

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_84352
Title of the Manuscript:	On Fractal Properties for Pre-image Entropy
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpsij.com/index.php/PSIJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The title is somewhat consistent with the search content. - The introduction should start by providing information and theories on the phenomena of fractals as well as its importance for scientific research. - There is no sufficient literature review. I am expecting to consider some recent research works on the application of fractals in different scientific research fields in the revised manuscript. - Also, an overview of the pre-image entropy is needed. - The author has used sufficient references, and this is very important. However, most of the used references are very old. It is important to use sources published in the few past years. The sources published for example in the past 5 years is a good benchmark since these sources are more current and reflect the newest discoveries and best practices. - No sign or reference concerning the inventor of fractals theory Benoit B. Mandelbrot who is known as the "father of fractal geometry". - The paper misses a conclusion where the author must summarize the research results. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The authors have to present more details of the results in the Abstract. Please revise it carefully. - "<i>Power rule</i>" is used as a keyword; however, this word is not found more than 2 times within the text. Keywords are the words that represent the main concepts of your research topic. This keyword should be removed. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Overall, the paper present good research idea, but it is not suitable for publication in the current form. - We hope the author would find our recommendations helpful to revise this manuscript. Please see comments above. 	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u> - There is no ethical issue in this manuscript.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abderrahim Ayad
Department, University & Country	Abdelmalek Essaadi University, Morocco