

## Entomopathogenic nematodes: Impacts on non-target Invertebrates

**Abstract:** Entomopathogenic nematodes are one the important biological control agent against many pestiferous insects. However, during field application of entomopathogenic nematodes the population of other beneficial insects may have some impact. Though under laboratory condition with high doses of infective juvenile of entomopathogenic nematodes shows wide range of susceptibility to non target invertebrates, but under field conditions the impact on non-target invertebrates has either been small and or undetectable. This review gives an idea about the susceptibility and their impact of entomopathogenic nematodes on non-target invertebrates and precautions to be taken during application.

**Key words:** Bio-control agent, Entomopathogenic nematodes, predators, parasite and parasitoid, insect-pests.

### Introduction

The entomopathogenic nematode (EPNs) specifically *Steinernema* and *Heterorhabditis* genera under order Rhabditida, has gained unprecedented importance as biological control agent against many insect pests [1]. Laboratory and field studies revealed that insect from over 17 orders and 135 families are susceptible to entomopathogenic nematodes [2, 3]. These nematodes exhibit a symbiotic relationship with bacteria *Photorhabdus* in case of *Heterorhabditis* and *Xenorhabdus* in case of *Steinernema*: after entering the host insect, pathogenic bacteria are released by the parasite, cause septicemia that causes the death of the insect [4, 5]. EPNs are applied in various habitat of the insect pests like soil-dwelling stage, foliar, in cryptic habitats, those pupate in soil or drop in soil for shade [6-9].

### Impact of entomopathogenic nematodes on non-target invertebrates

Because of wide host status of several entomopathogenic nematode species, there is a serious concern about the population level of non-target invertebrates especially beneficial insects [10,11]. Howarth in 1991, for the first time showed evidence for significant non-target susceptibility. Later on Coote & Loeve [12] reported that intentionally introduced biocontrol agent may also have harmful off-target effects. Many investigations were carried out for the safety of non-target insects and preceded to development of regulatory protocols to ensure the safe usage of biocontrol agents [13]. The entomopathogenic nematodes can be isolated from naturally infected insects [14], but most have been isolated by baiting of soil samples with a susceptible species (e.g. *Galleria mellonella*) [15]. The efficacy of entomopathogenic nematodes for target species is well-defined. Many workers reported variable responses with respect to susceptibility of beneficial insects to entomopathogenic nematodes [16-19]. The advantages of application of entomopathogenic nematodes included the following: they pose no threat to mammals and birds [20], they have minimal adverse effects on above ground non-target invertebrates [21, 22] and they do not disperse widely in the environment [23]. For commercialization of entomopathogenic nematodes as biological control of insect pests in USA, Environmental Protection Agency exempted all entomopathogenic microorganisms from registration except for the exotic species. According to European regulatory body Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), entomopathogenic nematodes were safe for beneficial insects and should not be regulated [24]. However, regulations vary in different European countries. Garriga *et al.*, [25] stated that *H.bacteriophora* and *S.feltiae* were considered harmless according to the International Organization for Biological and Integrated Control-West Palaearctic Regional Section (IOBC-WPRS) classification for side effect.

The results of some field trials show a moderate influence or even absence of entomopathogenic nematodes on non target arthropods if they are applied only in short term pest control [26,27]. Bathon [28] reported that mortality can be observed only a part of the population among the non-target organisms.

The impact of different entomopathogenic nematode species on various earthworm species was investigated. In some instances, nematode development was reported but no impact on earthworm populations was observed [29-31]. In soil column tests, dispersal of *S.carpocapsae* was observed on earthworm (*Lumbricus terrestris* or *Aporrectodea trapezoids*), and nematodes were found present on both exterior and interior of the earthworm body [32,33]. Cantwell *et al.*, [34]; Hackett & Poinar [35]; Kaya *et al.*, [36]; Baur *et al.*,[37]; Erler *et al.*,[38] during their observation on effect of entomopathogenic nematodes (*S. carpocapsae*, *Neoaplectana carpocapsae*, *S. glaseri* ) on honey bee, *Apis mellifera* L. However, entomopathogenic nematodes applied in the field through direct contact of free ranging bees causing mortality (Hackett & Poinar,[35]; Zoltowska *et al.*,[39]; Taha & Abdelmegeed,[40] . *H. bacteriophora* and *S. riobravis*, cause high mortality to *A. mellifera* brood and adults, which depend upon high dose as well as species and strains [36]. Younis & Fergani, [41] observed the same result using microcolonies and normal size colonies. Some honey bees showed to be tolerant towards infections with *H. bacteriophora* HP88, *H. taysera*, or *Heterorhabditis* sp. S1 [42].

