

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_83165
Title of the Manuscript:	REVERSE STRAATSMA SYNDROME – A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalor.com/index.php/OR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract has not the appropriate structure. Many sentences are repeated in next sections. Better use the following structure: Purpose-Methods-Results –Conclusions It will make the manuscript easy to average reader. There are no Keywords.	
Minor REVISION comments	In section Introduction you could add some History about the RSS. There are a few (10-15) RSS publications of last decade you have to mention. This will update and give more strength to the reference list, as it looks a little old. You can either use these references in Discussion section.	
Optional/General comments	In general I found very interested and well presented. Just needs some more details for the average reader.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aristeidis Chandrinou
Department, University & Country	University of West Attica, Greece