

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_88430
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, attitude and practices regarding surgical correction of refractive errors among undergraduate medical university students.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalor.com/index.php/OR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)		
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>First is written: "The mean age of the participants was 21±1.8 years." After that this table with patient presbyopia 32.1% ??????????????</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr> <td>Presbyopia</td> <td>59(32.1)</td> </tr> </table> <p>YOUNG PATIENT HAVE NOT PRESBYOPIA. There is something strange.</p>	Presbyopia	59(32.1)	
Presbyopia	59(32.1)			
Minor REVISION comments	In introduction and discussion there isn't more examples about country in Europe, please describe an European experience.			
Optional/General comments	NO			

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ivelina Pitakova
Department, University & Country	Eye Hospital " St, Nikolay", Bulgaria