

Intestinal helminthic infection among prison inmates of a maximum security prison in Southern Nigeria

Abstract

Background Infection caused by intestinal parasites thrives in an environment with poor sanitary and unhygienic practices, over-crowding, poor housing, and poverty. The aim of this study was to investigate the prevalence of intestinal helminthic infections among inmates of Port Harcourt maximum security prison, Rivers State, Nigeria. **Methods** Stool samples were collected in a clean universal bottles from 197 inmates and were analyzed following the standard method of stool examination. **Results** The overall ~~preponderance-prevalence~~ was 14.2 %. Age-related distribution showed that age group 24-30 years had the highest ~~frequency of occurrence and~~ prevalence of 20(29.4%) followed distantly by ≥ 45 years with ~~the~~ prevalence of 10.5% while 17-23 years ~~with 4.4%~~ had the least prevalence ~~of 4.4%~~. The prevalence between the age groups was significant ($\chi^2=20.096$, $df=4$, $p =0.000$). Three different species of helminths were identified namely; *Ascaris lumbricoides*(8.6%), hookworm(7.6%); and *Stroglyoides stercoralis* (2.0%). **YOU LIST ONLY 2 SPECIES** **conclusion,** Intestinal helminthiasis is relatively high among inmates of Port Harcourt prison. Increased awareness and concerted efforts at improving sanitation, provision of water, and personal hygiene can drastically reduce infection among prison inmates.

Keywords Helminthiasis, Infection, Prison inmates, Sanitation, Port Harcourt

Introduction

Infections by intestinal parasites are widespread throughout the world. It is estimated that about 24% of the world population which is above 1.5 billion people are infected by intestinal parasites [1]. Intestinal parasitic infection is reported to have a high prevalence in ~~Rivers~~

Rivers State [2-6]. Infections caused by intestinal parasites thrive in an environment with poor sanitary and unhygienic practices, over-crowding, poor housing, and poverty which are the hallmark of a prison environment in Nigeria. Agunbiade [7] and Chukwudi [8] described the prison environment in Nigeria as appalling and not humane due to the aforementioned conditions' among others.

Prison inmates belong to the neglected population of society and over-crowding has become a global challenge to accomplish the minimum standards of services to prisoners [9]. It was reported that more than 10.2 million people were detained in prisons in 2013 (144 per 100,000 populations) and the annual turnover is closer to 30 million worldwide [10].

In Nigeria, prisons conditions remain unacceptable over the past years with an increase in disease encumbranceburden among inmates. Filthy cells and floors, inmates' sleep two to a overcrowded per bed, blocked and overflowing or absent toilets, or simply absent and some cases no running water are common features in many Nigerian prisons. These conditions exacerbate the spread of diseases in prisons. Hand washing with soap and water which can prevent-control intestinal parasitic infections (as they are acquired via fecal-oral route) is out of reach in prisons [11]. Even when the prison has a small clinic, some guards often demand bribes from inmates before allowing privileges of such services [8]. Transmission of intestinal parasites and other communicable diseases will continue in prisons and other detention homes unless the level of hygiene and politics areis improved [12] which brings the issues to a different dimension. High exposure to contaminants, poor standards of personal hygiene, malnutrition, mobility issues EXPLAIN, psychological disorders, and stress are some of the socioeconomic and behavioral factors that make prison inmates, more prone to parasitic infection [13, 14]. Disease prevalence has been reported to be higher among prisoners than other members of the society [15], which may be due to the fact that Prison health in developing countries is not seen as a profitable social project [16]. YOU NEED TO

Formatted: Underline

CONSIDER THE POLITICS OF PRISONS AND THE FINANCIAL BENEFITS TO AUTHORITIES IN KEEPING PRISONS IN THE MISERABLE SHAPE THAT THEY ARE IN. IT COSTS MONEY TO IMPROVE PRISONS.

Nigeria has 227 prisons with a total population of 62,260 inmates as of 2015 across the country out of which 45,158 (72.5%) were awaiting trial [17]. Port Harcourt maximum security prison was established in 1918 by the British Colonialists with ~~the a~~ holding capacity of 804 inmates but today has a total population of 5000 inmates (3700 are awaiting trial, 402 are convicted) [18]. There are scarce reports of work carried out in this neglected settlement, which prompted this very research. The aim of this study was to investigate the prevalence of intestinal helminthic infection among inmates of Port Harcourt maximum security prison, Borokiri, Rivers State Nigeria

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area

Port Harcourt GIVE GEOGRAPHICAL COORDINATES is the capital city of Rivers State, a major hub of oil exploration and exploitation activity at the heart of Niger Delta, Nigeria. There is an influx of people into the city because of the oil-related activities and the estimated population of Port Harcourt was put at $\geq 2,300,000$ people by 2015 [19].