The silkworm *Bombyx mori* was infected by DD-136 [43].Nematodes were found to be reproduced on silkworm larvae. Dutka *et al.*, [44] observed high mortality of *Bombus terrestris* with *S. kraussei* and the combination of *Heterorhabditis* sp. and *Steinernema* sp. in cage assays. Dong *et al.*, [45] observed that *S.bicornutum* and *S.feltiae* did not have effect on the larval survival to the Chinese oak silkworm (*Antheraea pernyi*) and mulberry silkworm (*Bombyx mori*), whereas *S.carpocapsae* and *S.glaseri* did have an effect. Each *Steinernema* species poses no threat to hatchability of eggs, pupation rate, larval durations and cocoon shell ratio.

Predators and parasitoids are natural enemies of pestiferous insects. And are considered as important biocontrol agents. These natural enemies may be infected by entomopathogenic nematodes, through direct infection or early death of the parasitized host, or reduction in the host population. Harvey *et al.*, [46] evaluated the direct non-target effects and indirect non target effects during inundative application of exotic *S.carpocapsae* and *H.downesi* and native strain of *S.feltiae* to suppress the large pine weevil (*Hylobius abietis*) in a forest ecosystem. The exotic species were accorded a lower overall risk status than native species and strains because of their shorter persistence in the target environment. Gaugler [47] stated that though *S.feltiae* has wide host range to control cryptic pests, not harmful to beneficial insects as having poor persistence on foliage. Garriga *et al.*,[25] found that in *S. carpocapsae* treatment, parasitoids and predator nymphs had a survival rate of up to 76% while, in adult predators, survival ranged from 14% to 100% in a pot experiment.

Predators from the families Carabidae, Cicindelidae, and Staphylinidae were found to be more susceptible to nematode infection, although their adult stage was more resistant[26] and they are more likely to be affected directly by infection (Table 1). Georgis & Hague, [48]; Powell & Webster, [49]; Rojht *et al.*, [50]; Hodson *et al.*, [51],observed high mortality by direct treatment with EPNs. Mracek & Spitzer, [52]; Lopez, [53] showed no infection. Farag [54] reported a high mortality of the larvae of *Coccinella undecimpunctata* caused by *H.taysearae* and *S.carpocapsae* S2 in a laboratory assay,

**Table 1: Effect of entomopathogenic nematodes on nontarget predator of insect pests**

Predators of	Insect pests	Entomopathogenic	Lab/	Effect on	Reference
--------------	--------------	------------------	------	-----------	-----------

insect pests		nematodes	Field test	predators	
<i>Thereva handlirschi</i> , <i>T. valida</i> , <i>Rhagio notatus</i>	Sawfly, <i>Cephaleia abietis</i>	<i>Steinernema kraussei</i>	Lab	Did not infect the larvae of the predators	[52]
Earwig, <i>Labidura riparia</i>	<i>Spodoptera littoralis</i>	<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i> <i>S. carpocapsae</i>	Lab, Field	Only immature stages infected, Adult stages not infected	[26]
<i>Philonthus</i> sp.	Fly maggot, mite	<i>H. bacteriophora</i> <i>S. carpocapsae</i>	Lab	Adult was less susceptible than the 3rd larval instar	[48]
European earwig, <i>Furficula auricularia</i>	Aphid, Scale insects	<i>S. scapterisci</i>	Lab	Not infected	[55]
Carabid beetle <i>Bembidion properans</i> , <i>Pterostichus cupreus</i>	Pea weevil <i>Sitona lineatus</i>	<i>S. carpocapsae</i>	Field	No effect	[56]
<i>Harmonia axyridis</i>	Aphid, Scales, psyllids	<i>S. carpocapsae</i>	Lab	Causing temporary paralysis and death	[57]
Ladybugs <i>Coccinella undecimpunctata</i>	Aphid	<i>H. taysearae</i> <i>S. carpocapsae</i> S2	Lab	High mortality of the larvae of the predator	[54]
<i>Aphidoletis aphidimyza</i>	Aphid	<i>S. carpocapsae</i> , <i>S. feltiae</i> , <i>H. bacteriophora</i>	Lab	9-93% infection	[49]
<i>Coleomegilla maculata</i> , <i>Olla v-nigrum</i> , <i>Harmonia axyridis</i> , <i>Coccinella septempunctata</i>	Aphid	<i>H. bacteriophora</i> <i>S. carpocapsae</i>	Lab	Less impact on lady beetle populations	[58]
<i>Atheta coriaria</i>	Fungus gnats	<i>S. feltiae</i>	Lab	No impact	[59]
Twospotted lady beetle, <i>Adalia</i>	Aphids	<i>S. feltiae</i> , <i>S. carpocapsae</i> , <i>H. bacteriophora</i>	Lab	Up to 100% mortality	[60];[50]

