

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_88430
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, attitude and practices regarding surgical correction of refractive errors among undergraduate medical university students.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalor.com/index.php/OR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	It is an interesting cross-sectional study. There are some important corrections to make. The most important is: as the range of participants was 19-24 years old, is it possible that you find presbyopia in Table 1 page 5? There are some other mistakes: -the key-words must be in alphabetical order; -in Material and Methods section page 5 with draw must be corrected to withdraw; - in the Discussion section page 7 advises must be changed to advices; - in the References section the page number must be uniform, for instance 120-1.	
Minor REVISION comments	None.	
Optional/General comments	None.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Italo Giuffre
Department, University & Country	Catholic University of Rome, Italy