

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_88290
Title of the Manuscript:	Challenges of a School in Teaching and Learning: A Grounded Theory
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>At certain time, the manuscript is difficult to understand because of the changing within the language. Moreover, one has sometimes the impression that the author is repeating some declarations. In the same vein, the way the manuscript is written, the length of some sentences, make difficult the management of the work to be reviewed.</p> <p>The author will revise the way he introduces an author in its discussion process.</p> <p>Some among the abbreviations are not defined;</p> <p>From my personal experience, when you have a result, you first of all present it with reference to figures or/and tables if possible. After this, you analyze the results in relation to themselves first, then in relation to the other results obtained in the same field by other researchers that you will cite. At the end, you try an interpretation to justify the result obtained. While doing so, you can create links when possible between your personal results.</p> <p>The topic is interesting and up to date. However, according to me, the author must make easier the understanding of the manuscript.</p> <p>I am sure that by reconsidering patiently the whole manuscript, the final version would be very good.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	I suggest to the author to standardize the language.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fopoussi Tuebue Jean Christophe
Department, University & Country	Jesus and Mary Secondary High School, Cameroon