, anticancer, and antibiofilm properties. Furthermore, they have an advantage over traditional microbial surfactants since probiotics are an essential element of normal human microflora and their biosurfactants are non-toxic to humans, allowing them to be used safely and efficiently in the food and cosmetics industries. Moreover, biosurfactants due to their stability at extreme pH/ temperature, biodegradable nature, and low toxicity, are employed in various fields of bioremediation, food, pharmaceutical, agricultural, and cosmetics sectors [11]. The present study aims to isolate biosurfactant producing strain from the fermentation of wheat-based Seera under laboratory conditions.

2. MATERIAL AND METHOD

2.1 Sample Preparation

10 grams of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) grains were soaked in 150 ml of distilled water and allowed to ferment for 4 days in a sterile flask. Water was replaced at regular intervals and after fermentation grains were ground and incubated at room temperature for 1 hour to allow the starch granules to settle. The surface water was discarded, and the starchy residue was collected aseptically [12].

2.2 Isolation of Lactic Acid Bacteria

A fermented Seera sample was homogenized and serially diluted with Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS) solution (pH 7) aseptically. 100 µL of dilutions from 10⁻⁵ to 10⁻⁷ were spread on sterile De Man, Rogosa, Sharpe (MRS) agar plates and incubated for 24 hours at 37°C under **anaerobic conditions**. Different morphological colonies were picked and streaked multiple times to obtain pure colonies [13].

2.3 Selection of Biosurfactant-producing Isolates

2.3.1 Hemolytic test

The screening of biosurfactant-producing Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) was carried out by streaking various isolates on a sheep blood agar plate (Himedia-MP1301) and incubating them at 37°C for 48 hours and observed for hemolytic activity [14].

2.3.2 Oil displacement method

In this test, distilled water (25 mL) was poured in an empty petri dish, followed by the addition of 25 µL of motor oil in the center of the dish to form an oil layer, and then 25 µL of cell-free supernatant of isolates was added to the oil layer. The presence of biosurfactants in cell free supernatant result in the displacement of oil by forming a clear zone [15].

2.3.3 Drop Collapse method

In drop collapse test, 15 µL of cell-free supernatant was pipetted on thin film and left undisturbed for 3 to 4 minutes. The flattening or spreading of droplet was observed. As a negative control, distilled water was used. The presence of biosurfactant in cell-free broth results in reducing force or interfacial tension between the liquid drop and the hydrophobic surface eventually resulting in the spreading and collapsing of droplets [16].

2.3.4 Emulsification ctivity

This activity was determined by adding 2 mL of cell free supernatant and 2 mL of vegetable oil to a test tube. This mixture was vortexed for 3 minutes at high speed and left undisturbed at room temperature and Emulsification index (E24) was determined by following equation [17].

Emulsification index = % $\frac{\text{height of emulsified layer}}{\text{Total height of the column}}$

Emulsification activity is proportional to the Emulsification index.

2.3.5 Surface ension

The surface tension was measured with the help of a Stalagmometer by drop weight method. Completely clean and dry statagmometer was fixed with a clamp and distilled water was drawn into the capillary tube up to mark A and then allowed to fall naturally due to gravity in dry beaker. Upon collection of 30 drops in beaker, weight of the droplets was determined by using an electronic balance. The same process was carried out for cell free supernatant of different isolates. Modified MRS (without tween 80) without any inoculation used as control. Surface tension of sample was measured by using the following equation [18].

$$V_1 = \frac{W_1}{W_2} V_2$$

Where V1= surface tension of test liquid

V2 = surface tension of distilled water

W1=Weight of test liquid

W2= Weight of distilled water

2.3.6 Biosurfactant roduction

The production of biosurfactants was carried out for the strains that exhibited the presence of biosurfactant in their supernatant after a series of screening assays. 2 mL of overnight culture was inoculated in 150 mL of sterile modified MRS broth without tween 80 and incubated at 37°C for 48 hours after that culture was centrifuged at 9000 rpm for 15 minutes to obtain cell-free supernatant (CFS). The cell pellet was suspended in PBS and agitated gently for 2 hours to allow adhered biosurfactants to release from the pellet. It was again centrifuged at 9000 rpm for 15 minutes to recover the adhered biosurfactant [19].

