

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_80248
Title of the Manuscript:	Stargardt's Disease Diagnosed in Adults. Case report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalor.com/index.php/OR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors conclude: "OCT is fundamental for the follow-up of these patients, contributing to a better prognostic assessment of the disease" -> Why then was no OCT presented in this case? In the whole text there is no precise information about what these new therapy possibilities are supposed to be.	
Minor REVISION comments	The visual field could be scanned instead of being photographed obliquely. You can hardly see anything in the pictures of the FAG. Please improve both.	
Optional/General comments	Please improve spelling and grammar. Please use uniform references in the text.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Annekatri Rickmann
Department, University & Country	Knappschaft Hospital Saar, Germany