

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_82730
Title of the Manuscript:	Construction of a 3D conceptual model of subsurface sulfide mineralizations using integrated geophysical survey in Saint Catherine, South Sinai, Egypt
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>METHODOLOGY</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Please provide the source for adopting Vertical Magnetic Gradient (VMG) Method <p>DISCUSSION OF RESULTS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ The results were concisely and pragmatically discussed in simple language with novelty. ✓ However, the discussions were too shallow considering the little or no buttresses presented in all the trends of result variation either to agree or not with your current findings. ✓ Please try to consider buttressing your valuable discussions with related work in order to bring out the indispensable novelty of the work done. <p>EDITED MAIN WORK</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Little observations were made in the work writing in red text colour. You should consider adopting them when necessary. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>KEYWORDS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ You should please insert the name of your study area in the keywords for easy online accessibility and spread of the paper when published. <p>METHODOLOGY</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Application of past tenses is encouraged in reporting the methods and procedures followed as well as results discussion. PLEASE CONSIDER THAT ALSO 	
Optional/General comments	<p>GENERAL COMMENTS</p> <p>The research work is considered as an indispensable and valuable which provides reliable information and contributes to knowledge in the field. However, for the work attained publishable standard and meet up with the journal criteria of quality and standardization, it is therefore urged the author to consider all necessary corrections made by the reviewer. Therefore, the paper should accept with MINOR CORRECTION (8-9 %) as the issues made are considered.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdulqadir Abubakar Sadiq
Department, University & Country	Adamawa State Polytechnic, Nigeria