2.3.7 Biomass determination

To determine biomass, 20 mL of culture broth was centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 15 minutes in pre-weighed centrifuge vials. The cell pellet was dried in an oven at 80°C for 24 hours after two washings with PBS, and the biomass weight was determined [20].

2.3.8 Biosurfactant extraction and yield estimation

The supernatant (CFS) was acidified to pH 2 with 2N HCl and stored at 4°C overnight. After three extractions with chloroform/methanol, the organic fraction was vacuum evaporated (2:1). Acetone was employed to recover the biosurfactant [21].

2.3.9 Determination of biosurfactants yield

A sterile glass petri dish was taken, and its weight was noted after that recovered biosurfactants were added to it. Then petri dish was kept in a hot air oven for a 30-minute at 100°C. Upon complete drying, the plate was weighed again. The following formula was used to determine the biosurfactant yield [22].

Yield of biosurfactants = (Weight of the plate after drying - weight of the empty plate).

2.3.10 Characterization and Identification of selected LAB

Among biosurfactant-producing isolates, the isolate with the highest biosurfactant activity and yield was chosen and identified morphologically, biochemically, and genetically. According to Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology, the selected strain was identified on the basis of morphological and biochemical characterization [23]. Gram staining, spore formation, and motility were utilized for morphological identification of LAB while for biochemical identification oxidase, catalase, indole production, nitrate reduction, methyl red, Voges-Proskauer citrate, H₂S production, and carbohydrate utilization assay were performed [24].

2.3.11 DNA isolation

DNA extraction was carried out as per the method reported by Leenhouts *et al.* [25]. 5 mL of culture was centrifuged after overnight growth. The pellet was rinsed with distilled water before being suspended in 1 mL of lysis solution containing 5 mg of lysozyme and of mutanolysin (30 U/ mL). The mixture was incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes before adding 20 µL of proteinase K (20 mg/mL) and 50 µL of 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate and then incubated at 60°C for 50 minutes. The lysate was extracted five times with phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) and twice with chloroform-isoamyl alcohol (24:1). The DNA was precipitated with 2 volumes of 96 percent ethanol (-20°C) after 3 M sodium acetate (0.1 volume) was added. The DNA was dissolved in 150 µL of TE (10 mM Tris [pH 8], 1 mM EDTA) with 20 µg of RNase. DNA concentration was determined using a spectrophotometer at an absorbance of 260nm.

2.3.12 Sequencing and phylogenetic analysis

DNA of selected strain was amplified according to the method described by Khedkar and Shanker [26] technique using 16s F243, 5' GGATGAGCCCGCGGCCTA-3' and reverse, R1378 5'CGGTGTGTACAAGGCCCGGAACG-3' primers.

Table 1. Details of PCR primers

NO.	Primer	Sequence(5' à 3')	Tm (°C)
1	16s Forward	GGATGAGCCCGCGGCCTA	73
2	16s Reverse	CGGTGTGTACAAGGCCCGGAACG	78

Table 2. Cycling condition for PCR

Initial Denaturation	3 minutes at 94°C	30 Cycles
Denaturation	1 minute at 94°C	
Annealing	1 minute at 65°C	
Extension	2 minutes at 72°C	
Final Extension	7minutes at 72°C	

The amplification product was purified and sent to BioKart Pvt. Ltd. in Bengaluru, India, for 16S rRNA sequencing and BLAST analysis was carried out to compare with the sequences available in the NCBI GenBank database. The sequences that showed the highest similarity were selected based on maximum identity score and phylogenetic tree was generated [27].

