

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

**PHYSICO-CHEMICAL AND, NUTRITIONAL PROPERTIES OF UNFERMENTED AND  
FERMENTED ROSELLE (*HIBISCUS SABDARIFFA* LINN) SEEDS.**

**ABSTRACT**

The aim of this study was to investigate the Physicochemical and nutritional properties of unfermented and fermented Roselle seeds. *Datou* is a product of the traditional alkaline fermentation of *Hibiscus sabdariffa* seeds consumed as food condiment in many African countries including Mali. However, no physicochemical and nutritional data has been reported on fermented Roselle seeds made in Mali. The study was conducted in the faculty of sciences and techniques between June 2021 and February 2022.

The unfermented and fermented Roselle seeds were used to determine their contents in moisture, ash, fat, crude proteins carbohydrate, fatty acids, amino acids and minerals. The results showed that the studied unfermented seeds were composed 27.32% of crude proteins, 20.83% of crude lipids, 39.23% of carbohydrates and the fermented seeds showed 21.70% for proteins, 18.64% for crude lipids and 47.42% for carbohydrates.

The most predominant inorganic elements were found to be potassium, magnesium, calcium and sodium in all samples, but in different orders. Phosphorus was relatively low in all samples, however, the fermented compared to the unfermented seeds showed the highest content.

The fatty acids composition analysis showed the high differences in unsaturated compared to the saturated. The most predominant unsaturated fatty acid in both samples was found to be oleic acid.

The nutritional parameters were estimated based on the amino acids composition of our both samples. The results suggested that our samples have high nutritional quality. Based on the results obtained from this study we can conclude that unfermented and fermented Roselle seeds could be a good source for protein deficient consumers as well as a potential food ingredient

*Keywords: unfermented-fermented-Roselle-seeds, physicochemical, minerals, fatty-acids-amino-acids-compositions, nutritional-parameters*

**1. INTRODUCTION**

*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L. also known as Roselle, sorrel mesta belongs to the family of Malvaceae. The origin of *H. sabdariffa* is not fully known, but it is believed to be native of tropical Africa. The plant is widely distributed in the tropical regions, especially in the Middle Eastern countries [1], and “it is generally considered as a medicinal plant. The calyces or petals of the flower are extensively used to prepare herbal drinks, cold and warm beverages, as well as making jams and jellies” [2, 3]. Traditional fermented foods take a significant place in the African nutrition.

49 “They improve the population nutritional state for their nutritive values (proteins, minerals,  
50 vitamins). Datou is a food condiment obtained by a traditional uncontrolled fermentation of *H.*  
51 *sabdariffa* seeds in African countries, including Burkina Faso, Mali Niger, Nigeria, Cameroon  
52 and Sudan among others. It is also known as dawadawa botso (Niger), Datou (Mali),  
53 Furundu (Sudan), Mbuja (Cameroon)” [4, 5].

54

55 “Plant proteins are extensively recognized as an important source of affordable protein. In  
56 many African countries foods from animal sources are mainly consumed by households of  
57 higher socio-economic status and majority of the population does hardly access these foods  
58 due to poverty” [2].

59

60 Roselle is rich in protein and abundant in many countries (Africa and Asia). Besides that, in  
61 recognition of the worldwide need for cheaper protein sources for low-income groups in  
62 developing countries, there have been efforts to develop low-cost protein of plant origin.  
63 Previous studies showed that Roselle seeds could be used as a potential source of proteins  
64 and oil [6, 7].

65

66 “The physicochemical and nutritional proprieties of fermented Roselle seeds have not been  
67 documented as compared with the unfermented seeds. Literature indicated that Roselle  
68 whole seeds powder from other countries contained high amounts of protein, oil and  
69 carbohydrate” [4, 8]. However, no physicochemical and nutritional data has been reported on  
70 fermented Roselle seeds made in Mali. Therefore, the objectives of this study were to  
71 investigate the effects of the traditional uncontrolled alkaline fermentation on the  
72 physicochemical properties of Roselle seeds; that include proximate composition, minerals,  
73 fatty acids, and estimation of the nutritional parameters based on their amino acids  
74 composition.

75

## 76 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

77

### 78 **2.1 Materials**

79

80 Fermented and unfermented Seeds of *Hibiscus Sabdariffa* were obtained from Bamako-  
81 Republic of Mali and the samples were transported to the laboratory for identification and  
82 analysis. All the chemicals were obtained from the commercial source and were of analytical  
83 grade quality.