<i>bipunctata</i> , Lacewing <i>Chrysoperla</i> <i>carnea</i>					
European earwig, <i>Furficula</i> <i>auricularia</i>	Aphid, scale insects	<i>S. carpocapsae</i>	Lab	Highly infected(84.3% Mortality)	[51]
<i>Chrysoperla</i> <i>zastrowi</i>	Mealybugs, Aphids, Thrips, Psyllids, Whiteflies	<i>H. bacteriophora</i>	Lab	Nematode did not affect egg-hatching and survival of larvae or adults of the predator	[61]
<i>Dalotia coriaria</i>	Western flower thrips, <i>Frankliniella occidentalis</i> , Fungus gnats <i>Bradysia</i> spp.	<i>H. bacteriophora</i> <i>S. feltiae</i> <i>S. carpocapsae</i> <i>S. riobrave</i>	Lab	Third instars were more susceptible than the adults	[62]
<i>Macrolophus</i> <i>pygmaeus</i> , <i>Nesidiocoris</i> <i>tenuis</i>	<i>Tuta absoluta</i>	<i>S. carpocapsae</i>	Pot	Not infected	[53]
Carabid beetle <i>Calosoma</i> <i>granulatum</i>	<i>Spodoptera</i> <i>frugiperda</i>	<i>H. amazonensis</i> RSC 5 , JPM 4	Lab	Safe	[63]
Green lacewing, <i>Chrysoperla</i> <i>carnea</i> Seven spotted lady beetle, <i>Coccinella</i> <i>septempunctata</i>	Pirate bug, <i>Orius</i> <i>albidipennis</i>	<i>S. carpocapsae</i> BA2, Sinai, Egypt, <i>S. carpocapsae</i> S2, Sinai, Egypt, <i>H.sp.</i> (D1),Dina Farmers, <i>S.feltiae</i> , <i>S. carpocapsae</i> All, <i>S. riobraevae</i> , <i>S. scabtarisci</i> , <i>S. glasseri</i> , <i>H. bacteriophora</i> HP88	Lab	Should avoid using concentrations above 100 IJs/ml of entomopathogenic nematodes during the peak of <i>C. carnea</i> and <i>C. septempunctata</i>	[64]

		<i>H. marilatus</i> MAR)			
<i>Coccinella septumpunctata</i> <i>Chrysoperla carnea</i>	<i>Spodoptera littoralis</i>	<i>H.bacteriophora</i> , <i>S. feltiae</i> , <i>S. carpocapsae</i>	Lab, Semi field	Low mortality	[65]
<i>Coccinella undecimpunctata</i>	Tortoise Beetle, <i>Cassida vittata</i>	<i>H.bacteriophora</i> H88 <i>S.carpocapsae</i> S2	Field	Safe	[66]

Most of the parasitoid larvae are not infected by EPNs within the host, but parasitoid larvae developing within infected hosts may be infected as they emerge from the host (Table2). Parasitoids cannot complete their development on nematode-infected hosts if parasitism occurs before or early after infection. The parasitoid females may avoid laying eggs in the infected hosts or sometimes cannot distinguish between healthy and infected hosts. Kaya [67] observed that older endoparasitoids were less affected than young parasitoids by EPNs. Only the caterpillars parasitized by braconid wasps that were exposed to nematodes for 12 and 24-48 h before adult emergence displayed high levels of adult survival. However, braconid larvae were more affected by nematode infection in lepidopterous hosts than were tachinids [68]. Once braconid larvae began cocoon spinning, there was no mortality. Wasps in fully formed cocoons were virtually immune to infection [69] as nematodes cannot penetrate. Though parasitoid larvae die when hosts are infected 2-3 d before wasp maturity, this appears to be a result of the death of the insect host and to nutrient depletion. The nematodes *S. carpocapsae* is somewhat compatible with wasp parasitoids for biological control of melonworms.

**Table 2: Effect of entomopathogenic nematodes on nontarget parasitoid of insect pests**

Parasitoids of insect pests	Insect pests	Entomopathogenic nematodes	Lab/Field test	Effect on parasitoid	Reference
Braconid larval parasitoid, <i>Apanteles militaris</i>	Armyworm, <i>Pseudaletia unipuncta</i>	<i>Neoaplectana carpocapsae</i> , <i>Heterorhabditis heliothidis</i>	Lab	Deleterious effects on larvae, but not cocoon	[67]; [70]
Ichneumonid ,braconid	Tomato hornworms, Cabbageworms	<i>N.carpocapsae</i>	Lab	Deleterious effects	[71]
<i>Olesicampe monticola</i>	Larch sawfly <i>Cephalcia lariciphila</i>	<i>N. carpocapsae</i>	Lab	Deleterious effects	[72]
Tachinid parasitoid, <i>Myxecoristops</i> sp.	Sawfly, <i>Cephaleia abietis</i>	<i>Steinernema kraussei</i>	Lab	Deleterious effects	[52]
Tachinid parasitoid, <i>Compsilura</i>	Armyworm	<i>N. carpocapsae</i>	Lab	Nematodes were unable to develop within	[68]