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Preliminary Screening of Biosurfactant producing Strain

LAB isolated from freshly prepared Seera sample was screened for biosurfactant production. Only oxidase and catalase-negative strains were chosen as these are two important characteristics of the biochemical traits of LAB. Seven out of thirteen isolates tested negative for catalase and oxidase, and they were marked as S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, S6, and S7. These isolates were inoculated in a modified MRS medium (without Tween 80) for 24 hours at 37° C and cell-free broth (supernatant) from various cultures were further tested for biosurfactant production using a battery of tests such as Hemolytic activity, Drop collapse assay, Oil displacement technique, surface tension, and Emulsification method, as shown in Table 3.

Table 3 Preliminary screening for biosurfactant production by isolated strains

Isolate	Hemolytic activity	Drop collapse	Oil displacement method	Emulsification activity	Surface tension (mN/m) reduced to
S-1	β	+	11.2±0.05	36.56±0.03	69.35±0.02
S-2	γ	+++	40.3±0.06	63.27±0.08	42.32±0.17
S-3	γ	-	00.00	31.04±0.06	67.53±0.15

S-4	γ	-	00.00	13.64±0.05	70.36±0.13
S-5	β	-	05.5±0.03	19.33±0.12	68.57±0.08
S-6	β	++	23.4±0.50	54.24±0.05	59.24±0.03
S-7	α	++	27.2±0.44	49.36±0.07	52.74±0.05
(- = Negative, +++ = complete collapse after 1 min, ++ = collapse after 2 mins, + = collapse after 4 mins)					
Values are mean ± standard error of means					
Surface tension of distilled water was 72.21±0.03 mN/m.					

As illustrated in Table 3, out of seven isolates only three strains exhibited β - hemolytic activity, one strain exhibited alpha-hemolytic activity and three strains exhibited gamma hemolytic activity. The blood agar assay was used as primary method for screening of biosurfactant producing strains as reported by Carrillo *et al.* [28]. Many biosurfactant-producing strains exhibited hemolytic activity due to lysis of erythrocyte according to Puphan *et al.* [29]. However, there are certain strains that produce biosurfactants without showing any hemolytic activity [30]. This method is not selective since many lytic enzymes are known to produce clear zones by lysing RBC. Secondly, hydrophobic substrates cannot be employed as the sole carbon source in blood agar assay. Furthermore, various surfactants have varying diffusion properties, which might impede the production of a clear zone. As a result, this assay produces a large number of false negative and false positive outcomes, therefore hemolytic test alone cannot predict the biosurfactant producing nature of micro-organism. This assay should be employed with other surface activity assessment-based methods for preliminary screening of biosurfactant [31].




Fig. 1. Oil displacement test

The presence of biosurfactant in the supernatant was indicated in the oil displacement test by isolate S-2 in Figure 1. In the oil displacement test, only cell-free supernatant of isolate S-2 showed maximum oil displacement i.e., 40.3±0.06 while S-6 and S-7 showed displacement of 23.4±0.50 and 27.2±0.44 respectively. Distilled water was used as negative control while SDS was used as positive control. The oil spreading approach is a reliable method for detecting biosurfactant synthesis by various microorganisms [32, 33]. The presence of a biosurfactant decreases surface (liquid-air) and interfacial (liquid-liquid) tensions, hence oil was displaced at the interface between the two immiscible fluids (oil and water). The repulsive forces that exist between immiscible were diminished, enabling them to mix and interact more freely. As a result, the oil was pushed off the surface of the water, resulting in the formation of a clear zone [34]. The findings matched with the study of (Ghasemi *et al.* in terms of lactic acid screening [35]. In this assay, the oil displacement area is proportional to the biosurfactant concentration present in the cell-free supernatant [36].



Fig. 2. Drop collapse test of supernatant of different isolates

In the drop collapse assay, distilled water denoted by 0 act as negative control and Modified MRS broth (without tween 80) acts as a control. Figure 2 depicts the differences in the diameter of droplets from different isolates on thin parafilm in a drop collapse test. Flattening of droplets of strain S-2 occurred within 60 seconds whereas strains S-6, and S-7 indicated a significant increase in drop diameter after two minutes. In drop collapse method, if no surfactants are present in the liquid, the polar water molecules are repelled off the hydrophobic surface, and the droplets stay stable, whereas presence of surfactants diminish the force or interfacial tension between the liquid drop and the hydrophobic surface, causing the droplets to spread or even collapse [37]. Drop stability is affected by surfactant concentration and is related to surface and interfacial tension. The results of the study were in accordance with the study reported by Cornea *et al.* for the drop collapse test for screening biosurfactant synthesizing micro-organisms [38].

