

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_89047
Title of the Manuscript:	To Study the impact of SHGs on women's behaviour and standard of living before and after joining the SHGs in Satna District of Madhya Pradesh.
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Dear Authors,</p> <p>The manuscript is written well. However, there are a couple of points that I think may help enhance your work's quality, I suggest that you should address some of the suggestions/comments that I have put forward to improve the paper.</p> <p>The main thing is that there is no novelty in this paper.</p> <p>The introduction section is too weak and too short, and it is also copied from another paper. This section is unclear and not written in a logical order. Write the introduction in the form of a sequence. You must write a complete introduction about what you want to research and describe it in detail. After the purpose of the research, list your contributions to this research work in bullet form at the end of the introduction section.</p> <p>Second, and most importantly, you must include a separate section in your paper titled "literature review." And write the literature review in two to three pages based on previous studies. You have to cite several appropriate and current references in the literature section as well.</p> <p>What is your sampling technique in the research methodology section, and why should you use that sampling technique over another? You also state that the data is gathered from both primary and secondary sources. You collected data through interviews in primary sources, but there is no discussion of what you asked and how they responded in the data analysis section.</p> <p>Write the discussion section before the conclusion. You must include research implications, limitations, and future research in your paper. Also, the references in this manuscript are too short and there are missing references; please add some recent references throughout the document.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>My most important question is, what makes your study interesting for a regular reader or researcher?</p> <p>The outcome of the study seems to be simple. I don't find any interesting results in your paper. You need to work on making the manuscript more interesting. Everybody nowadays is adding "we have added a framework to this field" in the results and contributions.</p> <p>Your paper's paragraphs should be of a reasonable length, not too short or too long.</p> <p>Missing similar study references from South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>There is room for improvement in terms of clarity of expression and readability (sentence structure, grammatical errors) in some portions of the paper. Please double-check the grammar and sentence structure throughout the document.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Umair Manzoor
Department, University & Country	University of Jhang, Pakistan