

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_76132
Title of the Manuscript:	Academic labour Process and 'Identity-Work' Construction in Neo-Liberal University: "Liminal Experience" of Academics in Nigeria Universities.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript is quite interesting. However, the author(s) should consider the following: The Introduction needs further elaboration and extension. The methodological approach is too short. The author(s) should provide their complete argumentation why this kind of methodology was chosen. There are a lot of inconsistencies between in-text citations and the list of references. Last but not least, the author(s) must pay attention to grammar mistakes.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Evaggelia Kalerante
Department, University & Country	University of Western Macedonia, Greece