

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_87744
Title of the Manuscript:	Doses of acidity corrective in some chemical attributes to build the fertility of concretionary soils.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title writing options: a. The title is written in capital letters; or b) Every first word is written in capital letters 2. The abstract is very long (267 words) it needs to be simplified and the ideal abstract recommendation is less than 250 words 3. Materials and Methods should be divided into several sub-chapters, such as: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2.1 Time and Place 2.2 Experimental Design 2.3 Tools and Materials 2.4 Sampling Method 2.5 Sample Analysis Procedure 2.6 Data Analysis 4. Re-correction of the layout of the writing description of the diagram illustration 5. Pay attention to the procedure for writing a bibliography sourced from the website 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Cited reference should be use the latest journal at least 5 years (minimum 80%) and not recommend to using old reference such in 1999 2. Writing of list reference should be according to scientific rules and what kind of specify methods that used such (Harvard method, Vancouver method, or American Psychology Association method (APA)) 3. The supporting references cited are too short, so it is necessary to add a main reference that is in accordance with the subject 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hamdi Mayulu
Department, University & Country	Mulawarman University, Indonesia