

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Parasitology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJP_85474
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Malaria Parasite Among Children in Adazi-Enu, Anambra State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Word

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalsajp.com/index.php/SAJP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This paper must be revised In the abstract it is not explained what year the data used in this paper, In the study area , the condition of the houses or the environment where the research is carried out should be explained in order to know clearly and should be explained why choose Adazi-Enu town in Anaocha Local Government Area of Anambra State as the object of research. In the Collection and Examination should explain the type of chemical liquid used, and should be explained to see malaria parasites why the objective lens should be x100, why not x10, x1000 etc. In the Administration of Questionnaire explains the work of parents/guardians. In Data Analysis, in the level of significance was set at $P < 0.05$ maybe can explain was set at $P < 0.05$. in table 3 the prevalence presentation why not 100%. in table 4 is explained well because there is an inappropriate response and percentage. References must be added at least 15.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Edy Victor Haryanto S
Department, University & Country	Universitas Potensi Utama, Indonesia