

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_87296
Title of the Manuscript:	NEMATODES, A PROBLEM FOR SOYBEAN CROPS IN THE BRAZILIAN CERRADO
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Some scientific names are not italicized (see lines 17.- highlighted in yellow). Kindly please correct them 2. Some typos, unnecessary italicized words or grammatical errors are found in the manuscript (see examples in line 20, 97, 107 etc.- highlighted in green). I would strongly suggest the manuscript is proofread prior to re-submission and acceptance of the paper for publication. 3. Some sentences (example; see lines 23-25, 28-31, 34-35, 51-54, 116-118, 128, 134, 136-138) (highlighted in blue) are quite confusing, repetitive or too long. Please improve the writing style for that part (or in general the whole paper). 4. What is "SPD"? The author should include its full description instead of the abbreviation only. 5. What is phytomatoids? (see line 38) Do you mean "phytonematodes"? 6. The statements describing malaria, vector Anopheles Darling and endemic (see lines 59-60, 71, 79-80 – highlighted in grey) are really confusing. What is the connection between these words with the author's study (parasitic nematodes as a problem for soybean crop cultivation)? 7. The problem statement and the objective of this study are not sufficiently explained in this paper. Please include a more descriptive explanation of these in the manuscript. 8. The author wrote the following statement; "Nematicides have a wide spectrum of action, and are extremely toxic and persistent, being a great risk to the environment and the applicator". Would you please explain what the "applicator" is? Do you mean consumer? 9. The author wrote: "In Brazil, to date, there are 14 microbiological nematicides recorded in mapa". What is mapa? I would also suggest the author to include some of the microbiological nematicides' names or brands in the paper. 10. The results described in the paper are too simple. The first sentence in the Result and Discussion section that talks about endemic direction and malaria morbidity in the population is really confusing as this paper is focusing on parasitic nematode infection in soybean crop cultivation (not the malaria). Furthermore, the author should shows their data (example: in bar graph or pie chart form) showing how many article that study the effectiveness of certain control management either it is biological, chemical or other control treatments. 	
Minor REVISION comments	None	
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript of "NEMATODES, A PROBLEM FOR SOYBEAN CROPS IN THE BRAZILIAN CERRADO" presents an overview on parasitic nematodes infection in soybean crops and their preventive control management.</p> <p>Overall, I can see that the way this paper is presented looks like a systematic review, however without sufficient descriptions of methods, results and discussion. I would recommend the acceptance of this manuscript after a serious major revision following the comments above.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nor Hawani Salikin
Department, University & Country	University Sains Malaysia, Malaysia