



SDI Review Form 1.6

is

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_63929
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINATION OF THE ELECTRONIC ENERGY LEVELS OF A QUANTUM WELL HETEROSTRUTURE $Zn_{1-x}Mg_xO/ZnO/ Zn_{1-x}Mg_xO$.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Improve the resolution of the graphics in the figures 4 and 5. It is not possible to read the numbers in the axis. 2) I believe that if the authors discuss the main differences between the results found for 0.1 and 0.2 concentrations, the arguments would be more valued. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) If it is possible, make a small symbol list or to define the parameters in the text. 2) Describe on the methodology if it was used any software to solve the transcendental functions. 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) It would be interesting, if the authors commented the advantages of the heterostruture $Zn_{1-x}Mg_xO/ZnO/ Zn_{1-x}Mg_xO$ regarding the others commonly structures, in the conclusions. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elisa Pinto da Rocha
Department, University & Country	UFRJ, Brazil