

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_88340
Title of the Manuscript:	CRISIS COMMUNICATION IN THE TIME OF RECOVERY FROM COVID-19 IN CENTRAL ASIA
Type of the Article	Short communication

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. In this short communication, which can be seen as a pre- and post-covid report<sup>19</sup>, the author compares the crisis communication theory adopted in five Central Asian states: Kazakhstan, Uzbekistan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan and Turkmenistan. It seems that Kazakhstan has had the biggest problems managing the pandemic with the covid virus 19.</p> <p>2. The bibliography of the article should be reviewed for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. There are a number of articles and sites that the authors cite in the article, but do not appear in the bibliography. This is mandatory.</li><li>2. In the bibliography there are used sites that are not found in the text of the paper.</li><li>3. Due to these disadvantages, it is not possible to follow correctly and exactly the sources used by the authors in drafting this communication.</li></ol> <p>3. In the "Priority areas and recommendations" section, the first paragraph refers to the annex to a table 03 that does not appear in the article (probably table 3).</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The article needs to be revised in terms of writing, punctuation, page layout, etc.</p> <p>I consider that this short communication is presented too generally, without going into detail about the actions regarding the pandemic Covid 19, from the five analysed states. The focus is very well on Kazakhstan, but it needs to be better mastered on this subject, and to the other 4 states analysed.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The article can be accepted if its authors take into account the observations made above. Also, for the future, I recommend that the authors take into account more passion, rigor and dedication when writing a report or article. If you do something just to mark another point in your resume, it means that the chosen job is not the most suitable.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Stoicuta Nadia-Elena</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Petrosani, Romania</b>