

EFFECTS OF APPLICATION OF NIGHT SPLINT IN THE MANAGEMENT OF PLANTAR FASCIITIS

Abstract

Background: Plantar fasciitis is one of the most common causes of heel pain. The condition usually comes on gradually without any injury. Clinically there is pain and tenderness in the sole of the foot, mostly under the heel, with standing or walking. The diagnosis of patient with planter fasciitis is essentially clinical. Treatment options for planter fasciitis include rest, stretching, strengthening exercise, shoe modification, arch supports, orthotics, night splints, anti-inflammatory agents, and surgery. Although injections and **Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)** bring relief, their effects are often only temporary. Stretching of the calf and plantar fascia may provide some benefit. In chronic planter fasciitis, surgical treatment is only advocated where adequate conservative management fails.

Objective: The aim of this study is to evaluate the effects of the application of night splint in the management of plantar fasciitis.

Materials and Methods: This randomised clinical trial included 50 (fifty) patients with planter fasciitis who were seen in the Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation department of the National Institute of Traumatology and Orthopedic Rehabilitation (NITOR) in Dhaka. The patients were divided randomly into two groups, Group A and Group B. Patients in Group A were treated with NSAID, ADL and Night splint, whereas Group B patients were treated with NSAID and ADL. The patients were evaluated clinically, and data was collected from both groups in a pre-designed data collection sheet for Pain score, Tenderness index, and Visual analogue scale in every 2 weeks interval from the first visit for up to 6 weeks. All the data were analyzed by SPSS version 16.1.

Results: The present study showed pain and tenderness were significantly improved in Group A, who were treated with Night Splint, NSAID & ADL instructions than in Group B who were treated with NSAID & ADL instructions after 6 weeks (P<0.05).

Conclusion: Night splint is an easy, non-surgical and cost-effective one which can be applied with other modalities. Night Splint, along with NSAIDs and ADL instructions, is more effective in the reduction of pain and other symptoms in a patient with planter fasciitis.

Keywords: Planter Fasciitis, pain, Night Splint, ADL.

INTRODUCTION

Plantar fasciopathy (PF) is characterized by discomfort and anatomical abnormalities at the plantar fascia's proximal insertion in the os calcis¹. It is a common musculoskeletal injury that affects people of all ages and levels of activity². It is often caused by overuse of the plantar fascia or arch tendon of the foot. There is pain and tenderness in the sole of the foot, mostly under the heel, with standing or walking³. Plantar fasciitis is a common problem without known etiology. Sometimes there is a history of sudden increase in sporting activity like running and long distance walking and whose occupation requires prolonged weight-bearing or a change of footwear or running surface. The condition is more likely to occur in persons, especially in middle-aged, obese and who are on their feet most of the day^{4,5}. Changes in the collagen matrix of the plantar fascia are the patho-physiological basis of this condition⁵.

The diagnosis of a patient with planter fasciitis is essentially clinical. Treatment of planter fasciitis includes rest, stretching, strengthening exercise, shoe modification, arch supports, orthotics, night splints, anti-inflammatory agents and surgery. Although injections and NSAIDs bring relief, their effects are often temporary. Therapeutic exercises and orthotics to correct the biomechanical faults in athletes bring better results⁶. Stretching of the calf and plantar fascia may provide some benefit. Surgical treatment is only advocated in chronic planter fasciitis where adequate conservative management fails.

OBJECTIVE

The aim of this study is to evaluate the effects of application of night splint in the management of plantar fasciitis.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

The current study was conducted on 50(fifty) patients (who met the selection criteria) with planter fasciitis who were attending the Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation department of the National Institute of Traumatology and Orthopedic Rehabilitation (NITOR), Dhaka for six months from April 1st, 2013 to September 30th, 2013 in a randomised clinical trial. The patients were divided into two groups (Group A and Group B). Each group consisted of 25 patients. The pre-designed semi structured questionnaire was used for all cases. Assessment included clinical findings, planter fasciitis characteristics, impairments and functional abilities. Group A patients provided with Night splint along with NSAID & ADL instructions and Group B patients received NSAID treatment & ADL instructions. Data was collected from both groups in a pre – designed data collection sheet from the first visit. Further data was collected from each patient every two weeks from the first visit for up to 6 weeks. Methods of assessment were Visual analogue scale (VAS) on Pain, Tenderness index, and pain scale. There were 3 visits and these evaluations were always performed by the same examiner

Inclusion Criteria

- Patients suffering from planter fasciitis during the period of six months.
- Those who gave consent and participated to fill up questionnaire.