84

### 85 **2.2 Proximate chemical composition**

86

87 The proximate analysis of unfermented Roselle seed (UFRS) and fermented Roselle seed  
88 (FRS) were determined according to AOAC [9]. The moisture content was determined by  
89 drying in an oven at 105 °C until a constant weight was obtained. Ash was determined by  
90 weighing the incinerated residue obtained at 550 °C for 8-12 hours. Total crude protein  
91 content was determined using the Kjeldahl method. The total lipid content in samples was  
92 determined by Soxhlet method. Available carbohydrates were calculated as 100% - [%  
93 (moisture +ash + fat + protein)].

94

### 95 **2.3 Minerals composition**

96

97 Samples were digested in 100 mL micro-Kjeldahl flask with HNO<sub>3</sub>/HClO<sub>4</sub> until the solution  
98 became colourless. The samples were cooled and diluted to volume in a 25 mL volumetric  
99 flask with 0.1 [M] HCl. Sodium, potassium, calcium, magnesium, iron and zinc, were  
100 measured by atomic absorption spectrophotometry, [10], modified by Tounkara et al.[2];

101 using a Varian spectra atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Varian SpectraAA220, Varian,  
102 Palo Alto, CA).  
103

## 104 **2.4 Fatty acid analysis**

105  
106 Fatty acids for the UFRS and FRS were determined according to the method of Ceirwyn  
107 [11]. Fat was extracted with methyl ether that was prepared directly with the treatment of the  
108 fat with sodium methoxide. Gas chromatography/mass spectra (GC/MS) system was used to  
109 identify and quantify the fatty acids of the product developed on a FINNIGAN TRACE MS  
110 gas chromatograph/mass spectra equipped with a 30 m x 0.25 mm Ov-1701 column.  
111 Column flow rate was 0.8 mL min<sup>-1</sup> with helium as the carrier gas, split was 64 mL min<sup>-1</sup> and  
112 the source temperature was 270 °C. The fatty acid methyl esters were identified by  
113 comparison with the retention times of NU CHECK Inc. standards (Elysian, 1L) and  
114 quantified by internal normalization.  
115

116

## 117 **2.5 Amino acids analysis**

118

119 The dried samples were digested with HCl (6 mol L<sup>-1</sup>) at 110 °C for 24 hours under nitrogen  
120 atmosphere. Reversed phase high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) analysis  
121 was carried out in Agilent 1100 (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, U.S.A.) assembly  
122 system after precolumn derivatization with o-phthalaldehyde (OPA) [12]. Each sample (1  
123 µL) was injected on a Zorbax 80 A C18 column (i.d. 4.6x180 mm, Agilent Technologies, Palo  
124 Alto, CA, U.S.A.) at 40 °C with detection at 338 nm. Mobile phase A was 7.35 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>  
125 sodium acetate/triethylamine/tetrahydrofuran (500:0.12:2.5, v/v/v), adjusted to pH 7.2 with  
126 acetic acid, while mobile phase B (pH 7.2) was 7.35 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> sodium  
127 acetate/methanol/acetonitrile (1:2:2, v/v/v). The amino acid composition was expressed as g  
128 of amino acid per 100 g of protein.  
129

130

## 131 **2.6 Protein nutritional parameters**

132

133 The nutritional parameters of FRS and UFRS were calculated using their amino acid  
134 composition including: (1) Proportion of essential amino acids (E) to the total amino acids (T)  
135 of the proteins. (2) Amino acid score (AAS) = (mg of amino acid per g of test protein/mg of  
136 amino acid per g of standard protein) ×100. The FAO/WHO/UNU reference pattern of  
137 essential amino acid requirements (g 100g<sup>-1</sup> of protein) was used as the standard. (3)  
138 Predicted Protein Efficiency Ratio (PER) values. The predicted PER values of FRS and  
139 UFRS were estimated by three regression equations developed by [13].

139 I. PER = - 0.684 +0.456(Leu) - 0.047(Pro)

140 II. PER = -0.468 + 0.454(Leu) - 0.105(Tyr)

141 III. PER = -1.816 + 0.435(Met) + 0.780(Leu) + 0.211(His) - 0.944(Tyr).  
142

142

## 143 **2.7 Statistical analysis**

144

145 All experiments were conducted at least in triplicate with SPSS software (version 16.0, the  
 146 predictive analytics company, Chicago, U.S.A.). The data were subjected to a one way  
 147 analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Duncan's multiple range test.