According to the emulsification assay presence of biosurfactant in the supernatant significantly reduce the oil-water interfacial tension resulting in the emulsification of two immiscible liquids into a semi-stable mixture. As illustrated in Table 3, maximum emulsification activity was observed for isolate S-2 i.e., 63.27 ± 0.08 among all isolates. The emulsification index correlates with the amount of biosurfactant. According to this study the emulsification activity of biosurfactant producing strains S-2, S-6, and S-7 also lie within the range reported by Akintokun *et al.* that was from 42.5 to 74.4% for biosurfactant producing strains [39].

Surface activity is the most reliable criteria for the selection of biosurfactant producing isolates. Biosurfactant potential is determined by its capacity to lower the surface tension of the productive medium [40].

During biosurfactant screening assay, the maximum reduction in surface tension was reported by strain S-2 from 72.21 ± 0.03 to 42.32 ± 0.17 followed by S-6 and S-7 isolates i.e., 59.24 ± 0.03 and 52.74 ± 0.05 respectively. According to the study reported by Rodrigues *et al.* LAB has tendency to reduce surface tension from 72 to 39 mN/m in case of *Streptococcus thermophilus* and 72 to 37 mN/m in case of *Lactobacillus fermentum* [41]. Based on the different screening assays strains S-2, S-6 and S-7 were selected further for biomass and biosurfactant production.

3.2 Biomass and Biosurfactant Determination

Table 4. Biomass and biosurfactant yield of isolates S-6, S-2, and S-7

Isolates	Biomass determination (g/L)	Biosurfactant determination (g/L)
S-6	2.2 ± 0.04	0.4 ± 0.01
S-2	3.7 ± 0.03	1.2 ± 0.02
S-7	2.7 ± 0.01	0.7 ± 0.02

Values are mean \pm standard error of means

Among three isolates, the biomass and biosurfactant yield of strain S-2 was comparatively higher. Biomass yield of strain S-2 was found to be 3.7 ± 0.03 g/L whereas biosurfactant yield was 1.2 ± 0.02 g/L, hence selected for further characterization.

According to the study reported by Ghasemi *et al.*, biosurfactant yield from LAB *Pediococcus dextrinicus* was found to be 0.7g/L [42], whereas Souza *et al.* reported biosurfactant yield of *Lactobacillus lactis* to be 0.1–4.6 g/L [43]. Variation in biosurfactant yield was caused due to the distinct nature of the biosurfactant-producing strains and the different sources from which strains were isolated. Many additional factors impacted the yield, such as changes in growing conditions including temperature, pH, and medium composition. According to many studies, it was found that biosurfactants producing LAB were mainly isolated from fermented batters, fruits, vegetables, and milk products [44, 45].

3.3 Characterization and Identification of Selected LAB

Table 5. Characterization of strain S-2

Morphological Characterization									
Colour					Off white				
Motility					-				
Cell shape (Negative staining)					Coccus				
Gram staining					+				
Endospore					-				
Biochemical characterization									
Catalase					-				
Oxidase					-				
Methyl Red					+				
VP reaction					+				
Indole					-				
Citrate					-				
Nitrate reduction					-				
H ₂ S					-				
Carbohydrate utilization test									
Lactose	+	Trehalose	+	Glycerol	+	α- methyl-D - glucoside	+	Esculin hydrolysis	+
Xylose	+	Melibiose	+	Dulcitol	+	Rhamnose	+	D – Arabinose	+
Maltose	+	Sucrose	+	Inositol	+	Cellobiose	+	Citrate utilization	-
Fructose	+	L-arabinose	+	Sorbitol	+	Melezitose	+	Sorbose	+
Dextrose	+	Mannose	+	Mannitol	+	α- methyl-D - mannoside	+	Erythritol	+
Galactose	+	Insulin	+	Adonitol	+	Xylitol	+		
Raffinose	+	Sodium gluconate	+	Arabitol	+	ONPG	-		

