

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_87298
Title of the Manuscript:	Overcoming seed dormancy of <i>Enterolobium Contortisiliquum</i> (Vell.) Morong
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The same information that showed in the figures should not be repeated in the paragraphs. Why didn't you use the Dunnett test? The graphics aren't necessary when don't have significant differences between treatments. There aren't conclusions, they are results.	
Minor REVISION comments	The paper requires minor changes mentioned in the text.	
Optional/General comments	No	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	William Andrés Cardona
Department, University & Country	Colombian Corporation for Agricultural Research , Colombia