

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_78772
Title of the Manuscript:	Women Entrepreneurships' Development in Imo State, Nigeria, Challenges and Prospects
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Keyword: Add additional keywords</p> <p>Abstract: Does the abstract provide clear info on the content</p> <p>Introduction: Should clearly illustrated. Stress more on the importance of women entrepreneurship. What and why should be readdress. What we could learn and how does it fundamentally change our understanding</p> <p>Problem statement: What are the problem statement that relate to challenges and prospect to women entrepreneurship development? Elaborate</p> <p>Hypothesis: Rearrange of sentence</p> <p>Literature: There are several paragraphs without citation of authors. The definition of prospects was not clearly spelled out. Many citation from authors were highlighted in same way. I.e. To Chinoya et al (2015), To Vossenberg (2013). Try use other style of write. Don'</p> <p>Recent support from authors on Liberal Feminist Theory. In regards to this, I would suggest adding quotes from current searching of 2015 onwards to get closer knowledge. Theoretical literature has not reviewed in depth. No framework given</p> <p>Methods: Not constructively detail out i.e. questionnaire development procedure, sampling procedure in collecting the data</p> <p>Analysis: Good interpretation given to unfold the relationship of variables. However too much illustrative that should be put into structural/ composition</p> <p>Result: Moderately presented however it is necessary to further triangulate the findings as cited in LR.</p> <p>Conclusion: Suggesting the ideas from managerial and academic contribution to research. Limitation should be underlined for other researcher. Generally please check as well on the typing error</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	NA	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	NA	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Nik Syuhailah Nik Hussin</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Universiti Malaysia Kelantan, Malaysia</i>