

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JPRI_89412 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Anthelmintic Activity of Ethanolic Extracts of Gmelina arborea (Verbenaceae) on the Bovine Parasite Onchocerca ochengi |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | The paper is interesting, however, some statement in introduction could be more detail to show the state of the art of the experiment better. Some more references could be added as we found some different parts of the plants have also been used as anthelmintics. Discussion must be straight forwards according to the data (results) and used more references as comparison. Do not use any opinion and more theoretical as the authors did not investigate directly. Statistics could be re-check. Please see all comments in the manuscript. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | English could be revised. Several sentences in Methods do not used in Results. Avoid repetition. | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Name: | Tri Muji Ermayanti |
| Department, University & Country | Research Centre for Genetic Engineering- BRIN, Indonesia |