

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_82683
Title of the Manuscript:	Reactive Oxygen Species and eye aging in Cataracts through biomolecular mechanisms
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalor.com/index.php/OR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The review article discusses a vast list of ROS and its etiopathogenesis in cataract. But authors fail to explain how this research is in anyway diff from what exists already in literature. It is unclear why NRF2 only is focussed on--- While miRNA are discussed authors fail to explain if potential mechanisms inhibiting miRNA ate a solution to this	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aparna Rao
Department, University & Country	LV Prasad Eye Institute, India