

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_87087
Title of the Manuscript:	MORPHOLOGICAL AND PRODUCTIVE EVALUATION OF MOMBASA GRASS AS A FUNCTION OF PHOSPHATE, NITROGEN, AND BIOSTIMULANT APPLICATION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The title is consistent with the text. The way the data is presented and the structure of the paper are in accordance with the requirements of the journal. The study is correctly designed; the analyses performed correspond with the highest technical standards. The data are robust enough to draw the conclusions. The methods, tools, software, described are presented with sufficient details in order to allow another researcher to reproduce the results. The importance of the work for the field to which it belongs is quite high. The evaluated manuscript can be published.	
Minor REVISION comments	The way in which the specialized literature is referred is short. Article results are interpreted correctly, significant for the tested area and the conclusion are short and concise. In my opinion, needs to be elaborated.	
Optional/General comments	it is well defined. The results are not advanced in the current international knowledge, maybe locally.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Iancu Paula
Department, University & Country	University of Craiova, Romania