Strain S-2 was selected and studied for morphological characteristics such as gram staining, morphology, spore formation, and motility using the LAB procedures outlined by Kozaki *et al.* [46]. Strain S-2 was found to be Gram-positive, cocci-shaped, non-motile, catalase-negative, and lack Endospore. The morphological findings were matched with the studies reported by Todorov [and Dicks \[47\]](#) & Cai *et al* [48].

Different biochemical tests were performed for the strain S-2 and the findings are reported in Table 5. Catalase, oxidase, citrate, nitrate reduction, and H₂S activities were absent while selected strain S-2 was found to be positive for MR, VP, and indole. The results of biochemical tests were also in accordance with the study reported by (Vidhyasagar *et al.* [49].

Carbohydrate utilization test was performed using Himedia carbokit, it was found that the S-2 strain has the ability to ferment all carbohydrates except ONPG and citrate as illustrated in Table 5. Fermentation of many sugars i.e. glucose, sucrose, galactose, mannose, xylose, fructose, and maltose was in accordance to study described by Albano *et al.* [50] but did not match with the fermentation pattern of arabinose, glycerol, sorbitol, and CMC (carboxy methyl cellulose). The present findings were also in accordance with the study reported by Cai *et al.* except for sorbitol and Ramnose [51]. The differences in the pattern of sugar fermentation were due to the isolation of strains from diverse origins with different growth conditions.

3.4 Agarose Gel Analysis




Fig. 3. Lane Description: Lane 1: Ladder; Lane 2: Sample

Ladder contains 10 DNA fragments of size 500 bp, 1000 bp, 1500 bp, 2000 bp, 2500 bp, 3000 bp, 3500 bp, 4000 bp, 5000 bp. The size of PCR product was approximately 1500 bp.

3.5 Phylogenetic Analysis




Fig. 4. Phylogenetic analysis of *Pediococcus pentosaceus* based on 16S rRNA genes

The 16S rRNA sequence of the selected strain S-2 was compared with the sequences which were already present in the GenBank database, it was found that the isolated strain was closely related with *Pediococcus* genus. The BLAST analysis revealed that the genus *Pediococcus* had 99.86 percent identity with *Pediococcus pentosaceus*. In Figure 4, the length of a branch in a phylogenetic tree represents the degree of genetic change of 0.01. The selected strain was found to be the closest homologue to *Pediococcus pentosaceus* strain (KX886792.1) and the next the closest homologue was found to be *Pediococcus pentosaceus* strain (NR 04058.1). 16S rRNA sequence of strain S-2 i.e. *Pediococcus pentosaceus* was submitted to GenBank (National Center for Biotechnology Information, USA) and the accession number was obtained as OM843219.

4. CONCLUSION

Fermented food products are a versatile source of LAB that produce a variety of metabolites. In the present study biosurfactant-producing LAB strains were isolated from fermented wheat product. Strain S-2 with the highest emulsification index of 63.27 ± 0.08 , surface activity of 42.32 ± 0.17 , and biosurfactant yield of 1.2g/L was selected among other isolates. Selected strain S-2 was found to be coccus-shaped, gram-positive, non-motile, and non-spore-forming micro-organism that had tendency to utilize different carbohydrates. The biochemical characterization and 16S rRNA sequencing confirmed the selected strain as *Pediococcus pentosaceus*. However, further research will be carried out, to

determine the chemical nature of the biosurfactants, to optimize the culture conditions for enhancing biosurfactant yield and evaluate their anti-oxidative activity, antibacterial, antiadhesive activities, and anti-biofilm potential so it can be utilized in different sectors including pharmaceutical, cosmetics and food industries. Due to its unique properties, biosurfactant can act as a better candidate for replacement of synthetic surfactants.

