

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_87209
Title of the Manuscript:	Central Retinal Vein Occlusion Secondary to Paroxysmal Nocturnal Hemoglobinuria
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalor.com/index.php/OR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>There are extensive grammatical and typographical errors throughout. Is the patient male or female (sometimes says he/him, sometimes says she/her)?</p> <p>Abstract: The presentation of case should include some evidence of the thrombotic event, not just the symptoms. A discussion is not appropriate for an abstract.</p> <p>Introduction, page 2, 2nd line. What is the evidence that anemia is mainly due to bone marrow failure? In most cases hemolysis is the main cause of anemia. The sentence "abdominal pain, esophageal...free hemoglobin" is superfluous and should be removed. The sentence "Anticoagulation and eculizumab...events" is incoherent. Did you mean to write "Thrombotic events and anticoagulation are indications for eculizumab"? The statement "Eculizumab is a humanized...therapy for PNH" is false, at least in the United States. Pegcetacoplan is a second drug that is approved. The sentence "Bone marrow transplantation... of each case" is superfluous and should be removed.</p> <p>Case report: When was the patient originally diagnosed? How was the patient diagnosed? What were the flow cytometry results? What clinical manifestations did the patient have prior to this event? The first sentence does not contain a verb. There is confusion whether the patient is a "he" or a "she". Please clarify the statement "He stated that for...was lacking". Which medication are you referring to? The statement "referred for ophthalmologic" does not contain a subject. The statement "He denied too many comorbidities" should be changed to "He denied comorbidities". The laboratory tests should be described in sentences and/or a table, not just listed in the text. Two different values of platelets are given. Please clarify. The VDRL and viral studies are listed but no results are given. What was the reticulocyte count and LDH? Do you have any flow cytometry results?</p> <p>It would be helpful to summarize previous reports of ophthalmologic complications of PNH rather than repeat what was already stated in the introduction.</p> <p>I am not an ophthalmologist so I cannot properly assess the optic findings to verify that they show retinal vein thrombosis.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Karen Seiter
Department, University & Country	New York Medical College, USA