Maximum Security Prison Borokiri is located at latitude 4.749°N and Longitude 7.035°E in Port Harcourt Local Government Area, Rivers State, Nigeria. It is a neighborhood of the city of Port Harcourt situated just south of old Government Reserve Area (GRA) in Port Harcourt.

It is bounded by Ahoda Street to the North Okrika Island to the east (across Aboturu Creek), Orubiri oilfield to the South, and ship builders' road to the west.

Here, offenders of all categories of crime, including awaiting trial, convicts, and condemned criminals of both sexes are kept ~~there~~. It is located in the central or urban area and close to the courts. It is one of the earliest remand custody institutions in Nigeria. It was established by the

British Colonialists in 1918. The institution has a holding capacity of 804 inmates. However, according to the National Bureau of Statistics [17], Port Harcourt prison has a total of 3824 inmates (3422 awaiting trial, 402 convicted). YOUR NUMBERS DON'T AGREE

Research Design

This is a cross-sectional, analytical, quantitative study. It involves the assessment and description of facts that were investigated on the prevalence of Intestinal parasitic infection among the prison inmates in Maximum Security Prison, Port Harcourt.

Ethical Clearance

Permission to carry out this study was granted by the office of the Research Management Committee of the University of Port Harcourt and approval was received from the State Comptroller of Prisons, who-which oversees the Maximum Security Prisons, Port Harcourt. Inmates had the right to accept or refuse to join the study without any consequences. Verbal consent of individual prisoners was also obtained. All information obtained was handled confidentially and this was maintained at all times. All procedures were followed according to the ethical standards of human experimentation and the Helsinki declaration revised in 2013.

Population of Study

A total number of 197 inmates were involved in this study. All the 197 were males s between the ages of 17 years to-and 60 years old.

Sampling

Stool samples were collected in a clean universal bottle from 197 inmates and were transported to the Animal and Environmental Biology of the University of Port Harcourt for Examination. EXPLAIN

Sample Analysis

Samples were analyzed following the standard method of stool examination as described by Cheesbrough [20] in duplicate using saline/iodine and formol-ether concentration methods. A macroscopic examination of the stool sample was also carried out. The appearance, colour, consistency (whether formed, semi-formed, unformed, or watery), and the presence or absence of blood, mucus, and pus was noted. Any abnormalities were recorded. A drop of physiological saline was placed on a clean grease-free slide. With the help of an applicator stick, a little quantity of properly mixed stool samples was emulsified in a drop of saline. The preparation was covered with a cover-slip and examined with 100x and finally with 400x magnifications of the lightan optical microscope. All the samples were concentrated using the formol ether concentration technique. One milli_literre of a well-mixed stool sample was put in a tube containing 4mL of 10% formalin. Three milliliters of the 10% formalin were again added and mixed by shaking. The suspension was sieved using a coffee strainer into a centrifuge tube. Three milliliters of diethyl ether were added and stoppered. It was then shaken vigorously for 1min. The stopper was removed and the suspension centrifuged for 1min at 400rpm. The entire column of the fluid below the faecal debris and ether was carefully removed using a Pasteur pipette and transferred into another centrifuge tube. Ten percent formalin was added to the transferred suspension to make up to 10mL. It was then centrifuged at 1000_rpm for 10_mins. The supernatant was decanted and the bottom of the tube was tapped to re-suspend the deposit. The deposit was examined by light microscopy at 100x and 400x magnifications for the presence of ova or cyst of parasites.

Data Analysis

Statistical analysis was done using SPSS version 21. Data obtained were presented as prevalence in percentage. Chi-square tests were used to determine the association gastrointestinal helminths among age and sex. P-values less than 0.05 are considered to be significant.

Results and Discussion

Results

The overall analysis of helminthiasis among inmates of Port Harcourt Prison showed that of the 197 faecal samples examined, 28 (14.2%) were positive, Age-related distribution showed that the age group 24-30 years had the highest frequency of occurrence and prevalence of 20 (29.4%) followed distantly by ≥ 45years with the prevalence of 10.5% while 17-23years with 4.4% had the least prevalence. The prevalence difference between the age groups was significant ($\chi^2=20.096$, $df=4$, $p=0.000$) Table 1.

