

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_88821
Title of the Manuscript:	Annual and Semiannual variation in the ionospheric F2-layer Electron density over the Indian zone
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpsij.com/index.php/PSIJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript entitled, "Annual and Semiannual variation in the ionospheric F2-layer Electron density over the Indian zone is well constructed and very impressive. I recommend publishing it with the above minor revisions. This is very important and I will recommend this manuscript to be published, with major revisions.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check under all figures there is no explanations(labels) 2. Introduction is too contracted. Could you revise starting from general to specific? 3. Methodology is not clear. It should be clearly stated very carefully to make it easy for readers. 4. In result and discussion where is the authors inferences? Revise and compare with previously reported works. 5. Figures legends and Tabular explanation is displaced fixed table mode and make it visible for all readers. 6. Grammar problems, typo errors and at the end of conclusion giving direction for readers. 7. The authors used very old references. It is better if they update all references to use recent information. 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abel Saka Gungure
Department, University & Country	Dambi Dollo University, Ethiopia