<i>concinata</i>				tachinid-parasitized hosts after the third day of parasitism by the parasitic insect	
Ichneumonid, <i>Xenoschesis fulvipes</i> , <i>Ctenopelma lucifer</i>	Spruce web-spinning sawfly, <i>Cephalcia arvensis</i>	<i>S.feltiae</i>	Field	66% reduction in emergence of <i>X. fulvipes</i>	[73]
Tachinid, <i>Ormia deplete</i>	Mole cricket, <i>Scapteriscus vicinus</i>	<i>S. scapterisci</i>	Lab	Not effected	[74]
<i>Trichogramma chilonis</i> , <i>T. japonicum</i>	<i>Corcyra cephalonica</i>	<i>H. indica</i>	Lab	Did not affect percent emergence	[75]
<i>Bracon hylobii</i>	<i>Hylobius abietis</i>	<i>S. carpocapsae</i> <i>H. downesi</i>	Field	Did not affect the natural populations	[76]
<i>Cardiochiles diaphaniae</i>	Melonworm <i>Diaphania hyalinata</i> , Pickleworm <i>D. nitidalis</i>	<i>S.carpocapsae</i>	Lab	Nematodes do not kill all parasitoids, the pupal stage is resistant to infection	[69]
Eulophid parasitoid wasp <i>Diglyphus begini</i>	Leafminer <i>Liriomyza trifolii</i>	<i>S.carpocapsae</i>	Lab	Adult <i>D. begini</i> not susceptible to nematode infection, but avoid ovipositing on nematode-infected larvae. However, the presence of nematodes in mines with wasp eggs decreased the chance of wasp survival to adulthood.	[77]
<i>Bracon hylobii</i>	Large Pine Weevil,	<i>H.downesi</i>	Lab	Reduction in cocoon	[78]

	<i>Hylobius abietis</i>			formation, emerging adults are killed	
<i>Trichogramma chilonis</i> , <i>T. japonicum</i>	<i>Corcyra cephalonica</i>	<i>H.bacteriophora</i>	Lab	Did not affect percent emergence	[61]
<i>Microplitis rufiventris</i>	Cotton Leafworm, <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i>	<i>H.bacteriophora</i> , <i>S.carpocapsae</i>	Lab	Safe	[79]
Braconid , <i>Diachasmimorpha longicaudata</i>	Caribbean fruit fly, <i>Anastrepha suspensa</i>	<i>H. bacteriophora</i>	Field	Did not affect on natural population	[80]

## Conclusion

With the increasing interest in use of EPNs as a biocontrol agent for pest control, the potential effects on non-targets beneficial insects are important and should be seriously taken into consideration. Hajek and Goettel, [81] stated that evaluation of entomopathogenic nematodes and other entomopathogens effects on nontarget organisms is an important yet relatively neglected area of study. A degree of caution may be advisable when purposely introducing EPNs to the environment as biological pest control agents, until more is known about their effects on beneficial invertebrates. Assessment of the impact of nematodes on predators and parasitoids should be made on all life stages exposed to nematodes of different species or strains along with their ecological studies. Research on the extent and impact of entomopathogenic nematodes on non-target invertebrates in the field, using commercial dosages of the nematodes, is essential to a proper evaluation of their environmental impact. Recommendations should be given by selective use of entomopathogenic nematodes on pest population enabling the predators or parasitoids to survive in untreated part. When predators or parasitoids are present on the plants in high number, entomopathogenic nematodes should not be recommended for use. Newly developed EPN products must be evaluated for biosafety and environmental impact as well as delivery system.

## References

1. Dillman AR, Sternberg PW. Entomopathogenic nematodes. *Curr Biol.* 2012;22(11):R430-1. DOI:10.1016/j.cub.2012.03.047x
2. Ehlers RU, Peters A. Entomopathogenic nematodes in biological control: feasibility, perspectives and possible risks. In: Hokkanen HMT, Lynch JM, editors. *Biological Control: Benefits and Risks.* Cambridge University Press. 1996; pp.119-135.
3. Hominick WM. Biogeography. In: Gaugler R. editors. *Entomopathogenic nematology.* Wallingford, UK, CABI Publishing. 2002; pp.115-143.
4. Georgis R. Present and future prospects for entomopathogenic nematode products. *Biocontrol Sci Techn.* 1992;2:83-99.
5. Goodrich-Blair H, Clarke DI. Mutualism and pathogenesis in *Xenorhabdus* and *Photorhabdus*: two roads to the same destination. *Molec Microbiol.* 2007; 64:260-268.
6. Begley JW. Efficacy against insects in habitats other than soil In: Gaugler R , Kaya HK, editors. *Entomopathogenic nematodes in biological control.* CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. 1990; pp.215-231.

