

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_83560
Title of the Manuscript:	Experimental results of cooking tests in the dry season in a subequatorial country using a box-type solar cooker with an inclined receiving surface fitted with a flat reflector
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpsij.com/index.php/PSIJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This work is high-scholar lever. It is applying some knowledge in physics', like heating, optical, conductibility, light reflection and refraction. They use mats. formulas known. Nothing news under sun!. One scientifically work must add some original discovering methods, some new type experiments and innovation to improve actual level of performances.	
Minor REVISION comments	It must improve basis"s knowledge in solar applying parameters. There are not references pointed [7 to 11]?. Why?	
Optional/General comments	It may publish if improve quality of physical-phenomena rate of applicability discovering performances not only in food, more life!. I think is interested in some sun-region Equatorial and tropical for domestic use. So, not pointed references, subsumed-plagiarism. Missing [7 to 11] references?.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paul Olaru
Department, University & Country	POLITEHNICA University of Bucharest, Romania