REFERENCES

1. Hasan, M. & Sultan, Zakir & Mar-E-Um, M. Significance of Fermented Food in Nutrition and Food Science. *Journal of Scientific Research*.2014: 6: 373-386. DOI:10.3329/jsr.v6i2.16530
2. Bourdichon, F., Casaregola, S., Farrokh, C., Frisvad, J.C., Gerds, M.L., Hammes, W.P., et al. Food Fermentations: Microorganisms with Technological Beneficial Use. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*.2012: 154:87-97. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2011.12.030>
3. Mokoena M. Lactic Acid Bacteria and Their Bacteriocins: Classification, Biosynthesis and Applications against Uropathogens: A Mini-Review. *Molecules (Basel, Switzerland)*. 2017:22(8):1255 doi: 10.3390/molecules22081255
4. Rawat, Komal & Kumari, Anju & Kumar, S. & Kumar, Rakesh & Gehlot, R. Traditional Fermented Products of India. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* 7. 2018: 1873-1883 DOI:10.20546/ijcmas.2018.704.214
5. De Vuyst, L. and Vandamme, E.J. Lactic Acid Bacteria and Bacteriocins: Their Practical Importance. In: *Applications*, Blackie Academic and Professional, London.1994: 1-11.
6. Jacques N, Casaregola S. Safety assessment of dairy microorganisms: the hemiascomycetous yeasts. *Int J Food Microbiol*.2008:126: 321-326 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2007.08.013
7. Banat, I.M., Franzetti, A., Gandolfi, I., Bestetti, G., Martinotti, M.G., Fracchia, L., Smyth, T.J. and Marchant, R. Microbial biosurfactants production, applications and future potential. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*.2010:87: 427-444 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-010-2589-0>
8. Vijayakuma S, Varatharajan S. Biosurfactants-Types, Sources and Applications. *Research Journal of Microbiology*.2015: 10:181-192.
9. Zajic JE, Guignard H, Gerson DF. Properties and biodegradation of a bioemulsifier from *Corynebacterium hydrocarbon clastus*. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*.1997: 19 (9):1303-1320. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.260190905>
10. Mouafo TH, Mbawala A, Ndjouenkeu R. Effect of Different Carbon Sources on Biosurfactants' Production by Three Strains of *Lactobacillus* spp. *Biomed Res Int*. 2018. doi: 10.1155/2018/5034783.
11. Mukherjee AK, Das K. Microbial Surfactants and their Potential Application. An Overview. In: Sen, R.(eds). *Biosurfactant Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology*.2010: 67 DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4419-5979-9_4.
12. Kapoor S and Bhalla T. Microbiological and biochemical characterization of seera: A starch-based bioenriched fermented food of Himachal Pradesh. *International Journal of Food and Fermentation Technology*.2012: 2(1):49-56
13. Chakraborty & Bhowal J. Isolation, Identification, and Analysis of Probiotic Properties of *Lactobacillus* Spp. from Selected Regional Dairy Product *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*.2015:4(6): 621-628
14. Mulligan C N, D G. Cooper and R J. Neufeld .Selection of microbes producing biosurfactants in media without hydrocarbons. *J. Ferment. Technol*.1984: 62: 311-314.