Three different species of helminthes were identified from the faecal samples examined. These were *A. lumbricoides*, hookworm *Ancylostoma?*, and *S. stercoralis*. Seventeen (17) inmates had *A. lumbricoides* with prevalence of 8.6%, hookworm 15 (7.6%) and *S. stercoralis* 4(2.0%). *Ascaris lumbricoides* was highest among ≥45years age group (15.8%) and the least in 17-23years (6.7%); Similarly, hookworm was highest among 24-30years (11.8%) and least in 38-44years (5.3%) while *S. stercoralis* was highest in 38-44years and least in 31-37 years (2.2%) (Table 2). USE THE SCIENTIFIC NAME OF HOOK WORM.

Table1 Overall prevalence of helminthiasis based on age among inmates of Port Harcourt Prison

Age group	No Examined	No Infected (%)	X ²	P
17-23years	45	2 (4.4)		
24-30years	68	20 (29.4)		
31-37years	46	3 (6.5)		
38-44years	19	1 (5.3)		
>>45years	19	2 (10.5)		
Total	197	28 (14.2)	20.096	0.000

Table 2 Age distribution of helminth species among various age groups among inmates of Port Harcourt prisons

Age group	No. Examined	<i>A. lumbricoides</i>	Hookworm	<i>S. Stercoralis</i>
17-23years	45	2 (6.7)	3 (6.7)	2 (4.4)

24-30years	68	7_(10.3)	8_(11.8)	0
31-37years	46	4_(8.7)	3_(6.5)	1_(2.3)
38-44years	19	0	1_(5.3)	1_(5.3)
>=45years	19	3_(15.8)	0	0
Total	197	17_(8.6)	15_(7.6)	4_(2.0)

Discussion

The current investigation indicates that the prevalence of intestinal helminthes among the inmates of Port Harcourt maximum security prison is 14.2%. This result is relatively low when compared to 77.0% reported in a similar study among inmates of Owerri prison, Nigeria [21], 42.6% in Ethiopia [22], 20.2% in Brazil [23], 24.7% in Kisii, Kenya [24], 26.5% in Selangor, Malaysia [25], 69.3% in Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire [26], and 39.35% in Cameroun [27]. However, the 14.2% is higher than 9.2% reported by Ishaleku and Mamman [15] among inmates of Jos prison Nigeria. This variation may be as a result of environmental factors such as level of sanitation, Enlightenment and awareness among the inmates,

provision of health services by a non-governmental organization (NGOs), and geographical area of study. YOU NEED TO EXPLAIN THE DIFFERENCES IN THESE FACTORS BETWEEN THE TWO PRISON POPULATIONS AS WELL AS THE POLITICS INVOLVED.

Formatted: Highlight

Three different species of helminthes were identified namely *A. lumbricoides*, (8.6%) hookworm (7.6%) HOOKWORM HAS A SCIENTIFIC NAME THAT WAS NEVER USED. THIS IS NOT ACCEPTABLE., and *S. stercoralis* (2.0%). In this study, *A. lumbricoides* was the most prevalent. This observation agrees with Amuga *et al.*, [28] who reported *A. lumbricoides* (20.96%) as the most prevalent intestinal parasites among inmates at Keffi prisons, Nigeria, and Kuete *et al.*, [27] who reported 10.4% prevalence in New-Bell Central Prison, Cameroun. Though the percentage prevalence was higher in Keffi compared

to this finding, the variation could be as a result of the level of sanitation around the prison facility, showing that keffi environment may be inferior to the present study environment since eggs passed in faeces must be embryonated for at least 3 weeks in the soil before becoming infectious. EXPLAIN THESE DIFFERENCES COMPARED TO THE LIFE CYCLE OF *A. LUMBRICOIDES* AND EXAMINE THE RELEVANT SETTING IN EACH OF THESE PRISONS.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Hookworm encountered in the present study had a prevalence of 7.6%. This was lower than 19.16% reported at Jos prison [28], 13.1 % reported at Owerri prisons [21] however higher than what was reported elsewhere, 6.0% reported in Abidjan [26]. The climatic and the environmental EXPOSURES conditions, the sanitation level, the access to clean water as well as the hygiene education may be the reason for the variation. The finding corroborates the fact that Human hookworm disease is a common helminthic infection worldwide. ONLY IF ENVIRONMENTAL EXPOSURES ALLOW IT. Port Harcourt has temperature and rainfall that would support the transmission of hookworm since the geographical distribution is determined by temperature and rainfall, which influence the development of free-living larvae [1], among other factors such as drainage, type of soil, social habits, and customs, and Poor sanitation. The prevalence is also possible due to the fact that the longevity of the parasite may reach several years with most adult worms only eliminated in one to two years. YOU NEED TO PROVIDE SUPPORT (REFERENCES) FOR THAT LAST STATEMENT.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