Exclusion Criteria

- Those who refused to provide inform consent & interview.
- Do not agreed to do baseline investigations.

Statistical analysis

SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Science) version 16 was used to enter data into the computer. SPSS was used to conduct the statistical analysis. The significance level was considered a "P" value less than 0.05 and double-checked before analysis.

RESULT

A total of 50 patients were enrolled in this study. Half (n=25) of the patients were provided with Night splint along with NSAID & ADL instructions, referred as Group A patients and the rest of the patients, who received NSAID treatment & ADL instructions referred to as Group B patients. Table-1 shows mean pain score of pretreatment in group-A was $14.31(\pm 2.33)$, and $13.40(\pm 1.68)$ were in group-B, the p value was ($p > 0.05$) that was not statistically significant. Mean pain score in first follow up $10.80(\pm 1.43)$ were in group-A and $10.48(\pm 1.42)$ were in group-B. P value was ($p > 0.05$), that was statistically not significant. That mean group-A is significantly better than group-B in first follow up but not different in pretreatment (Fig-1).



Figure -1: Locally made planter fasciitis night splint

Table-1: Mean pain score (0-4) at pre-treatment and 1st follow up of the study population (N=50)

Group	Pre-treatment Mean (\pm SD)	1 st Follow up Mean (\pm SD)	P value
Group-A	14.31(\pm 2.33)	10.80(\pm 1.43)	0.06
Group-B	13.40(\pm 1.68)	10.48(\pm 1.42)	0.35

Table-2 shows mean pain score of 2nd follow up in group-A were 8.02(\pm 1.31) and 8.65(\pm 1.31) were in group-B, p value was ($p < 0.05$), that was statistically significant. Mean pain score in 3rd follow up 4.68(\pm 1.58) were in group-A and 6.74(\pm 1.89) were in group-B. p value was ($p < 0.05$), that was statistically significant (Fig-2). That mean group-A is significantly better than group-B in 2nd and 3rd followed up.




Figure-2: Mean pain score at pre-treatment, 1st follow up, 2nd and 3rd follow up according of the study population (N=50)

Table-2: Mean pain score at 2nd and 3rd follow up of the study population (N=50).

Group	2 nd Follow Up	3 rd Follow Up	P value
	Mean (\pm SD)	Mean (\pm SD)	
Group-A	8.02(\pm 1.31)	4.68(\pm 1.58)	0.04
Group-B	8.65(\pm 1.31)	6.74(\pm 1.89)	<0.001

Tenderness index (table-3, Fig-3) was 2.20 ± 0.76 in group A and 2.20 ± 0.61 in group B at their pre treatment observations. Tenderness indexes were improved significantly ($p < 0.05$) in their second follow up at the end of 4th week. However, the differences between groups were highly significant ($p < 0.001$) at their 6th weeks follow ups. Significant difference at the end of 4th week and highly significant difference between group A & B: where group A noticed far improvement at the end of 4th and 6th weeks (t-test) was seen ($P < 0.001$).

Table-3: Mean Tenderness index at different time period

Time Period	Group A (n=25) Mean \pm SD	Group B (n=25) Mean \pm SD	P value
Tenderness index pre treatment score	2.20(0.76)	2.20(0.61)	1
Tenderness index score at 2nd week	1.40(0.49)	1.30(0.46)	0.42
Tenderness index score at 4th week	0.80(0.61)	1.10(0.30)	0.01
Tenderness index score at 6th week	0.10(0.30)	0.70(0.46)	<0.001




Figure-3: Mean Tenderness index at different time period.