15. Morikawa M, Hirata Y, Imanaka T. A study on the structure-function relationship of lipopeptide biosurfactants. *BiochimBiophysActa*.2000:1488 (3):211–218. DOI: 10.1016/s1388-1981(00)00124-4
16. Jain DK, Collins-Thompson, D L, Lee H & Trevors J. A drop-collapsing test for screening surfactant-producing microorganisms. *Journal of Microbiological Method*. 1991.13 :271-279.
17. Iqbal S, Khalid ZM, & Malik K A. Enhanced biodegradation and emulsification of crude oil and hyperproduction of biosurfactants by a gamma ray-induced mutant of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*.1995: 21. DOI: 10.1111/j.1472-765x.1995.tb01035.x
18. Wei YH, Chou CL, Chang JS. Rhamnolipid production by indigenous *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* J4 originating from petrochemical wastewater. *Biochem Eng J Biochem*.2005. 27:146–154
19. Augustin, M., Majesté, P., Hippolyte, M. and Léopold, T. Effect of Biosurfactants Extracted from a Locally Fermented Milk (Pendidam) on Its Shelf Life. *Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology*.2015: 3(1):12-22.
20. Lira I R , Santos E M , Filho A A , Farias, C B , Guerra J M , Sarubbo L A & Luna J M .Biosurfactant Production from *Candida Guilliermondii* and Evaluation of Its Toxicity. *Chemical engineering transactions*.2020: 79: 457-462.
21. Zakaria G E. Antimicrobial and anti-adhesive properties of biosurfactant produced by lactobacilli isolates, biofilm formation and aggregation ability. *The Journal of General and Applied Microbiology*.2013: 59(6): 425-4 DOI: 10.2323/jgam.59.425
22. Anandaraj, B., & Thivakaran, P. Isolation and production of biosurfactant producing organism from oil spilled soil. *J Biosci Tech*.2010:1(3):120-126.
23. MCCLUNG, L. *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, Volume 1.*: Edited by Noel R. Krieg. The Williams & Wilkins Co., Baltimore, 1984, 964 pp. \$80.00. *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology*.1985: 35(3):408-408.
24. Cappucino J G. and Sherman N *Microbiology: A Laboratory Manual*. 5th Edition, Cumming Science Publishing, New York.1998
25. Leenhouts KJ, Kok J, Venema G. Stability of integrated plasmids in the chromosome of *Lactococcus lactis*. *Appl Environl Microbiol*.1990: 56:2726–2735
26. Khedkar, S. and Shanker, R., Isolation and classification of a soil actinomycete capable of sulphur-specific biotransformation of dibenzothiophene, benzothiophene and thianthrene. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*. 2014:118(1):62-74.
27. Weisburg WG, Barns SM, Pelletier DA, Lane DJ. 16S ribosomal DNA amplification for phylogenetic study. *J Bacteriol*.1991:173:697–703
28. Carrillo PG, Mardaraz C, Pitta-Alvarez SI et al. Isolation and selection of biosurfactant-producing bacteria. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol*. 1996;12:82–4.
29. Puphan K, Somplang P, Uriyapongson S, Navanukraw C. Screening of lactic acid bacteria as potential probiotics in beef cattle ; *Pakistan journal of nutrition*.2015: 14(8): 474- 479 <https://dx.doi.org/10.3923/pjn.2015.474.479>
30. Rodrigues, L., Moldes, A., Teixeira, J. and Oliveira, R., Kinetic study of fermentative biosurfactant production by *Lactobacillus* strains. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*. 2006:28(2):109-116.
31. Walter V, Sylatk C, Hausmann R. Screening Concepts for the Isolation of Biosurfactant Producing Microorganisms. In: *Madame Curie Bioscience Database [Internet]*. 2013. Austin (TX): Landes Bioscience; Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK6189/>

