

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_83049
Title of the Manuscript:	NOISE POLLUTION ATTENUATION BY ROADSIDE TREEBELTS IN AND AROUND COIMBATORE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- The results obtained by the authors of the article and the formulated conclusions show that the purpose of the article has been achieved: a diagnostic method is proposed to create a REDUCTION OF NOISE POLLUTION WITH THE HELP OF ROADSIDE COLLECTIONS IN COIMBATORE AND SURROUNDINGS, experimental studies and analysis of the results obtained are carried out. 2- The article is well structured, all sections of the article are logically interconnected, the sources cited in the reviewed article reflect the modern point of view on the problem. 3- The article explained the low noise at a distance of 20 meters, and this is normal, as noise is an electrical signal that loses its strength during the distance. 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- You need a comparison between the roads in the industrial environment and the natural environment and the extent to which noise is affected by them, which is better, and what are the methods that must be followed to make the roads in the green industrial environment close to the roads in the natural environment. 2- I prefer to record a period of 24 hours, not 15 minutes, and get the noise rate to make the study more accurate 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Nothing	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khafaga ali salah mahdi
Department, University & Country	Al-Furat Al-Awsat Technical University, Iraq And 2Kazan National Research Technical University, Russia