7. Trdan S, Znidarcic D, Vidrih M. Control of *Frankliniella occidentalis* on glasshouse-grown cucumbers: an efficacy comparison of foliar application of *Steinernema feltiae* and spraying with abamectin. Russ J Nematol.2007; 15: 25-34 .
8. Laznik Z, Toth T, Lakatos T, Vidrih M, Trdan S. Control of the Colorado potato beetle (*Leptinotarsa decemlineata* [Say]) on potato under field conditions: a comparison of the efficacy of foliar application of two strains of *Steinernema feltiae* (Filipjev) and spraying with thiametoxam. J Plant Dis Prot.2010; 117: 129-135.
9. Laznik Z, Znidarcic DS, Trdan S. Control of *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* (Westwood) adults on glasshouse-grown cucumbers in four different growth substrates: an efficacy comparison of foliar application of *Steinernema feltiae* (Filipjev) and spraying with thiamethoxam. Turk J Agric For.2011; 35:631-640.
10. Hazir S, Kaya HK, Stock SP, Keskin N. Entomopathogenic nematodes (Steinernematidae and Heterorhabditidae) for biological control of soil pests. Turk.J.Bil. 2003; 27:181-202
11. Kaiser JM, Heimpel GE. Linking risk and efficacy in biological control host-parasitoid models. Biol Control. 2015; 90: 49-60. DOI:10.1016/j. biocontrol.2015.05.005
12. Coote T, Loeve E .From 61 species to five: endemic tree snails of the Society Islands fall prey to an ill-judged biological control programme. 2003; Oryx37(1):91-96.
13. Ehlers RU. Current and future use of nematodes in biocontrol: practice and commercial aspects with regard to regulatory policy issues. Biocontrol Sci Techn. 2010; 6:303-316. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09583159631299>
14. Peters A.The natural host range of *Steinernema* and *Heterorhabditis* spp. and their impact on insect populations. Biocontrol Sci Techn.1996; 6:389-402.
15. Akhurst RJ, Brooks WM.The distribution of entomophilic nematodes (Heterorhabditidae and Steinernematidae) in North Carolina. J Invertebr Pathol. 1984; 44(2):140-145.
16. Poinar GO.Jr. Non-insect hosts for the entomogenous rhabditoid nematodes *Neoalectana* (Steinernematidae) and *Heterorhabditis* (Heterorhabditidae). Revue de Nematologie. 1989;12:423-428.
17. Bathon H. Impact of entomopathogenic nematodes on non-target hosts. Biocontrol Sci Techn, 2010; 6: 421-434. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09583159631398>.
18. Piedra-Buena A, Lopez-Cepero J, Campos-Herrera R. Entomopathogenic nematode production and application: Regulation, ecological impact and non-target effects. In: Campos-Herrera R, editor, Nematode pathogenesis of insects and other pests DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-18266-7\_10. Springer.2015; pp.255-282.
19. Sandhi R, Reddy GVP. Effects of entomopathogenic nematodes and symbiotic bacteria on non-target arthropods. In: Microbes for Sustainable Insect Pest Management, Springer.2019;. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-23045-6\_9
20. Boemare NE, Laumond C, Mauleon H. The entomopathogenic nematode-bacterium complex: biology, life cycle and vertebrate safety. Biocontrol Sci Techn. 2010; 6:333-345.<https://doi.org/10.1080/09583159631316>.
21. Akhurst RJ. Safety to nontarget invertebrates of nematodes of economically important pests. In: Laird M, Lacey LA, Davidson EW, editors. Safety of Microbial Insecticides. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1990; pp. 233-240.
22. Akhurst R, Smith K. Regulation and safety. In: Gaugler R, editor. Entomopathogenic Nematology. CABI, New York, NY, 2002; pp. 311-332.