148

### 149 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

150

#### 151 3.1 Proximate chemical composition

152

153 Preliminary studies were conducted to assess the major chemical composition of the studied  
 154 samples. The unfermented Roselle seed (UFRS) and fermented Roselle seed (FRS) flours  
 155 were analyzed for moisture, crude protein, crude fat and ash using AOAC[9] and  
 156 carbohydrate, which was determined as the remaining fraction. The results are shown in  
 157 Table 1. The moisture content of all the tested samples was low; but the highest value was  
 158 observed in FRS which can be attributed to the processing method. The URSF contained  
 159 27.32% and 39.23% of protein and carbohydrates respectively. The fat content was 20.83%  
 160 for UFRS. Moreover, the fermented RSF contained 21.70% and 47.42% of protein and  
 161 carbohydrates respectively. The fat content was 18.64% for FRS. The results showed that  
 162 the carbohydrate content increased, moreover the crude protein decreased in FRS. "The  
 163 results of our study were within the range reported for other samples studied" [4, 14]. "Other  
 164 researchers found that the carbohydrates were mainly composed of dietary fibers" [15].  
 165

166 **Table 1. Proximate chemical composition (%) of fermented and unfermented Roselle**  
 167 **Seeds**

Samples	Parameters (%)				
	Protein(%N x 6.25)	Moisture	Fat	Ash	Carbohydrate
FRS	21.70±0.2	6.96±0.1	18.64±0.32	5.25±0.1	47.42±0.5
UFRS	27.32±0.39	8.15±0.1	20.83±0.5	4.47±0.11	39.23±0.60

168

169 All values are Means and standard deviations of three replicates. FRS: Fermented Roselle seeds,  
 170 UFRS: Unfermented Roselle seeds. %N: Nitrogen Percentage

171

#### 172 3.2 Fatty acid analysis

173

174 "Fatty acid composition of the oil extracted from Roselle seeds is given in Table 2. The oil of  
 175 FRS showed considerable fat content even when compared with oil seeds; with around 70%  
 176 of unsaturated fatty acids. Oleic and linoleic acids were the highest fatty acids, and  
 177 accounted for 40.29 and 22.57% in FRS; 36.9 and 35.02% in UFRS. However, arachidic  
 178 acid had the lowest levels among the unsaturated fatty acids (0.47 and 0.67%) respectively  
 179 for FRS and UFRS. Whereas, palmitic acid (19.21% UFRS; 12.34 FRS) was the highest  
 180 among the saturated fatty acids content. The results of fatty acids composition confirmed the  
 181 high shear in unsaturated fatty acids, indicating the nutritional benefit of our studied samples.  
 182 Linoleic acid had beneficial effect on blood lipids, lowering blood pressure and serum  
 183 cholesterol" [16, 17]. These results are in good agreement with the findings reported by [18,  
 184 19].  
 185

186

187

188 **Table 2. Comparative Fatty acid profiles of Fermented and unfermented Roselle seeds (%)**

Fatty acid	FRS	UFRS
	%	
<b>Saturated</b>		
Palmitic acid	12.34	19.21
Stearic acid	16.35	5.13
Arachidic acid	0.47	0.67
Total	29.16	25.01
<b>Unsaturated</b>		
Oleic acid	40.29	36.90
Linoleic	22.57	35.02
Alpha-linolenic acid	2.33	1.85
Total	69.19	73.77

189 FRS: Fermented Roselle seeds, UFRS: Unfermented Roselle seeds

190

191

### 192 3.3 Minerals

193

194 The mineral composition of FRS and UFRS seeds is presented in Table 3. The mineral  
195 compositions of Roselle seeds fermented and unfermented were found to contain  
196 respectively between 2182.83 and 1470.69  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  calcium and 128.83 and 114.72  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$   
197 zinc. In general, the results showed that fermenting decreased the concentration of almost  
198 all the mineral elements investigated in this study, except iron and phosphorus. However,  
199 the predominant elements in the studied samples, were potassium, magnesium, calcium  
200 and sodium but; in different orders for our both samples. The FRS showed calcium as the  
201 major predominant element followed by potassium, Magnesium and sodium. Therefore, the  
202 UFRS showed potassium as the major predominant element followed by magnesium,  
203 calcium and sodium. Phosphorus was relatively low in all the samples studied. Mineral  
204 elements were reported to be significantly influenced by variety, location and environmental  
205 conditions [8, 18]. These factors may be responsible for different variations exhibited by the  
206 current and previous values of our samples. [19]. reported K, Mg, Na and Ca to be the major  
207 predominant elements in Roselle seeds. Similar work was also reported by Hainida et al  
208 (2008) [8]. In their study on nutritional and amino acid contents of differently treated Roselle  
209 seeds.

