

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_74045
Title of the Manuscript:	Health Literacy on COVID-19 among Older Adults in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>I have read the article in detail and found it a good research article in general, few things are to be improved as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Introduction need improvement as convincing arguments are missing in favour of the research under consideration also you must write in a way that describes the importance of this research for your community/society or country. 2) Identify the research gap you tried to bridge with this research. Can put some arguments in introduction. 3) Grammatical mistakes were found, proofreading is required. 4) Data analysis needs some serious improvements; sample selection criteria, correlation matrix must be generated. 5) Interpretation of the results needs some improvements. 6) Formatting of the document is missing. 7) Reference, tables and figures must have a specific format (APA, Chicago etc). 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Syed Aziz Rasool</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Shaheed Zulfikar Ali Bhutto Institute of Science and Technology, Pakistan</i>