23. Downes M, Griffin C. Dispersal behavior and transmission strategies of the entomopathogenic nematodes *Heterorhabditis* and *Steinernema*. *Biocontrol Sci Techn.* 1996; 6(3):347-356. DOI: 10.1080/09583159631325
24. Ehlers RU, Hokkanen HTM. . Insect biocontrol with non-endemic entomopathogenic nematodes (*Steinernema* and *Heterorhabditis* spp.): Conclusions and recommendations of a combined OECD and COST workshop on scientific and regulatory policy issues. *Biocontrol Sci Techn.* 1996; 6:295-302.
25. Garriga A, Morton A, Garcia-Lopez D, Garcia-del-Pino F. Compatibility of entomopathogenic nematodes with natural enemies for horticultural pest control. *Biol Control.* 2019; 138, 104050. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2019.104050>
26. Georgis R, Kaya HK, Gaugler R. Effect of steinernematid and heterorhabditid nematodes (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae and Heterorhabditidae) on nontarget arthropods. *Environ Entomol.* 1991; 20:815-822.
27. Lynch LD, Thomas MB. Non target effects in the biocontrol of insects with insects, nematodes and microbial agents: The evidence. *Biocontrol News and Information.* 2000; 21:117-130.
28. Bathon H. Impact of entomopathogenic nematodes on nontarget hosts. *Biocontrol Sci Techn.* 1996; 6(3):421-434.
29. Nguyen KB, Smart GC, Jr. Pathogenicity of *Steinernema scapterisci* to selected invertebrates. *J Nematol.* 1991; 23:7-11.
30. Nuutinen V, Tyni-Juslin J, Vanninen I, Vainio A. The effects of four entomopathogenic fungi and an entomoparasitic nematode on the hatching of earthworm (*Aporrecto caliginosa*) cocoons in laboratory. *J Insect Pathol.* 1991; 58:147-19.
31. Patil J, Rajkumar, Subhaharan K. Survival of earthworms exposed to the entomopathogenic nematodes *Steinernema carpocapsae* and *Heterorhabditis indica*. *Indian J Nematol.* 2016; 46(1):33-39.
32. Capinera JL, Blue SL, Wheeler GS. Survival of earthworms exposed to *Neoaplectana carpocapsae* nematodes. *J Invertebr Pathol.* 1982; 39:419-421.
33. Shapiro DI, Berry EC, Lewis LC. Interactions between nematodes and earthworms: enhanced dispersal of *Steinernema carpocapsae*. *J Nematol.* 1993; 25:189-192.
34. Cantwell GE, Lehnert T, Fowler J. Are biological insecticides harmful to the honey bee? *Am. Bee J.* 1972; 112: 255-258.
35. Hackett KJ, Poinar Jr. GO. The ability of *Neoaplectana carpocapsae* Weiser (Steinernematidae: Rhabditoidea) to infect adult honey bees (*Apis mellifera*, Apidae: Hymenoptera). *Am Bee J.* 1973; 113:100.
36. Kaya HK, Marston JM, Lindegren JE, Peng YS. Low susceptibility of the honey bee, *Apis mellifera* L. (Hymenoptera: Apidae), to the entomogenous nematode, *Neoaplectana carpocapsae* Weiser. *Environ Entomol.* 1982; 11: 920-924.
37. Baur ME, Kaya HK, Peng YS, Jiang J. Nonsusceptibility of the honey bee, *Apis mellifera* (Hymenoptera: Apidae), to Steinernematid and Heterorhabditid nematodes. *J Nematol.* 1995; 27(3):378-381.
38. Erler S, Eckert JH, Steiner M., Alkassab AT. Impact of microorganisms and entomopathogenic nematodes used for plant protection on solitary and social bee pollinators: Host range, specificity, pathogenicity, toxicity, and effects of experimental parameters. *Environ Pollut.* 2022; 302:119051. [doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119051](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119051)

39. Zoltowska K, Lipinski Z, L.S, Lopienska E. Beneficial nematodes: a potential threat to honey bees? *Bee World*. 2003; 84(3): 125-129.
40. Taha EH, Abdelmegeed SM. Effect of entomopathogenic nematodes *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* on *Galleria mellonella* in bee hives of *Apis mellifera*. *J.Biol.Sci.* 2016;16: 197-201. [10.3923/jbs.2016.197.201](https://doi.org/10.3923/jbs.2016.197.201).
41. Younis MS, Fergani YA. Is it possible to use entomopathogenic nematodes inside honey bee, (*Apis mellifera* L.) colonies? *Egypt Acad J Biol Sci.*2020;13(1):25-31.
42. Shamseldean MM, El-Sadawy E, Allam SFM. Comparative safety of entomopathogenic nematodes on honeybee workers. *Egypt J. Biol. Pest Control.* 2004;14:147-153.
43. Ishibashi N, Young F, Nakashima M, Abiru C, Haraguchi N. Effects of application of DD-136 on silkworm, *Bombyx mori*, predatory insect, *Agriophodorus dorni*, parasitoid, *Trichomalus apanteloctenus*, soil mites, and other non-target soil arthropods, with brief notes on feeding behavior and predatory pressure of soil mites, tardigrades, and predatory nematodes on DD-136. In: Ishibashi N, editor. *Recent Advances in Biological Control of Insect Pests by Entomopathogenic Nematodes in Japan*. Ministry of Education, Culture and Science, Saga University, 1987; 158-164
44. Dutka A, McNulty A, Williamson SM. A new threat to bees? Entomopathogenic nematodes used in biological pest control cause rapid mortality in *Bombus terrestris*. 2015; *Peer J* 3:e1413; DOI [10.7717/peerj.1413](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1413)
45. Dong H, Yu Bi, Wang JL, Liu YQ, Wang H. Safety evaluation of four entomopathogenic nematode species against two silkworm species. *Entomol Res.* 2020; 50(3):155-162.
46. Harvey CD, Williams CD, Dillon AB, Griffin CT. Inundative pest control: How risky is it? A case study using entomopathogenic nematodes in a forest ecosystem. *For Ecol Manag.* 2016;380:242-251.
47. Gaugler R. Biological control potential of neoplectanid nematodes. *J.Nematol.* 1981; 13:241-249.
48. Georgis R, Hague NGM. Nematodes as biological insecticides. *Pestic Outlook.* 1991; 2: 29-32.
49. Powell JR, Webster JM. Interguild antagonism between biological controls: impact of entomopathogenic nematode application on an aphid predator, *Aphidoletes aphidimyza* (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae). *Biol Control.* 2004; 30(1): 110-118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2003.09.006>.
50. Rojht H, Kac M, Trdan S. Nontarget effect of entomopathogenic nematodes on larvae of twospotted lady beetle (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) and green lacewing (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) under laboratory conditions. *J Econ Entomol.* 2009; 102(4):1440-1443. <https://doi.org/10.1603/029.102.0406>
51. Hodson AK, Friedman ML, Wu LN, Lewis EE. European Earwig (*Forficula auricularia*) as a novel host for the entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema carpocapsae*. *J Invertebr Pathol.* 2011; 107, 60-64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2011.02.004>
52. Mracek Z, Spitzer K. Interaction of predators and parasitoids of the Sawfly, *Cephalcia abietis* (Pamphilidae: Hymenoptera) with its nematode *Steinernema kraussei*. *J Invertebr Pathol.* 1983; 42: 397-399. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2011\(83\)90181-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2011(83)90181-7).
53. Lopez DG. Susceptibility of predators and parasitoids used in biological control of *Tuta absoluta* to foliar application of *Steinernema carpocapsae*. 2015; <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/281742246>

