

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_77873
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Radionuclides in some Fruits from Niger Delta, Nigeria and its Health Risks
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I recommend that authors state clearly, "What is the purpose of this paper and the problems you have attempted to solve in this paper?"</p> <p>Please provide information that shows the originality of this work. Does it show only incremental progress over previous research?</p> <p>The conclusion must be supported by the results obtained. It is written as a summary of the study/research. The conclusion needs improvement.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The work should be free from typographical and grammatical errors. The English language needs improvement.</p> <p>Some technical aspects need improvement. These are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The numbering of equations, tables and figures must be the same. For example, in the text, authors write "With equation 3.1, 2.8", "Table 3", "Figure 3". • Please follow the format and choose the correct numbering. • Omit ":" and ";" before equations. • Use "Formula" in (6) and (7) 	
Optional/General comments	<p>It is recommended that the quality of Figure 1 be improved. Please indicate where this figure belongs in the text. Otherwise, it appears that this figure does not provide specific information.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Munkhsaikhan Gonchigsuren
Department, University & Country	Mongolian University of Science and Technology, Mongolia