210

211

212

213

214

215 **Table 3. Minerals composition of the FRS and UFRS powders ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ).**

Elements	FRS		UFRS
	µg g <sup>-1</sup>		
Calcium (Ca)	1470.69±32.13		2182.83±65.34
Phosphorus (P)	9.97±0.12±0.10		8.81±0.10
Potassium (K)	1114.54±1.02		20341.67±1.04
Iron (Fe)	105.45±5.10		93.78±6.50
Zinc (Zn)	114.72±1.05		128.83±1.04
Magnesium (Mg)	1009.55±27.13		5433.33±131.2
Sodium (Na)	256.95±2.14		489.33±3.92

216 All values are Means and standard deviations of three replicates. FRS: Fermented Roselle seeds,  
217 UFRS: Unfermented Roselle seeds.

218

219

### 220 3.4 Amino acids composition

221

222 The nutritional value of food products is based on their amino acid composition. In order to  
223 determine the nutritional values of the samples, amino acid compositional analysis was  
224 carried out. Apparently, the fermented and the unfermented Roselle seed were observed to  
225 have similar amino acid composition. The results of the amino acids tests were shown in  
226 Table 4. Glutamic acid was the major amino acid in nearly all the both samples. In general,  
227 **arginine and aspartic acid** were predominant in all the samples. Roselle is considered to be  
228 related to okra and results from this study on amino acid composition of Roselle seed  
229 proteins were in agreement with the finding of [14] for okra seeds. According to [20] high  
230 levels of albumin will elevate sulfur-containing amino-acids. In the Roselle seeds, the  
231 albumin content is lower when compared to globulin [2, 7, 21]. This might explain the low  
232 values of cysteine and methionine found in our studied samples (Table 4).

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255  
256  
257  
258

**Table 4. Comparative Amino acids profiles of Fermented and unfermented Roselle seeds (g 100g<sup>-1</sup> of protein).**

Amino acids	FRS	UFRS	FAO /WHO (Child (Adult)
g 100g <sup>-1</sup>			
<b>Essential amino acids</b>			
Lysine	4.66	4.91	4.8 (4.5)
Valine	5.26	5.56	2.9 (3.9)
Phenylalanine	5.52	5.25	
Histidine	2.45	2.40	1.6 (1.5)
Leucine	7.86	8.06	6(5.9)
Isoleucine	4.36	4.19	3(3)
Tryptophan	0.07	0.085	0.66 (0.6)
Méthionine	2.35	2.40	
Thréonine	3.83	3.53	2.5 (2.3)
<b>Non-essential amino acids</b>			
Arginine	12.05	11.85	
Alanine	4.46	4.36	
Aspartic acid	9.78	9.74	
Cysteine	0.85	0.83	
Glycine	3.74	3.68	
Glutamic acid	19.87	19.93	
Prolyne	4.06	4.12	
Serine	4.68	4.70	
Tyrosine	3.03	2.97	

259 FRS: Fermented Roselle seeds, UFRS: Unfermented Roselle seeds, The FAO/WHO/UNU (Child  
260 (Adult ) reference pattern of essential amino acid requirements (g 100g<sup>-1</sup> of protein) was  
261 used to compare the protein quality of our studied samples.  
262  
263

### 264 3.5 Nutritional parameters

265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274

“Protein is one of essential nutrients in the human diet. Both the amount and quality of protein provided by a food are important. The protein quality, also known as the nutritional or nutritive value, depends on the level at which essential amino acids needed for overall body health and growth” [22]. Since a direct assessment of protein nutritional value in human subjects is impractical for regulatory purposes, methods based on *in vitro* and *in vivo* bioassays for assessment of protein quality have been developed. In our study, amino acid composition has been used as a basis for estimating the nutritional quality of the fermented and unfermented Roselle seeds. Results of the ratio of essential to total amino acids (E/T), amino acid score (AAS) and protein efficiency ratio (PER) of the fermented and unfermented