The prevalence of 2.0% was recorded for *Strongyloides stercoralis* in this study. Thise finding ~~in this study~~ was higher than 0.4 % reported in Owerri Prisons [21] and elsewhere in Abidjan Côte d'Ivoire [26] but lower than 4.8% reported in Jos Prison [29] and elsewhere 8.8% in Malaysia [25]. The finding of *S. stercoralis* among the prison inmates is significant. Although the health consequences of *S. stercoralis* infections range from asymptomatic light

infections to chronic symptomatic strongyloidiasis, untreated infections have the potential to develop into severe disease in certain population subgroups and could cause serious problems, especially in a prison setting. REFERENCES?

~~More so the~~ *Strongyloides* life cycle is more complex than that of most nematodes with its alternation between free-living and parasitic cycles, and its potential for autoinfection and multiplication within the host [30]. The variation in the prevalence rate could be attributed to dissimilarity in sanitation level in the different prisons DOCUMENT since according to CDC [31], Infections are most common in areas with poor sanitation, rural and remote communities, institutional settings, and among socially marginalized groups.

Conclusions, Intestinal helminthiasis is relatively high among inmates of Port Harcourt prison. Increased awareness and concerted efforts at improving sanitation, provision of water, and personal hygiene can drastically reduce the infection among the prison inmates at the Port Harcourt prisons. Efforts to improve conditions will always run against the financial profits of governing bodies : not addressed.

NO ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS??

References REFERENCES ARE POORLY WRITTEN. NOT CONSISTENT. FOLLOW THE JOURNAL FORMAT IN ALL.

1. World Health Organization (2018) Intestinal worms. Geneva. Pages?
2. Awi-Waadu G. D. B.,(2005) “The prevalence of gastro-intestinal tract parasites in the inhabitants of Bori Military Cantonment in Port Harcourt Local Government area of Rivers State, Nigeria,” *African Journal of Applied Zoology and Environmental Biology*, vol. 7, pp. 50–60,
3. Abah A. E. and Arene F. O. I, Intestinal Parasitic Infections among Primary School Children as an Index of Level of Sanitation in Akpor Area of Port Harcourt, Rivers State Nigeria, Abstract 2006, Nigeria Society for Parasitology, 2006

4. Odu N. N., Okonko I. O., and Erhi O., "Study of Neglected Tropical Diseases (NTDs): gastro-Intestinal Helminthes among school children in port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria," *Report and Opinion*, vol. 3, no. 9, pp. 6–16, 2011
5. Abah AE, Arene FOI (2015) Status of intestinal parasitic infections among primary school children in Rivers State, Nigeria. *Journal of Parasitology Research* 15: 1-7.
6. Abah AE, Awi-Waadu GDB (2018) Gastro-intestinal Helminthiasis among School Children in Gokana and Khana Local Government Areas of Rivers State, Nigeria. *Primary Health Care* 8: 311. doi: 10.4172/2167-1079.1000311
7. Agunbiade, O. M. 2010. Prison health in Nigeria: A sociological discourse. *African Journal of Political Science and International Relations*, 7(2): 38-41
8. Chukwudi, F. 2012. Challenges of reforms in the Nigerian Prison System: Lessons from USA and South Africa. *Journal of Social Science and Public Policy*, 4:3546.
9. UNODC (2019) United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). Addressing the global prison crisis. STRATEGY 2015–2017. https://www.unodc.org/documents/justice-and-prison-reform/UNODC_Strategy_on_Addressing_the_Global_Prison_Crisis.pdf. Accessed 04 Jan 2019.
10. Walmsley R. (2007). World Population List, International Centre for Prison Studies, London, UK, 7th edition
11. CDC (2018) Centers for Diseases Control and Prevention (CDC). Hand washing: clean hands save lives. <https://www.cdc.gov/handwashing/when-how-handwashing.html> Accessed Jan 26 2019
12. TOU (2018) The Open University. Hygiene and Environmental Health Module: Institutional Hygiene and Sanitation. <http://www.open.edu/openlearn/content/view.php?id=191&printable=1>. Accessed 29 Aug 2018
13. Fazel S, and Ballargeon J. (2011) The health of prisoners. *The Lancet*, 377: 956–965.