Table-4: VAS on pain before treatment, after 2th, 4th and 6th weeks.

Time Period	Group A Mean \pm SD	Group B Mean \pm SD	P value
VAS score at pre treatment	7.10(1.32)	7.30(1.11)	0.53
VAS score at 2nd week	5.30(1.29)	5.60(1.13)	0.34
VAS score at 4th week	2.50(1.30)	3.0(1.43)	0.16
VAS score at 6th week	0.20(0.40)	1.10(0.71)	<0.001

The mean patient's assessment of pain on a 0-10 visual analogue scale (VAS) was 7.10 ± 1.32 in Group A and 7.30 ± 1.11 in Group B on their respective treatment modalities (table-4). Pain scores on VAS scale after 2, 4 and 6 weeks showed progressive improvement on both group A and B. However, statistically highly significant ($p < 0.005$) improvement was observed at 6th week of management among patient of Group A, who received Night splint along with NSAID and followed ADL instructions (table-4). Group A patient showed more improvement than Group B patient (<0.001).




Figure-4: VAS on pain before treatment, after 2th, 4th and 6th weeks

UNDER PEER REVIEW

DISCUSSION

The primary purpose of this study was to assess the effect of night splint on plantar fasciitis. This randomized clinical trial study was performed in the Department of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, NITOR, Dhaka. An evaluation was made before the treatment and every 14 days interval. There were 3 visits and these evaluations were always performed by the same examiner. Patient's assessment of pain on VAS scale was 7.10 ± 1.32 and 7.03 ± 1.11 in group A and B, respectively ($P=0.53$) on pre treatment. At the end of 2nd week, VAS scale was 5.30 ± 1.29 and 5.60 ± 1.13 in group A and B respectively ($P=0.34$). After 4th week, no significant improvement was observed between the groups ($P < 0.16$). But after the end of 6th week, significant improvement of symptoms of both the groups was found. However, the improvement among group A patients is significantly higher than that of group B patients ($P < 0.001$). In a very similar study; Beyzadeoğlu T, Gökçe A, Bekler H noticed that there were no significant differences between the two groups with regard to the initial VAS scores, patients using a night splint exhibited significantly higher improvements in both scores at the end of the sixth week⁷. In the present study we have found tenderness index $2.20 \pm .76$ in group A and $2.20 \pm .61$ in group B on pre treatment period. After 2nd week it was $1.40 \pm .490$ and $1.30 \pm .46$ in group A and B respectively, then $0.80 \pm .61$ and $1.10 \pm .30$ after 4th and $0.10 \pm .30$ and $0.70 \pm .46$ after 6th week. Significant difference after 4nd week and highly significant difference after 6th week was seen ($P = < 0.001$) between group A (Night splint+ NSAID + ADL instruction) and group B (NSAID+ ADL instruction). In a study conducted by Ahmed H. Alghadir showed that planter fasciitis tenderness index was significantly lowered at the end of six week with the treatment of night splint with other modalities⁸.

In the present study mean pain score of pretreatment in group-A were $14.31(\pm 2.33)$ and $13.40(\pm 1.68)$ were in group-B, p value was ($p > 0.05$) that was not statistically significant. Mean pain score in first follow up $10.80(\pm 1.43)$ were in group-A and $10.48(\pm 1.42)$ were in group-B. P value was ($p > 0.05$), that was statistically not significant. That mean group-A is significantly better than group-B in first follow up but not different in pretreatment. Powell et al. discovered that just one month of wearing the night splint was enough to reduce pain by 88 percent in 37 patients with chronic plantar fasciitis⁸. As a result, based on the available information, it appears that a night splint should be used for 1 to 3 months to get adequate symptom relief⁹.

Currently, studies showing the effects of night splint in reduction of pain on planter fasciitis in our country are limited. Despite this, external device like night splint can be a preferable modality for the treatment of patients of planter fasciitis. A night splint can be made locally with low cost materials so that most of our poor people can afford to buy that. According to the findings of our research, addition of Night splint to NSAID and ADL instruction in patients with planter fasciitis is superior to NSAID+ ADL instruction in improving pain and functional performance.