275 Roselle seeds are shown in Table 5. In all samples the ratio of essential to total amino acids  
 276 (E/T) was higher than the pattern recommended by FAO/WHO/UNU (at least 36%)[23], and  
 277 fermented Roselle seeds had the highest ratio with 36.58%. In general, the protein efficiency  
 278 ratio below 1.5 implies a protein of low or poor quality, while PER between 1.5 and 2.0  
 279 indicates an intermediate protein quality and then PER above 2.0 means protein of high  
 280 quality [24]. The predicted PER values of all the samples are in range of high quality. The  
 281 PER values of fermented and its unfermented Roselle seeds were quite satisfactory  
 282 compared with a standard casein PER of 2.5 [24] and were higher than the findings reported  
 283 by [1, 25] PER of 2.0 and 2.06 for Roselle seed protein concentrate (RSPC) and Roselle  
 284 seed protein isolates (RSPI) respectively. Bryant et al. (1988) [26] reported PER of 2.14 and  
 285 2.17 for soybean and okra proteins respectively. However, the total essential amino acid  
 286 scores for all samples reached the FAO/WHO requirement [23] for the essential amino acids  
 287 for children except tryptophan.  
 288

289 **Table 5. Nutritional parameters of Fermented and unfermented Roselle (*Hibiscus***  
 290 ***Sabdariffa L.*) seeds.**

Parameters	FRS	UFRS
E/T %	36.58	36.18
Estimated PER		
I	2.74	2.82
II	2.79	2.80
III	2.99	3.21
Amino acid scores		
Leucine	133.22	136.60
Histidine	163.33	160.00
Threonine	166.52	153.48
Valine	134.87	142.56
Isoleucine	145.33	139.67
Lysine	103.55	109.51
Tryptophan	11.67	14.17

291 E/T: the ratio of essential to total amino acids, FRS: Fermented Roselle seeds, UFRS: Unfermented  
 292 Roselle seeds, Amino acid scores(AAS) = (mg of amino acid per g of test protein/mg of amino acid per  
 293 g of standard protein) ×100.

294

#### 295 **4. CONCLUSION**

296

297 From the results obtained in this study it is evident that traditional uncontrolled alkaline  
 298 fermentation has no negative effects on the physicochemical properties of Roselle seeds,  
 299 apart the mineral composition, which be corrected by addition. Moreover, the fermented and  
 300 unfermented Roselle seeds were found to have a high nutritional quality. The amino acid  
 301 pattern of both samples was higher than those of the FAO/WHO requirement. All the  
 302 estimated nutritional parameters based on amino acids composition showed that the  
 303 fermented and unfermented Roselle seeds have a good nutritional quality and suggests their  
 304 possible use as a supplementary Protein source.

305 The fermented and unfermented Roselle seeds could have excellent applications for future  
306 product development by virtue of their physicochemical and nutritional properties. This would  
307 add some economic value to the existing uses of the plant and expand to cultivation.  
308

#### 309 **DISCLAIMER:**

310  
311 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research  
312 are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is  
313 absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because  
314 we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the  
315 advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company  
316 rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.  
317

#### 318 **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

319  
320 Author FT' designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol, and  
321 wrote the first draft of the manuscript. 'Author MMF managed the analysis of the study and  
322 managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript  
323

