

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_80361
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison for detection methods of Vitek 2 identification and antimicrobial susceptibility testing of gram-negative bacteria clinical isolated from blood culture
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajrm.com/index.php/SAJRM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The concept of comparing various microbial detection methods is relevant. However, this paper requires significant editing, as it is not written in sound English and cannot be accepted in its current form. It is difficult for the reader to even understand what the author is trying to convey. In addition, there are numerous careless mistakes, typo-graphical and grammatical errors which need to be thoroughly revised and rectified. Finally, the manuscript should be compulsorily checked by a native English speaker before submitting the revised version.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Specific corrections are annotated in the manuscript. The authors are advised to amend all the suggested corrections. Make sure to italicise scientific names The spelling of <i>Acinetobacter</i> should be corrected throughout the manuscript. Keywords should be modified. Discussion part should be modified and authors should discuss the findings by comparing and citing more previous studies.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Reshma Silvester
Department, University & Country	Cochin University of Science and Technology, India