The study has some limitations. The sample size included in the study was small, the study duration was short and there was no long term follow up. We could not incorporate all the demography data of patients here. Also the different modalities of treatment used to treat plantar fasciitis (orthoses, stretching exercise, foot wear etc.) cannot be measured as we only use night splint.

CONCLUSION

In Bangladesh number of planter fasciitis patient become increasing day by day though we have little statistics about it. In spite of getting conventional treatment such as NSAIDs, thermotherapy, exercise,

wearing soft soled shoe, heel pad; some patients do not get better result, & recurrence of attack occurs. Besides this treatment application of night splint has found much improvement. It is an easy, non surgical and cost effective one which can be applied with other modalities. Night Splint along with NSAIDs and ADL instructions is more effective in reduction of pain and other symptoms in patient with planter fasciitis.

Ethical Approval:

As per international standard or university standard written ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

Consent

As per international standard or university standard, patients' written consent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

RECOMMENDATION

1. A more elaborate, multi-centered, long term, prospective study with relatively larger sample size may provide more information on the future prospect of night splint in the management of planter fasciitis.
2. As night splint is a less expensive, non surgical and easily operable external device, it could be readily adopted in the hospitals with rehabilitation services available. Follow up study for longer duration after night splint application can be done for better management of planter fasciitis patient. Further study with standardized protocol of only night splint modality can be organized in different hospitals having Physiatric specialty.

COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

REFERENCES:

1. Monteagudo, M., de Albornoz, P. M., Gutierrez, B., Tabuenca, J., & Álvarez, I. (2018). Plantar fasciopathy: A current concepts review. *EFORT open reviews*, 3(8), 485–493. <https://doi.org/10.1302/2058-5241.3.170080>
2. Rhim, H. C., Kwon, J., Park, J., Borg-Stein, J., & Tenforde, A. S. (2021). A Systematic Review of Systematic Reviews on the Epidemiology, Evaluation, and Treatment of Plantar Fasciitis. *Life (Basel, Switzerland)*, 11(12), 1287. <https://doi.org/10.3390/life11121287>
3. Marx, J. A., Hockberger, R. S., Walls, R. M., Adams, J., & Rosen, P. (2010). *Rosen's*

emergency medicine: Concepts and clinical practice. Philadelphia: Mosby/Elsevier.

4. Sheon RP et al Planter fasciitis and other causes of heel and sole pain. <http://www.uptodate.com/home/index.html> Jan. 27 2011. (October 27 2013)
5. Sharma NK, Singh G, “Splinting and Stretching for Plantar Fasciitis Pain”, *Journal of Lower Extremity Review*, Oct 10, Vol 2 (10), 2010, 225 –27.
6. Digiovanni, B. F., Nawoczenski, D. A., Malay, D. P., Graci, P. A., Williams, T. T., Wilding, G. E., & Baumhauer, J. F. (2006). Plantar fascia-specific stretching exercise improves outcomes in patients with chronic plantar fasciitis. A prospective clinical trial with two-year follow-up. *The Journal of bone and joint surgery. American volume*, 88(8), 1775–1781. <https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.E.01281>.
7. Plantar fasciitis and bone spurs. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. <http://orthoinfo.aaos.org/topic.cfm?topic=A00149>. Accessed Feb. 2, 2011.
8. Cole, C., Seto, C., & Gazewood, J. (2005). Plantar fasciitis: evidence-based review of diagnosis and therapy. *American family physician*, 72(11), 2237–2242.
9. McPoil, T. G., Martin, R. L., Cornwall, M. W., Wukich, D. K., Irrgang, J. J., & Godges, J. J. (2008). Heel pain--plantar fasciitis: clinical practice guidelines linked to the international classification of function, disability, and health from the orthopaedic section of the American Physical Therapy Association. *The Journal of orthopaedic and sports physical therapy*, 38(4), A1–A18. <https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2008.0302>.