

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_87524
Title of the Manuscript:	Mechanical structure design and test of multi wire diameter high voltage cable cutting machine
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The abstract is good enough and has adopted the method used with the expected results, but the specific research objectives have not been seen. Hence need to be added.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In the introduction, it is necessary to add literature from previous studies, and the objectivity of the study also needs to be emphasized in the introduction. In the last sentence in the first paragraph of the introduction "The quality of the cable connection is the key to ensuring the smooth flow of the cable channel, but most workers only..." the sentence is incomplete. In section 2.1 DESIGN OF CUTTING PARTS The caption "Figure 3: cutter head and cutting edge slope", can be placed at the bottom of the image (according to the template). Likewise for part 3. for "figure 5", it is necessary to add an explanatory sentence and place it at the bottom of the picture. In the section "4. Conclusion" In the sentence "Since this test is to test the implementation effect of the corefunctions of the equipment and does not control the speed of the drive motor, the speed control of the drive motor and the wire centering function will be further improved in the next stage. On the original basis, the motor speed regulating box and automatic centering device is installed to determine the best equipment parameters for different wire diameters" without needing to be included in the conclusion section. This can be included in the introduction as the purpose and limitation of the study. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Overall, the script is good enough, but some parts need to be improved, or design concepts can be added using the research flowchart in part 2. Please re-read the script and correct the use of to be (Is, am, and are) is the most basic thing to use and is an important thing. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pribadyo
Department, University & Country	Teuku Umar University, Indonesia