

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Microbiology Research Journal International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_74280
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimization of Chlorella-Biomass Production using Domestic and Restaurant WasteWater as a Potential Feedstock
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The MS entitled Optimization of Chlorella-Biomass Production using Domestic and Restaurant Waste Water as a Potential Feedstock is a nice piece of work and can be considered after necessary minor revision.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In the introduction section the references are old ones. This is the burning issue problem so a lot of literature is available, that needs to be mentioned.</li> <li>2. Methodology is well written, however there are few grammatical mistakes that needs to get corrected.</li> <li>3. The results are appreciable, however authors should have done the metabolite analysis, besides the Biomass.</li> <li>4. Discussion is regarded as the heart of any research paper. In this case discussion is to interpreted in relation with the current literature.</li> <li>5. Figures are of good quality.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Overall this work is a very good piece of work and after necessary minor revision can be considered for publication.	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shaiesta Shah</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Central University of Kashmir, India</b>