#### 324 **REFERENCES**

- 325  
326 1. Abu-Tarboush HM, Ahmed SAB, Al-Kahtani HA. Some nutritional properties of Karkade  
327 (*H. sabdariffa*) seed products. Cereal Chem. 1997; 74(3): 352-355.  
328 2. Tounkara F, Amza T, Lagnika C, Le G W and Shi YH. Extraction, characterization,  
329 nutritional and functional properties of Roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* Linn) seed proteins. 2.  
330 Songklanakarin J. Sci. Technol.2013; 35(2):156-166.  
331 3.Tsai PJ, McIntosh J, Pearce P, Camden B and Jordan B R. Anthocyanin and antioxidant  
332 capacity in Roselle (*Hibiscus Sabdariffa* L.) extract. Food Research International. 2002; 35:  
333 351-356. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0963-9969\(01\)00129-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0963-9969(01)00129-6)  
334 4. Parkouda C, Diawara B, Ouoba LII (2008). Technology and physicochemical  
335 characteristics of Bikalga, alkaline fermented seeds of *Hibiscus sabdariffa*. Afr. J. Biotechnol.  
336 2008; 7(7): 916-922.  
337 5. Daramola B, Asunni OA. Nutrient composition and storage studies on Roselle extract  
338 enriched deep-fat-fried snack food. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 2006; 5(19): 1803-1807.  
339 [6] Halimatul SMN, Amin I, Mohd-Esa N, Nawalyah AG, Stti Muskinah M(2007). Protein  
340 quality of Roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.) seeds. Asean Food J. 14(2): 131-140.  
341 7. Tounkara F, Amadou I, Le G.W. and Shi YH. 2011. Effect of boiling on the  
342 physicochemical properties of Roselle seeds (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.) cultivated in Mali.  
343 African J Biotechnol. 2011; 10(79):18160-18166.  
344 8. Hainida K E, Amin I, Normah H, and Esa NM. (2008). Nutritional and amino acid contents  
345 of differently treated Roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.) seeds. Food chem. 111(4), 906-911.
- 346 9. AOAC. Official Method of Analysis of AOAC International, 17th edition. Association of  
347 Official Analytical chemists, Arlington, 2000.  
348 10. Garcia WJ, Blessin CW, Inglett GE. Mineral constituents in corn and wheat germ by  
349 atomic absorption spectroscopy. Cereal Chem.1972; 49(2): 158-167.  
350 11. Ceirwyn S J. Analytical Chemistry of Foods. Blackie Academic and Professional,  
351 London, New York, 1995. pp. 71-135.  
352 12. Jarrett HW, Cooksy KD, Ellis B. and Anderson JM. The separation of o-phthalaldehyde  
353 derivatives of amino acids by reversed-phase chromatography on octylsilica columns. Anal  
354 Biochem. 1986; 153(1): 189-198.

- 355 13. Chavan UD, Mckenzie DB and Shahidi F. Functional properties of protein isolates from  
356 beach pea (*Lathyrus maritius* L.). Food Chem.2001; 74(2): 177-187.
- 357 14. Al Wandawi H, Al-Shaikhly K and Abdul-Rahman M. Roselle seed: A new protein  
358 source. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1984, 32(3): 510-512
- 359 15. Rao PU. Nutrient composition and biological evaluation of mesta (*Hibiscus sabdariffa*)  
360 seeds. Plant Foods Hum Nutr. 1996; 49(1): 27–34.
- 361 16. Savage GP. Chemical composition of wallnuts (*Juglans regia* L.) grown in New Zealand.  
362 Plant Foods Hum Nutr.2001; 56(1):75-82.
- 363 17. Enujiugha VN, Akanbi CT. Quality evaluation of canned fermented oil bean seed slices  
364 during ambient storage. Afr. J. Food Sci. 2008; 2: 54-59.
- 365 18. Hainida E, Amin I, Normah H, Norhaizan ME, Ainul Z. Effects of defatted dried roselle  
366 (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.)Seed powder on lipid profiles of hypercholesterolemia rats. J. Sci.  
367 Food Agric. 2008; 88(6): 1043-1050.
- 368 19. El-Adawy TA and Khalil AH. Characteristic of roselle seeds as a new source of protein  
369 and lipid. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1994; 42:1896-1900. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf00045a013>
- 370 20. Murray, DR. and Roxburgh, C McC. Amino acid composition of the seeds albumin from  
371 chickpea. J of Sc Food Agric. 1984; 35:893–896.
- 372 21. Emmy H, Amin I, Normah, H, Norhaizan ME, Ainul Z. Effects of Defatted Dried  
373 ROSELLE (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.) Seeds Powder on Lipid Profile of Hypercholesterolemia  
374 Rats. J of Sc Food Agric. 2008; 88 1043-1050. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.3186>
- 375 22. Zhu KX, Zhou HM and Qian HF. Proteins extracted from defatted wheat germ: nutritional  
376 and structural properties. Cereal Chem. 2006; 83(1):69-75.
- 377 23. FAO, 2007. Protein and amino requirements in human nutrition.Report of a joint  
378 WHO/FAO/UNU expert consultation. Geneva, Switzerland, WHO technical report series,  
379 935.
- 380 24. Friedman, M. 1996. Nutritional value of proteins from different food sources. J. Agric.  
381 Food Chem. 1996; 44(1):6-29.
- 382 25. Al-Wandawi H, Al-Shaikhly K, Abdul-Rahman M. Roselle seeds: a new proteins source.  
383 J. Agric. Food Chem. 1984; 32(3): 510-512.
- 384 26. Bryant, LA, Montecalvo, JJr, Morey KS and Loy B. Processing and nutritional properties  
385 of okra seed products. J of Food Sc. 1988; 53(3): 810-816.
- 386
- 387