

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_87062
Title of the Manuscript:	Smallholder Rice Farmers' Perceptions on Usefulness of Mobile-Phone Technology in Bahi District, Tanzania
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The introduction has not been able to link the statement of problem, or the need for the research and the possible methods in solving the problem.</p> <p>Introduction should capture the essence and objective of study?</p> <p>This paper focused on the three classes, namely; relative advantages, compatibility and complexity to measure the farmers' perception of Mobile Phone Technology (MPT). What informed your limitation to only 3 classes???</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>What informed the use of the four groups of variables?</p> <p>How did you select the 282 rice farmers and what informed the selection process?</p> <p>What is the sample frame and what formed the sample frame of the study?</p> <p>What justifies the use of the analytical techniques?</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>What is/are the research gap(s) that the study intends to fill?</p> <p>What informed this study?</p> <p>References are old and out-dated?</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Osuji Emeka Emmanuel
Department, University & Country	Alex-Ekwueme Federal University, Nigeria