54. Farag NA. Impact of two entomopathogenic nematodes on the ladybird, *Coccinella undecimpunctata* and its prey, *Aphis fabae*. Ann Agric Sci. 2002; 47:431-443.
55. Grewal PS, Gauglar R, Kaya HK, Wustly M. Infectivity of the Entomopathogenic Nematode, *Steinernema scapterisci* (Nematoda: Steinernematidae). J Invertebr Pathol. 1993; 62: 22-28. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jipa.1993.1069>.
56. Ropek D, Jaworska M. Effect of the entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema carpocapsae* Weiser (Nematoda, Steinernematidae) on carabid beetles in field trials with annual legumes. Anz. Schadlingskde, Pflanzenschutz, Umweltschutz, 1994;67(5):97-100
57. Lemire S, Coderre D, Vincent C, Belair G. Lethal and sublethal effects of the entomogenous nematode, *Steinernema carpocapsae*, on the coccinellid *Harmonia axyridis*. Nematropica 1996; 26:284-285.
58. Shapiro-Ilan DI, Cottrell TE. Susceptibility of lady beetles (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) to entomopathogenic nematodes. J Invertebr Pathol. 2005; 89:150–156. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2005.04.002>.
59. Jandricic S, Scott-Dupree CD, Broadbent AB, Harris CR, Murphy G. Compatibility of *Atheta coriaria* with other biological control agents and reduced-risk insecticides used in greenhouse floriculture integrated pest management Programs for Fungus Gnats. Can Entomol. 2006; 138(5): 712-722. <https://doi.org/10.4039/n05-106>
60. Rojht H. Non-target effect of entomopathogenic nematodes (Rhabditida) against selected predators of aphids (Aphididae). M.S. thesis, 2007; University of Ljubljana, Biotechnology Faculty, Ljubljana, Slovenia.
61. Lalitha Y, Nagesh M, Jalali SK. Intraguild predation and biosafety of entomopathogenic nematode, *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* Poinar et al., and its bacterial symbiont, *Photorhabdus luminescens*, to parasitoid, *Trichogramma chilonis* Ishii and Predator *Chrysoperla zastrowi sillemi* (Esben, Petersen). J Biol Control. 2012;26: 334-340.
62. Tourtois J, Grieshop MJ. Susceptibility of *Dalotia coriaria* (Kraatz) (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae) to Entomopathogenic Nematodes (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae and Steinernematidae). Insects, 2015; 6(1): 224-235. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects6010224>
63. Mertz NR, Sales FS, Agudelo AJ, Junior AM. Effects of entomopathogenic nematodes on the predator, *Calosoma granulatum* in the laboratory. J Insect Behav. 2015; 28: 312-327. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10905-015-9503-7>
64. Metwally HM, Sabry AH, Gaber NM. Biosafety of different entomopathogenic nematodes species on some insects natural enemies. Res J Pharm Biol Chem Sci. 2016; 7(6):1878-1883
65. Mona B, El-Mandarawy Basma AM, Gehan MN. Laboratory and semi-field evaluation of three entomopathogenic nematode species on two non-target insect predators, *Coccinella septempunctata* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) and *Chrysoperla carnea* (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae). Arch Phytopathol Plant Prot. 2018; 51(7-8):423-431. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03235408.2018.1477410>.
66. Anter MA, Mahmoud MM, El-Hassawy, Abou-Donia SA, Abdelmonem AE. Comparison between the effectiveness of certain insecticides and entomopathogenic nematodes against Tortoise Beetle, *Cassida vittata* (Vill.) in sugar beet fields and their side effects on *Coccinella undecimpunctata*. Egypt Acad J Biol Sci. F. Toxicology & Pest Control. 2020; 12(2): 277-287.c

