

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_89407
Title of the Manuscript:	AYURVEDIC SHODHAN TREATMENT APPROACH FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF KSHEENSHUKRA (OLIGOZOOSPERMIA) - A REVIEW ARTICLE
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I have read the manuscript, 'AYURVEDIC SHODHAN TREATMENT APPROACH FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF KSHEENSHUKRA (OLIGOZOOSPERMIA) - A REVIEW ARTICLE'.</p> <p>In this work the authors have attempted to discuss the Ayurvedic shodhana methods in oligozoospermia as per Ayurvedic principles. While this title of review is interesting, these are several major flaws in this submission that are concerning:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Abstract should summarize the core focus of your paper with clearly defining the objectives of the review, methodologies adopted, search strategy, and the areas that the author wish to cover in the entire report. All statements are general. The readers of this Journal are of wide geographical regions as the Journal is of International repute. Several terms such as Shodhana, Vajikarana, Virechana, Shukra dushti mentioned in Abstract should be related to modern terminologies so as to make the subject more understandable to all readers.2. In Introduction section: As the Journal is for international readers, all Ayurvedic terms should be named/correlated with the modern terminologies to make it understandable. The same improvements are needed in whole manuscript. The Introduction should clearly represent what are the gaps in knowledge, reviews already published on this subject, how this review is novel and covering the gaps in knowledge with clearly mentioning its importance.3. The Samprapti Ghataka section is not clear for English readers, so it should be expressed well with support of suitable English terms and mentioning what is Samprapti ghataka. Long paragraphs are written with lack of proper referencing. It should be improved throughout the manuscript.4. Disease Review section is not clearly mentioned. The pattern of writing indicate that the text is similar to thesis writing literature. Authors should keep in mind that it is a Scientific Journal, so carefully represent information with support of evidences to the facts narrated here.5. All other sections lacks scientific rigor with very poor referencing. The concepts of Ayurveda like Prakriti (human constitution) should be mentioned somewhere in text, whether it has some influencing relation with the disease discussed. Following work may be referenced: https://doi.org/10.1007/s40495-020-00244-3. Somewhere role of Rasayana herbs in this disease should be mentioned how their cytoprotective and rejuvenative action can help. Cite: doi: 10.3390/jcm9082544; 10.1155/2022/9106415; 10.3390/biom9050161. Try to include all such recent references of scientific Journals.6. The formatting of the Journal should be strictly followed throughout the manuscript.7. Why suitable examples of shodhana methods are not given.8. Illustration of role of Ayurveda shodhana on oligozoospermia should be there with the help	

Review Form 1.6

	<p>of good quality mechanistic figures (for each shodhana method, separate figure can be made depicting its mechanism, as each shodhana method has its own distinctive role).</p> <p>9. Referencing should be properly done. No guidelines are followed. Very poorly referenced review.</p> <p>10. Discussion should be made on possible role of Ayurveda shodhana and future prospective.</p> <p>11. There are numerous typographical and grammatical errors that need to be addressed to facilitate the reading and understanding of the submission.</p> <p>12. It is suggested to re-write the article carefully for possible consideration in this Journal.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">There are numerous typographical and grammatical errors that need to be addressed to facilitate the reading and understanding of the submission.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">It is suggested to re-write the article carefully for possible consideration in this Journal.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rohit Sharma
Department, University & Country	Banaras Hindu University, India