14. Nwosu BU, Maranda L, Berry R, Colocino B, Flores CD, Folkman, K. *et al.*, (2014) The Vitamin D Status of Prison Inmates. *Plos One*, 9(3): 1–8.
15. Ishaleku, D. and Mammam A. S.2014. Co-Infection of malaria and helminthes Infection among prison inmates: *Journal of Microbiology Research and Reviews*, 2(1): 1-5.
16. Agunbiade OM. (2010). Prison health in Nigeria: A sociological discourse. *African Journal of Political Science and International Relations*,7 (2): 38-41
- 16.National Bureau of Statistics. 2017. Federal Government of Nigeria Report 6, Polo Road, Off Ribadu Road, S/W, Ikoyi, Lagos.
17. Abah, A. E., Nduka, F. O., Amadi, Q., Aguocha, O. C. and Nzeji, P. (2018). Malaria infection among prison inmates of the maximum security prison Borokiri, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria, *Nigerian Journal of Parsitology* pages 127-131.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/njpar.v39i2.2>
18. National Population Commission, 2015. Census Report. Federal Government of Nigeria, Wuse 7, Abuja.
20. Cheesbrough, M. 2011.District Laboratory Manual for Tropical Countries. 2nd Edition Update Vol. 1. Bulterworth-Heinemann Ltd., Oxford OX28DP, 249pp
21. Okolie N. Intestinal Parasites Distribution among Inmates of Owerri Prison. *The Internet Journal of Parasitic Disease*. 2008;4(1). DOI: 10.5580/1se 7
22. Mardu F, Yohannes M, Tadesse D(2019) Prevalence of intestinal parasites and associated risk factors among inmates of Mekelle prison, Tigray Region, Northern Ethiopia, 2017. *BMC infectious diseases*, 19(1), 1-8 406. doi: 10.1186/s12879-019-4053-9

23. Curval LG, Ado F, Fernandes HJ, Mendes RP, De Carvalho L R, Higa MG. *et al.*,(2017). Prevalence of intestinal parasites among inmates in Midwest Brazil *PLoS ONE*, 12(9): e0182248. [https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0182248](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0182248)
24. Rop DC, Nyanchongi BO, Nyangeri J, Orucho VO (2016) Risk factors associated with intestinal parasitic infections among inmates of Kisii prison, Kisii county, Kenya. *BMC Research Notes* 2;9:384. doi: 10.1186/s13104-016-2191-3.
25. Angal L, Mahmud R, Samin S, Yap NJ, Ngui R, Amir A, Ithoi I, Kamarulzaman A, Lim YA (2015) Determining intestinal parasitic infections (IPIs) in inmates from Kajang Prison, Selangor, Malaysia for improved prison management *BMC Infectious Diseases*.15:467. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1178-3.
26. Kiki-Barro PCM, Angora EK1, Konaté A, Kassi FK1, Vanga-Bosson H, Bedia-Tanoh AV, Djohan V, Yavo W, Menan EIH (2017) Intestinal parasitic infections among prison inmates at the MACA – Maison-d'Arrêt-et de Correction d'Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire *African Journal of Parasitology Research* 4 (9), pp. 257-263
27. Kuete T, Mbwang HG, Nguele CM and Ekobo AS (2019) Prevalence of Intestinal Parasitic Infections among Inmates of the New-bell Central Prison, Cameroon. *International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health* 35(2): 1-10,
28. Amuga G; Usmand; Onwuliri coe (2006): Human intestinal parasites among inmates of Keffi prison, Nasarawa State, *International journal of Natural and Applied Sciences*. 2 :7 – 10.
29. Ahmed, A.B., Bakam, H., Yayock, H. C. and Sarki, G. M. 2016. Passive surveillance of communicable diseases among inmates of Jos Central Prison, Nigeria *International Journal of Research in Medical Sciences*, 4(5): 1366-1374

30. Page W, Judd JA., and Bradbury RS, 2018 The Unique Life Cycle of *Strongyloides stercoralis* and Implications for Public Health Action. *Tropical Medicine and Infectious Disease* 2018;3(2):53 doi: 10.3390/tropicalmed3020053

31. CDC (2019) Centre for Diseases Control and Prevention, Parasites- *Strongyloides*. Global Health, Division of Parasitic Diseases and Malaria. US Department and Health. Atlanta Co 800-CDC-info. 66

Formatted: Font: Italic

UNDER PEER REVIEW