67. Kaya HK. Interaction between *Neoaplectana carpocapsae* (Nematoda: Steinernematidae) and *Apanteles militaris* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), a parasitoid of the armyworm, *Pseudaletia unipuncta*. J Invertebr Pathol. 1978; 13: 358-364.
68. Kaya HK. Effect of the entomogenous nematode *Neoaplectana carpocapsae* on the Tachinid Parasite *Compsilura concinnata* (Diptera: Tachinidae). J Nematol. 1984; 16: 9-13.
69. Shannag HK, Capinera JL. Interference of *Steinernema carpocapsae* (Nematoda: Steinernematidae) with *Cardiochiles diaphaniae* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), a Parasitoid of Melonworm and Pickleworm (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). Environ Entomol. 2000; 29(3) 612-617. <https://doi.org/10.1603/0046-225X-29.3.612>
70. Kaya HK. Infectivity of *Neoaplectana carpocapsae* and *Heterorhabditis heliothidis* to pupae of parasite *Apanteles militaris*. J Nematol. 1978; 10: 241-244.
71. Kaya HK, Hotchkiss PG. The nematode *Neoaplectana carpocapsae* Weiser and its effect on selected ichneumonid and braconid parasites. Environ Entomol. 1981; 10: 474-478.
72. Georgis R, Hague NGM. Interactions between *Neoaplectana carpocapsae* (Nematoda) and *Olesicampe monticola*, a parasitoid of the larch sawfly *Cephalcia lariciphila*. IRCS Medical Science: Microbiol Parasitol Infect Dis. 1982; 10(7/8): 617.
73. Battisti A. Effects of entomopathogenic nematodes on the spruce web-spinning sawfly *Cephalcia arvensis* panzer and its parasitoids in the field. Biocontrol Sci Techn. 1994; 4(1):95-102. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09583159409355317>.
74. Parkman JP, Frank JH. Interactions between *Ormia depleta* (Diptera: Tachinidae) and *Steinernema scapterisci* (Nematoda: Steinernematidae), natural enemies of pest Mole Crickets (Orthoptera: Gryllotalpidae). Environ Entomol. 2002; 1:1226-1230. <https://doi.org/10.1603/0046-225X-31.6.1226>
75. Mohan S, Sabir N. Biosafety concerns on the use of *Photorhabdus luminescens* as biopesticide: experimental evidence of mortality in egg parasitoid *Trichogramma* spp. Curr Sci. 2005; 89(7):1268-1272.
76. Dillon, A.B., Moore, C.P., Downes, M.J. and Griffin, C.T. (2008) Managing populations of the Large Pine Weevil, *Hylobius abietis*, using bottom-up and top-down approach. Forest Ecological Management, 255: 2634-2642. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2008.01.021>.
77. Sher RB, Parrella MP, Kaya HK.. Biological control of the leafminer *Liriomyza trifolii* (Burgess): Implications for intraguild predation between *Diglyphus begini* Ashmead and *Steinernema carpocapsae* (Weiser). Biol Control. 2000; 17(2): 155-163. <https://doi.org/10.1006/bcon.1999.0794>
78. Everard A, Griffin CT, Dillon AB. Competition and intraguild predation between the Braconid Parasitoid *Bracon hylobii* and the Entomopathogenic Nematode *Heterorhabditis downesi*, natural enemies of the Large Pine Weevil, *Hylobius abietis*. Bull Entomol Res. 2009; 99:151-161. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485308006287.d>
79. Atwa AA, Hegazi AM, Khafagi WA, Abd El-Aziz GM. Interaction of the Koinobiont parasitoid *Microplitis rufiventris* of the Cotton Leafworm, *Spodoptera littoralis*, with two entomopathogenic Rhabditids, *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* and *Steinernema carpocapsae*. J Insect Sci. 2013; 13: 84-91. <https://doi.org/10.1673/031.013.8401>
80. Heve WK, Carrillo D, Kora FE, Dunkan LW. Increasing entomopathogenic nematode biodiversity reduces efficacy against the Caribbean Fruit Fly *Anastrepha suspensa*: Interaction with the Parasitoid *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata*. J Pest Sci. 2017; 91:799-813. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-017-0942-0>

81. Hajek AE, Goettel MS. Guidelines for evaluating effects of entomopathogens on non-target organisms. In: Lacey LA, Kaya HK, editors. *Field Manual of Techniques in Invertebrate Pathology*. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4020-5933-9\_40. Springer. 2007; pp.815-833.