32. Plaza G, Zjawiony I, Banat I. Use of different methods for detection of thermophilic biosurfactant-producing bacteria from hydrocarbon-contaminated bioremediated soils. *J Petro Science Eng.*2006;50(1):71–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petro.2005.10.005>.
33. Youssef N, Duncan K, Nagle D. et al. Comparison of methods to detect biosurfactant production by diverse microorganisms. *J Microbiol Methods*2004.56(3):339–347. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2003.11.001>
34. Md B H, N. H, Ibrahim M F, Ramli N & Abd A S. Production of Biosurfactant Produced from Used Cooking Oil by *Bacillus* sp. HIP3 for Heavy Metals Removal. *Molecules (Basel, Switzerland)*2019;24(14):2617.
35. Ghasemi A, Moosavi-Nasab M, Setoodeh P, Mesbahi G, Yousefi G. Biosurfactant Production by Lactic Acid Bacterium *Pediococcus dextrinicus* SHU1593 Grown on Different Carbon Sources: Strain Screening Followed by Product Characterization. *Sci Rep*27. 2019;9(1):5287. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-41589-0>
36. Morikawa M, Hirata Y, Imanaka T. A study on the structure-function relationship of lipopeptide biosurfactants. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2000 Nov 15;1488(3):211-8. doi: 10.1016/s1388-1981(00)00124-4. PMID: 11082531.
37. Tugrul, Tugba & Cansunar, Emir. Detecting Surfactant-producing Microorganisms by the Drop-collapse Test. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol.*2005; 21: 851-853. 10.1007/s11274-004-5958-y.
38. Cornea C P, Israel R, Siciua OA, C. Voaides, Zamfir M, Simona G . Biosurfactant production by *Lactobacillus* spp. Strains isolated from Romanian traditional fermented food products; *Romanian Biotechnology.*2016; 21(2): 11312-11320.
39. Akintokun, A. K., Adebajo, S. O. & Balogun, S. A. Production of biosurfactant by *Pseudomonas taenensis* using different solid and liquid wastes and energy sources. *International Journal of Current Research.*2017; 9(5): 49724-49728.
40. Persson A, Molin G. Capacity for biosurfactant production of environmental *Pseudomonas* and *Vibrionaceae* growing on carbohydrates. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol.* 1987;26(5):439–442.
41. Rodrigues L.R., Van der Mei H.C., Teixeira J.A., Oliveira R. Influence of biosurfactants from probiotic bacteria on formation of biofilms on voice prosthesis. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2004;70:4408–4410.
42. Ghasemi A, Moosavi-Nasab M, Setoodeh P, Mesbahi G, Yousefi G. Biosurfactant Production by Lactic Acid Bacterium *Pediococcus dextrinicus* SHU1593 Grown on Different Carbon Sources: Strain Screening Followed by Product Characterization. *Sci Rep*27. 2019;9(1):5287.
43. Souza EC, Azevedo PO, Domínguez J M , Converti A & Oliveira R P. Influence of temperature and pH on the production of biosurfactant, bacteriocin and lactic acid by *Lactococcus lactis* CECT-4434, CyTA - *Journal of Food.*2017;15(4):525-530. DOI: 10.1080/19476337.2017.1306806
44. Laranjo, M.; Elias, M.; Fraqueza, M.J. The Use of Starter Cultures in Traditional Meat Products. *J. Food Qual.* 2017;9546026.
45. Lozano N, Useros R, et al. The effect of the pediocin PA-1 produced by *Pediococcus acidilactici* against *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Clostridium perfringens* in Spanish dry-fermented sausages and frankfurters. *Food Control.*2010;21:679-685. 10.1016/j.foodcont.2009.10.007.
46. Kozaki, M., Uchimura, T. and Okada, S (1992). *Experimental Manual of Lactic Acid Bacteria.* Tokyo: Asakurasyoten.1992
47. Todorov SD, Dicks LM . Bacteriocin production by *Pediococcus pentosaceus* isolated from marula (*Scerocarya birrea*). *Int J Food Microbiol.* 2009;132(2-3):117-26. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2009.04.010

48. Cai, Y., Kumai, S., Ogawa, M., Benno, Y., & Nakase, T. Characterization and identification of *Pediococcus* species isolated from forage crops and their application for silage preparation. *Applied and environmental microbiology*. 1999: 65(7):2901–2906. doi: 10.1128/aem.65.7.2901-2906.1999
49. Vidhyasagar, Venkatasubramanian & Jeevaratnam, Kadirvelu (2012). Isolation and Characterization of *Pediococcus Pentosaceus* from Idly Batter: A Traditional South Indian Fermented Food Source. *Biosciences Biotechnology Research Asia*.2012: 9: 427-431. DOI:10.13005/bbra/1019
50. Albano, H.M.,Oliveira, R.,Arso, N., Cubero,T.,Hogg, P.Antilisterial activityof lactic acid bacteria isolated from “AlheiraMeat Science.2007: 76:796-800. doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2007.01.019

51. Ramnose ...?

UNDER PEER REVIEW