

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_81804
Title of the Manuscript:	Sugarcane growers' socio-economic and psychological attributes in the Balrampur area of Uttar Pradesh, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Sources of the literature used in the introduction section are not indicated. All citations need be clearly indicated. Refer to review details in the manuscript - The study was conducted to measure the socioeconomic and psychological characteristics of sugarcane growers in the Balrampur district . The authors, however, have not given the justification for the study. The authors need to answer the question why? Why measure the farmers' attributes? - The Authors personally interviewed 316 farmers. Authors should clearly explain how this was achieved? Over what duration? - Conclusion: Authors should provide a concise conclusion based on the objectives of the study. Results should not be repeated in the conclusion section. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Check and correct grammatical mistakes - Results and Discussion section should be beefed up by citing relevant similar findings and the implications of the findings - Referencing: Follow Journals' format. References listed should be cited in the text - Address comments as contained in the reviewed manuscript 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Understanding of the socio-economic and psychological attributes of the sugarcane farmers may be of importance to Extension agents. This link needs to be discussed. 	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joseph Kipkorir Cheruiyot
Department, University & Country	University of Kabianga, Kenya, Kenya