

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_78475
Title of the Manuscript:	THE PERSONALITY OF THE CHARACTERS IN THE NOVEL TAMU KOTA SEOUL by YUSRI FAJAR
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article is very well based on the work in question, the novel TAMU KOTA SEUL, by YUSRI FAJAR. The author(s) consistently substantiate the relevant questions about personality and ego, thematic addressed by the book in question. All works cited in the references were, in fact, used within the article. Only one of the works is outdated, and the other six all have a maximum period of five years of publication.	
Minor REVISION comments	The abstract satisfactorily contemplates the proposal and presents the necessary information in a clear way. In the introduction, important concepts external to the study work are presented and there is a connection between these works throughout the text. Both the problem and the objective of the research are quite clear and are clearly reached during the writing of the article. The methodology is well formulated and easy to understand for reading the article. Data analysis clearly shows that there was constant reflection on the part of the author(s) both on the central work and on the other works that were used in the general writing of this manuscript. The "discussion" item was intelligently prepared, separating by topics and thus facilitating the understanding by readers. The information listed in this item is very rich and constructed in a clear, didactic and intelligent way. Finally, the topic that concludes the text is in line with expectations and presents an important reflection for readers on the work studied and relating it to the current society where people often struggle for social position and end up becoming empty in the sense and, by prioritizing social and financial satisfactions, they fail to satisfy their physiological and psychological human needs.	
Optional/General comments	I consider the text to be well written, in terms of organizing ideas and presenting important and intelligent references that added to the work, in general. The analysis made by the author(s) is quite rich and was made in order to deliver a detailed work with strong academic competence.	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Denise de Castro Insaurreaga Silva</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Centro Universitário Leonardo Da Vinci, Brazil</i>