

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_77323
Title of the Manuscript:	Ecological risk assessments of Heavy metal contamination in water, Sediment and Biota of Otamiri and Imo Rivers, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The letters in the lower left box of figure 1 are not legible Please, improve presentation of Table 1. Table 3 should be table 2, and then, correct the sequence. Table 4 provides information on heavy metals on water, also of nutrients and chemical compounds. This must be organized. At the end of page 12, the text said "Table 6 shows heavy metal concentrations in two plant species namely arrow head plant (<i>Sagittaria latifolia</i>) and christmas bush plant (<i>Ceratopetalum gummiferum</i>)". Table 6 (page 14) provides a different information. In the text (page 14) said, "Table 7 shows the seasonal variation in the target hazard quotient and hazard index of heavy metals in surface water for adults fish in dry season". Table 7 shows different information. Table 8, 9, and 10 cited in the text (page 14 are missing).</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	I used green type letter for correction on the text	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Edmundo G. Moreno</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Universidad Nacional del Altiplano de Puno, Peru</i></b>