

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_86017
Title of the Manuscript:	The Community's Perception and Participation in the Project Plan for Merchant Arrangement and Visitor Parking at Borobudur Temple
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	This case is notable good. Good conceptual frame work and Methodology also very good. Author also approached to give sound implemented policies. So I think this paper can be accepted for publication.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	NO	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Author can use more statistical analysis .	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shatrajit Goswami
Department, University & Country	Institute of Advanced Education and Research, India